Judicial Review

Contents

DELEGATED LEGISLATION; SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION; LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS 7

What is delegated legislation? 7

Types and volume of delegated legislation 7

Separation of Powers? 8
  Arguments against desirability of delegated legislation 8
  Rationales for delegated legislation 8

Legislative Requirements 9
  Meaning of ‘Legislative Character’ 9
  Legislative instruments vs non-legislative instruments (ie. Policy) 10

How far can parliament go in delegating its power? 10
  Accountability Mechanisms 11
    Sun-set Clauses 11
    Public Consultation 11
    Publication 11
    Parliamentary review (Tabling) 12
    Committee oversight 12

Disallowance 13

Judicial review 13
  Grounds for judicial review 14
  Delegated legislation is beyond the scope of the Act 14
  Regulation must be reasonably proportionate, appropriate and necessary to purposive Act 15
    “Necessary or convenient” 16
  Complement not supplement 16
  Regulating vs prohibiting 16
  Ends vs means 17
  Other grounds of judicial review 17
  Judicial review of decisions made under Regs 17
  Examples of grounds 17

JUDICIAL REVIEW 18

Rule of law (in terms of judicial review) 18
  The Executive 18

Scope of Administrative Law 18
Purpose of Administrative Law
Scope
Two main types of review
  Similarities
  Differences

Mapping Review Mechanisms
  Recall: Historical Reforms
  Mechanisms for administrative law review

Judicial Review
  What is Judicial Review?
  Stages/Steps of Judicial Review
  Threshold issues

Jurisdiction
  Jurisdiction: What is it?
  Jurisdiction & Judicial Review

1. Jurisdiction (High Court) Commonwealth Constitution
  Constitution: Section 75
  Section 75(v) CC
  3 key elements to s75(v)CC
    1. ‘Matter’
    2. HC’s original jurisdiction (writs)
    3. ‘Officer of the Cth’
  Summary: Key elements s75(v) CC

Constitutional Judicial Review? Modern Trend
  ‘Constitutional’ Judicial Review

  Federal Court
  S39B Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth)
    s39B(1A)(c) Judiciary Act
  Delegated Legislation

3. CL Jurisdiction (NSW)
  Jurisdiction – State Courts
  Section 23 SCA 1970 (NSW)
  Section 69 SCAAct 1970 (NSW)

ADJR Act Jurisdiction
  Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977 (‘ADJR’)
    Ways to get into federal court
    A decision.
  Summary: ADJR jurisdiction

Concluding jurisdiction
  Courts and jurisdiction
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADJR &amp; CL Jurisdiction?</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reminder: Privatisation issue</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impediments to Jurisdiction?</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Issues....</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merits/Legality: SOP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justiciability</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function &amp; Purpose?</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADJR vs CL?</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing (CL): Summary</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Case: ACF v Cth (1980)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples of ‘special interest’?</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACF &amp; public interest groups?</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After ACF – implications?</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing under ADJR: s 3(4)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remedies</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences of a Breach?</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences of breach?</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Blue Sky (1998) 194 CLR 355</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Blue Sky: implications?</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Immigration v Bhardwaj (2002) 209 CLR 597</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remedies</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our task</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is a public law remedy?</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the remedies?</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different jurisdictional avenues</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Prerogative Writs (CL)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the beginning? Prerogative Writs</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Remedies: Certiorari (‘C’)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Remedies: Prohibition (‘P’)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Remedies: Mandamus (‘M’)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Remedies: Habeus Corpus (‘H’)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Remedies: Injunctions (‘I’)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Remedies: Declarations (‘D’)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue: Standing &amp; Remedies</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue: Damages?</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Constitutional Remedies</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall: Constitution, section 75</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional Remedial Model</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S75 &amp; JE</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Constitutional writs’</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. ADJR remedies
  ADJR remedies (s16) 44
  ADJRA remedies (s16) 44

Summary of Remedies 45

Over-arching concepts 45
  Law/fact distinction 45
    Collector of Customs v Agfa Gevaert Ltd (1996) 186 CLR 389 46

General Principles 47
  Principle of legality 47
  Jurisdictional fact/error 47

Grounds 50
  Classification 50

Natural Justice 51
  Hearing Rule 52
    Threshold question 52
    Exclusion question 54
    Duty and content of Hearing Rule 55
    Summary 56
  Bias Rule 57
    1. Actual Bias? 57
    2. Apprehended bias 57
    Examples of bias ....? 58
    Application of bias rule varies according to decision-maker: 59
    Further issues... 59
    Exceptions to the Bias Rule 59
    Consequences 59

Error of Law 59
  Error of law at Common Law 60
    In NSW 60
  Error of law under ADJR 61

Consideration Grounds 61
  CL & ADJR same test 61
  Relevant considerations? (s 5(1)(e), s5(2)(b)) 62
  Step 1 – Failure to consider 62
  Step 2 – Required to consider by Act 64
  Step 3 – Significant for the decision? 65
  Irrelevant considerations? (s 5(2)(a)) 66
    Step 1 - The DM considered a matter 66
    Step 2 - This was a matter the DM was PROHIBITED from considering under the Act. 66
    Step 3 - The matter was significant for the decision; it materially affected the decision. 66

Unauthorised or ‘Improper’ Purpose 67
Acting for an Unauthorised Purpose

Two steps

Step 1: For what purpose can the power be lawfully authorised under the Act?

