REAL PROPERTY SUMMARY NOTES

Contents:

Page 02 | Tenure, Estates and Native Title

Page 05 | The Meaning of Land

Page 10 | Fixtures and Chattels/Waste

Page 14 | The Torrens Title System

Page 19 | Torrens Title: Exceptions to Indefeasibility

Page 26 | Torrens Title: Priorities of the Unregistered

Page 31 | Torrens Title: Unregistered Interests

Page 34 | Old System Title

Page 38 | Mortgages

Page 44 | Co-Ownership

Page 50 | Easements

Page 63 | Restrictive Covenants

Page 67 | Leases

TENURE, ESTATES AND NATIVE TITLE

DEFINITIONS:

Allodial System	Where land holding is absolute
Tenurial	Where land is held 'of' another party
Real Property	Land, and interest, made up of:
	- Corporeal hereditaments; and,
	- Incorporeal hereditaments
Estate	An interest of land, held 'of' the Crown,
	entitling the holder to a parcel of rights
Free & Common Socage	Land ownership without periodic
	payment or military service
Terra Nullius	Land belonging to no one
Reservation Clause	A limitation on the rights enjoyed by the
	holder of estate in fee simple
Fee Simple	Unrestrictedly inheritable indefinite title
	to land
Radical Title	A beneficial right the Crown holds to
	grant and manage land
Sovereignty	The right to make laws with respect to
	something eg. sovereignty
Alienable	Able to be sold
Intestate	Without will

TENURE:

The system of tenure is where land is held "of" the crown

- The crown holds all land, and people have interest in land via grants
- The interest you may hold of the crown is called an "estate"

Transmission to NSW:

The common law of England was brought to Australia with settlement (settled as opposed to conquered because the English considered it Terra Nullius):

- An Englishman brings as much of the common law with them as is relevant to the circumstances of the colony (<u>Cooper v Stuart</u>)
- We imported the feudal law of tenure (Attorney-General v Brown)

Tenure Cases:

Attorney-General v Brown

Brown finds coal in his land; the Crown argues that it belongs to the Crown as the land was granted to him. Crown were within their rights as sovereigns to create a reservation clause.

Gove Land Rights Case (1971)

Court held that there was no *Native Title* as the Indigenous concept of land had differed to that of Western ideology.

Mabo (No 2)

P argued that the traditional rights to the land survived the Crown's coming to Australia. BRENNAN J expressed that 'to abolish tenure would fracture the skeleton

of common law' thus overrule the decision made in AG v Brown. An outcome of the creation of Radical Title came about whereby the Crown has beneficial interest in all lands and could not extinguish Aboriginal land rights, unless the power to grant was exercised.

ESTATES:

Estates are the bundle of rights that proprietor holds "of" the crown

- Estates are, generally, alienable
- Estates give you respect to physical land (rather than actual ownership)

Types of Estates:

Estates may be created by the government (grants) or by people (subdivision).

- Freehold Estates:
 - Fee simple (pl. fees simple)
 - This has the most rights out of any estate and can be passed on.
 - At Common Law, given and denoted by:
 "to A and his heirs".
 - Now under Conveyancing Act s 47(1), may be given by "to A forever" or "to A in fee simple".
 - Life Estates
 - An estate for the life of the person granted (after their death, the estate in fee simple falls back on the remainder-man).
 - At Common Law, it is created by:
 "to A for the life of A or B in the case of pour autre vie.
 - It is now required under the Conveyancing Act s 47(2) to show an intention to create a life estate.
 - Leasehold Land (certain duration eg. 99 years of lease purchased).
- Simultaneous Estates:
 - Reversion
 - "To A for life; reversion to grantor" (where the grantor has a fee simple in reversion).
 - Remainder
 - "To A for life, remainder to B in fee simple".
 - Alienability
 - Where one can sell reversionary interest but cannot grant possession.
- Estates as a Bundle of Rights where real property is not ownership of a thing, but a bundle of rights with respect to the land:
 - These rights are a set of inter-subjective rights exercisable against the world with respect to a particular thing (in rem).
 - As oppose to an in personam right exercisable against a particular person.

NATIVE TITLE:

Native Title is a Western ideology and reflects upon such concepts with respect to land.

There are numerous International cases which reflected on *Native Title*.

- Johnson v Macintosh (1823) in the US.
- Sao v Gerber in the PNG, NT found and was heard in the HCA.

Native Title derive from origins in the traditions of Aboriginal Law (Yorta Yorta). However, as it was passed down orally through the generations, with varying customs, it is problematic to find a conclusive central custom.

The effects of NT are that it is an inalienable right (cannot be sold), it is communal and it is a bundle of rights, not fixed and based on culture.

An 'Aboriginal Person' is as stated in <u>Mabo</u>, a membership based on biological descent and recognition of the group. In <u>WA v Ward</u>, an ancestral connection is required between the original *NT* holders and the present claimants.

<u>Mabo (No 2):</u>

QSC found that the land was considered 'appropriate', productive in the definition of *Locke's Labour Theory* in contrast to 'adverse possession'. It is crucial that the P and subsequent Ps demonstrate that *NT* was not extinguished.

Native Title Cases:

WA v Ward

NT is the recognition of Aboriginal rights and interests to the land, rather than the creation thereof.

- → ELEMENTS FOR NT:
 - 1. Ongoing and substantially uninterrupted connection to the land.
 - 2. Customs and traditions (Yanner v Eaton).
 - 3. Intellectual property is held outside of NT (<u>Bullan Bullan</u>).

Fejo case

The creation of an estate in FS was seen as extinguishing NT.

Wik case

Pastoral Lease is still a lease conferring exclusive possession – Brennan J. Thus if a pastoralist right cannot coexist with a NT right then it is extinguished.