COMMERCIAL LAW EXAM NOTES

CONTRACTS	2
Formation	2
PART 1A: OFFER	2
PART 1B: ACCEPTANCE	3
PART 2: INTENTION TO CREATE LEGAL RELATIONS	4
PART 3: CONSIDERATION	5
PART 4: CERTAINTY AND COMPLETENESS OF TERMS	6
PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL	6
CAPACITY	6
Performance	7
EXPRESS TERMS	7
EXCLUSION CLAUSES	9
IMPLIED TERMS	10
CONDITIONS & WARRANTIES	10
Termination & Remedies	11
METHODS OF TERMINATION	11
TERMINATION PROCESS	13
REMEDIES	14
BUSINESS STRUCTURES	17
E-COMMERCE	19
AUSTRALIAN CONSUMER LAW	20
Misleading Conduct	20
Unconscionable Conduct	22
Unsafe Goods	24
TORT LAW	26
Negligence	26

CONTRACTS

Formation

PART 1A: OFFER

- A proposal by one party to enter into a legally binding contract on certain terms with another, giving the
 offer an opportunity to choose between acceptance or rejection
- · May be in writing, oral or implied from conduct.
 - Brambles Holdings Ltd v Bathurst City Council pg.210 implied from conduct
- Is there an offer? Yes, if a reasonable person would think so (OBJECTIVE)
 - Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Co pg.220

Revoking an Offer

- Offer can be revoked any time prior to acceptance
 - Dickinson v Dodds pg.223
- Promise to hold offer open for a specific amount of time is not binding unless consideration has been given. If it hasn't, this is an option, can exercise or disregard it
 - Goldsborough, Mort & Co Ltd v Quinn pg.221 offer not able to be revoked
- · Offer will lapse after a specified time if no time period has been given

Rejecting an Offer

- Once rejected offer is no longer available for acceptance, but may later be revived
 - Tinn v Hoffman & Co pg.230
- · A counter-offer is a rejection
 - Hyde v Wrench pg.230 offeror and offered changed roles (counter offer is new offer)
- Mere enquiry is not a rejection as to the meaning/altercation of terms
 - Harvey v Facey pg.218 eg: cannot accept someone is merely stating lowest price they would sell

Unilateral Contract: offer which is accepted in return for an act

- Australian Woollen Mills Pty Ltd v Commonwealth pg.256

Bilateral Contract: exchange of promises

Invitation to treat: Invitation to make offer or enter into negotiations

 Pharmaceutical Society v Boots Cash Chemists pg.213 - taking something to a till is an offer to the person running the till

CONTRACTSFormation

PART 1B: ACCEPTANCE

- Acceptance occurs when the party to whom the offer is made agrees, and offers their unqualified assent to, the terms of the offer
- · The party must be conscious of it
 - R v Clarke pg.228 not acceptance if first acting on goodwill then find out there is a reward
- Acceptance must be communicated to the offeror, unless:
 - · Offeror dispenses with requirement of notification
 - · Acceptance is effected by performance of an act
 - · Postal acceptance rule applies
- · Acceptance must be in manner prescribed by offeror
 - Gilbert J McCaul Pty Ltd v Pitt Club Ltd pg.228
- · Silence is not acceptance
 - Felthouse v Bindley pg.229
- Acceptance may be inferred from conduct
 - Clarke v Earl of Dunraven and Mount-Earl (The Satanita) pg.209

Postal Acceptance Rule

- Effective as soon as posted and not ineffective by delay or loss of letter in post
 - Adams v Lindsell pg.233
- Inapplicable to instantaneous communication such as telephone, fax
 - Entores Ltd v Miles Far East Corp pg.234 only effective once received
- Email / SMS unclear pg.234



PART 2: INTENTION TO CREATE LEGAL RELATIONS

- The parties must have intended their agreements to be legally enforceable;
- · 'Would reasonable people regard the agreement as intended to be legally binding'
 - RULE FROM: Merrit v Merrit
- Commercial dealings are intended to be legally binding
 - Banque Brussles Lambert SA v Australian National Industries Ltd pg.255
 - REBUTTED: Pirt Biotechnologies Pty Ltd v Pitferm Ltd pg.255
- Private/domestic arrangements are not legally binding
 - Ermogeneous v Greek Orthodox Community of SA Inc pg.251 voluntary organisations
 - Cohen v Cohen pg.247 spouses do not intend to create legal relations
 - REBUTTED: Roufos v Brewster
 - REBUTTED: Wakeling v Ripley pg.249 seriousness of broken promise = intention
 - REBUTTED: Trevey v Grubb pg.246 between friends