Step 2: For what purpose was the power actually exercised?

Multiple purposes?

Bad Faith

Fraud

Procedural Error

Three step process:

Cases

Unauthorised delegation

The rule against delegation

Exception?

Carltona Principle

Acting under dictation

Factors?

Examples

Inflexible policies

Rules

Factors

Key case: Green v Daniels

Illegally fettering discretion

Policy under other grounds

No evidence rule

No evidence rule (CL)

Section 5 ADJR: No evidence rule

No evidence rule (ADJR)

Interaction of sections?

Uncertainty

test

example

Unreasonableness

Wednesbury Unreasonableness

Some difficulties...

Irrationality?

Irrationality and Serious Illogicality?

S20

A new ground?

MIAC v SZMDS (2010)
Abuse of power 80
Ending grounds of JR 80
Restricting Judicial Review? 80

Privative Clauses 80
What is a privative clause? 80
Reasons for Caution around PC? 80
Rationales for Privative Clauses? 81
Different forms… 81
For example 81
Judicial treatment of PC’s? 81
Constitutional Foundations of Judicial Review 82
If PC, how interpret s75(v)CC? 82
S75(v): Entrenched minimum 82
State constitutional protection? 82
Harmonisation of State/Cth 83
Historical Position 83
Interpreting PC’s: Historically 83
R v Hickman (1945) 70 CLR 598 83
The ‘Hickman principle’ 83
Current Position: Federal 84
After S157? Implications for PC? 85
Jurisdictional error 85
JE: multiple meanings 85
JE and s75(v) 86
Jurisdictional Error summary 86
Current Position: State 86
PC in state legislation? 86
Leading authority: Kirk 86
Alternatives to PC? 87
Other limits to JR 87
Conclusion 87
Summary of leading authorities 87
Continuing role of PC? 87
Delegated Legislation; Subordinate Legislation; Legislative Instruments

How do determine the validity of that piece of subordinate legislation and how to deal with decisions made under it.

See whether or not the delegated legislation has been validly made

Comparing legislation side by side

What is delegated legislation?
- Delegated legislation can be seen as legislation made by a non-parliamentary body, acting pursuant to an Act of Parliament.
- Generally made by the executive
- Delegated legislation refers to ‘a legislative instrument made by a body to which (or a person to whom) parliament has delegated the power to legislate.’
- Parliament itself has not passed but has delegated authority to another body to make a decision. Parliament commonly delegates rule making powers to a Minister, tribunal, local council. These terms are all talking about the same things. Made by someone outside of Parliament.

Make sure you are not dealing with policy!

Types and volume of delegated legislation
There are over 100 types!

- Regulations
  - general in application – what is the difference?
  - Act is a skeleton, regs are fleshed out
  - Made by governor or governor general
  - may be left to regulations to set out detail of the Act, may also include fees, costs etc that can be changed a lot more easily. Can be changed more easily.

- Rules
  - by courts regarding processes

- By-laws of statutory authorities
  - typically by local council

- Ordinances of territories
  - NT/ACT

- Determinations

- Plans of Management e.g. fisheries
- Proclamations
- Directives
- Guidelines

Separation of Powers?

- Delegated legislation cannot be made by a body other than the parliament without the authority of parliament.
  - Here, executive is making legislation! Minister, GG, local council
  - the reason it is valid is that Parliament has set out the power and delegated the power to another agency to make the law. Still conforms to the law that regulation can’t be made by another body
  - Parliament is delegating power
- Authority is given by an Act of Parliament
- Validity is tested by:
  - compliance with the legislation,
  - publication,
  - parliamentary review and
  - limited traditional grounds of judicial review.

Arguments against desirability of delegated legislation

- Giving the executive the power to make laws seriously impairs parliamentary sovereignty and the constitutional balance.
- If laws are made affecting the subject, they should first be submitted to the elected representatives of the people for consideration and approval.
- Often in vague language and lead to uncertainty.
  - Poorly drafted
  - Ground for having the DL determined invalid

Regarded at best as a necessary evil – modern society demands it. Legislative in form but created by executive- not subject to same parliamentary controls (doesn’t get introduced as a bill).

Rationales for delegated legislation

- Ease pressure on parliamentary time;
- Encourage consistency in bureaucratic decision-making;
- Keep detail out of legislation so that statute easier to understand, debate and implement;
- Cope with legislation that is too technical for parliamentary consideration;
- Provide the flexibility to deal with rapidly changing or uncertain situations. (It is much easier and faster to amend subordinate legislation so this allows for change in areas where flexibility or adaptation in legislative criteria is required.); and
- Attractive to governments because can avoid a hostile upper house.
- More explicit more focussed legislation

Stephen J in Watson v Lee (1970) 144 CLR 374:

“[T]he history of delegated legislation ... reflects the tension between the needs of those who govern and the just expectations of those who are governed. For those who govern, subordinate