Functions of AHRC Care:

- (a) conferred by 4 Act- Age, disability, Racial and Sex discrimination Acts
- (aa) see definition in S3 of Act to determine if discrimination was unlawful
- Function inquire into incidences of unlawful discrimination
- Part 2 of Disability Discrimination Act: links what constitutes disability discrimination- e.g. discrimination in employment, against commission agents and in partnerships, includes harassment in education and in relation to the provision of goods and services and employment
- Part 4 of age discrimination Act: what constitutes this discrimination? E.g. in employment (Aus abolished binding retirement age), against commission agents, against contract workers, facilities and cth laws discrimination programs
- Prohibitions of Racial Discrimination Act (Part II/IIA): relate to racial discrimination being unlawful, rights to equity before the law, access to places, provision of goods and services and employment
- Sex discrimination Act: Part 2: discrimination in employment and superannuation, in public, and harassment in the workplace. Religious bodies are exemptions as well as acts under statutory duty

PART C: SPECIAL CASE: CHARTER OF RIGHTS

Comparative perspectives:

• Statutory rights are based on statue, not constitution-found in VIC, ACT, NZ and UK

NZ:

- Bill of rights (BOR) Act 1990- ordinary statute overview S3-7
- NZ bill started for courts to strike down legislation
- Interpretive judgement for courts- guidance of legislature
- Claimed to have improved legislation processes
- Content: democratic and civil rights, political rights and rights when dealing with the state
- Rights in this bill are affirmed
- Only applies to legislature, executive and judicial branches- public dealings
- Does not give judges to repeal/constitutionally strike down other laws if inconsistent with BOR
- S5: limitations on rights in BOR
- Rights are not absolute
- A.G require to report where Bill appears to be inconsistent with B.O.R
- Largely follows articles in ICCPR

Remedies:

- Provision was removed from original version of BOR
- Yet now, damages are rewarded in many cases

Dialogue model:

- Judges cannot overrule invalid act
- Cant act as constitutional court
- Provide interpretation of law in relation to HR
- Parliament can refer to court decision if needed
- Put issue into public debate

Culture in which BOR introduced

- Culture wanting constitutional change
- Willingness to engage positively in new reforms
- Case of BORA very important

Aus charter of rights

CTH:

- Recommendations for charter did not occur
- Preceding Howard government opposition to rights charters
- Articles 'charting opposition to rights charters'- new arguments on re-cycled objections
- Negative effects on Rudd's charters

2009 report- response to the HR consultative Committee Report

- Recommended statute charter of rights
- Recommended more information on HR- education
- Federal Bill of rights requested? Not granted
- Rudd government committed in the protected of HR
- Many ways to protect rights including through improved parliamentary scrutiny
- Debate: not about protecting HR, but how we go about it- emphasis on parliament sovereignty any education and democratic norms/culture
- Brennan report: enhanced parliamentary scrutiny
- Shift from meaning of HR from Labour to coalition
- Aus HR Commissioner: Tim Wilson, various consultations conducted- focused on similar areas that law reform commission focused on
- Tim Wilson argued to balance back to traditional rights and freedoms

Rights and responsibilities consultation report

- Examined how well people think their HR an freedoms are protected in Aus
- 2015- focal points/recommendations
- HR Commissioner will prioritise the following: Freedom of expression, religious freedom, property rights (native title holders), freedom from arbitrary detention
- ALRC asked to identify cth laws encroached upon traditional rights, freedoms and privileges

 Today- no visible action by government in relation to this report as government had many breaches against its nature

Article by Greg Carne: don't need BOR due to responsible, representative government- good will of citizens and common law- does this aim to restrict HR?

Tim Wilson wanted to go back to old HR law and not introduce any new laws

2 jurisdictions where BOR have been introduced: ACT and VIC

ACT

- ACT HR Act 2004
- Territory, not state- s122 of constitution
- Creates social and political dynamic- more acceptances for charter of rights
- Act has proved history of protecting rights

2005 debate: cth preventative detention and control orders legislation impact of ACT HR Act (2002)

- Reform of anti-terrorism laws in Aus cth after 2005 London Bombings
- Spurred public debate to HR model- Howard placed in public arena to begin the debate
- HR must be central to discussion
- Public debate is essential to the charter of rights
- Made ACT government follow provisions
- When making legislation with respect to the BOR
- Under 2002 COAG agreement, relevant sections of Criminal Code Act
- Dealing with terrorism are underpinned by S.51 (xxxvii) cth constitution reference of state power
- S.100.8 (2) of Criminal Code Act 1995
- Cth does not have any constitutional power itself to amend legislation, must be approved of by majority of states

Phillip Rudock: debate act has statement that cth can intervene here to make legislation

Hard to say why ACT is only State with BOR

COAG review of terrorism legislation including HR pricniples in terms in reference

- HR friendly inspired by ACT charter
- Terms of reference drawn from key HR terms
- Look for further capacity by setting up review principles and restraint
- Drafting of legislation creates more safeguards

HRACT (ACT)

- Numerous reviews of this Act
- Frist review: First five years of operation
- Built into legislation
- Reviewing charter of rights act 2004

- First five years of HRA's operation illustrates both the potential and the limits of a dialogue model of HR protection
- Positive impact on legislative processes, have made reference to charter principles
- Public aware of document
- Quality of lawmaking have also changed- qualitative improvement
- Urge of more education in these matters
- SC displays lack of understanding by the legal profession or the provisions of the HRA- court role minimum
- HRA in 5yrs, has overall succeeded in creating a HR culture in ACT

Government response to above review (2012)

- HRA has had a real impact in generating a dialogue on HR between each of the arms of government
- Report provides a framework for the progress
- There is an ongoing task of establishing a HR culture in ACT and by developing the framework for its delivery i.e. step by step approach needed for law decision makers
- Need to promote a greater understanding of the HRA by the general community and legal professionals and public authorities

Report #3 protecting economic, social and cultural rights

- In original draft, these rights didn't exist, should they be included?
- Controversial issue
- If implemented-likely impact of this is considered
- Report claimed: many of these rights desirable i.e. right to adequate housing and education
- Still evolving matter- HRA not a static document

ACT responds to above report

- Number of social, cultural and economic recommendations decided that including a right to education in HRA is appropriate
- Only right included
- Rights to self-determination, protection of family and children are highlighted by not being appropriate for inclusion
- Progressive realisation will not apply to right of education at this time
- Modest initial step
- HRA can be amended as a normal statute as it is not constitutional- no upper house so simple streamline
- HR Amendment Act
 - Installed right to education in ACT HRA 'right to have access to free, school education, appropriate to their needs' 'right to further education and continuing education

Terms of reference 2014 review

- Should include:
 - Other economic, social or cultural rights in this Act
 - Part 5A should apply to economic, social and cultural rights in Part 3A
 - 3A should be subject to progressive realisation
- Responses limited, cautious about accepting further changes

- E.g. government will not include housing as it would risk complication existing government scheme
- Same as 'health'- would introduce burden on territory
- Government held charter has no jurisdiction as this field is expressly/exhaustively covered by cth laws
- Cultural life- no reason to be implemented, already protected in HRA- no need to duplicate this, yet indigenous people warrant special consideration