## Human Rights Instruments

- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)
- International Convention for the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD)
- Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW)
- Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT)
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD)
- International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (ICRMW)
- International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance (ICPED)


## Treaty Bodies

- ICCPR: Optional Protocol 1 for complaints procedure to Human Rights Committee from individual 'author' using 'communications' procedure
- ICESCR: Optional Protocol for complaints procedure
- CRC: $3^{\text {rd }}$ Optional Protocol for children to make complaints to Committee on the Rights of the Child
- CEDAW: Optional Protocol for complaints procedure CEDAW Committee
- CRPD: Optional Protocol complaints to Committee on RPD
- CERD: complaints procedure ICERD Committee built into treaty
- CAT: complaints procedure Committee Against Torture built into treaty
- CMW: procedure not yet active
$\rightarrow$ Only for States who are parties to the relevant optional protocols


## Duties:

- Monitoring - receive periodic state reports on progress on implementation (often late, self serving and superficial). See if domestic law complies with treaty, if bureaucrats know it, if people enjoy rights
- Communications - receive complaints by individuals against state parties, or could be one state against another
- Reporting - on their activities to the UNGA
- Members are elected and serve in their personal capacity, for four year terms. Should be experts with high standing, independence, impartiality, conscientiousness, experience
- Make General Comments or General Recommendations
- No independent power of investigation, no enforcement power, under-resourced to do their role


## Jurisdiction, standing, admissibility

- Jurisdiction = power of a court to hear a matter. State sovereignty so need consent, jurisdiction depends on the instrument - ratione materiae (over that subject matter) and ratione personae (in relation to that defendant)
- Standing = who can bring the action - individual, group of individuals, NGO, state? Has to be victim or not?
- Admissibility = typical requirements include exhaustion of local remedies, time limit, not anonymous, not already heard elsewhere, not offensive language, not vexatious


## International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)

- ICESCR 1966; entered into force 1976 same as ICCPR, including Australia
- Optional Protocol 2013 - 21 countries yes, Australia no.
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights - created 1985, 18 independent experts, reports to ECOSOC, only recently complaints procedure
- See also UNESCO and ILO instruments
- Poor cousin to civil and political rights? Overlaps EG non-discrimination, family, home, health, torture. Respect and protect now, fulfil over time?
- International Network for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ESCR-Net) 270+ NGOs/CSOs from 70+ countries
- National HR Consultation Australia 2009 - main rights Australians concerned about are education, housing and health

Article 1 recognises the right of all peoples to self-determination including the right to "freely determine their political status", pursue their economic, social and cultural goals, and manage and dispose of their own resources. It recognises a negative right of a people not to be deprived of its means of subsistence (Art 1.2) and imposes an obligation on those parties still responsible for non-self governing and trust territories (colonies) to encourage and respect their self-determination (Art 1.3)

## Articles 2-5

Establishes the principle of "progressive realisation" - see below. It also requires the rights be recognised "without discrimination of any kind as to race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status" (Art 2.2) The rights can only be limited by law, in a manner compatible with the nature of the rights, and only for the purpose of "promoting the general welfare in a democratic society" (Art 4)

## Progressive realisation

It acknowledges that some of the rights (for example, the right to health) may be difficult in practice to achieve in a short period of time, and that states may be subject to resource constraints, but requires them to act as best they can within their means. It rules out deliberately regressive measures which impede that goal. The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights also interprets the principle as imposing minimum core obligations to provide, at the least, minimum essential levels of each of the rights. If resources are highly constrained, this should include the use of targeted programmes aimed at the vulnerable (general comment no 3)

Article 6-8 - right to work
(1) States Parties to recognize the right to work, which includes the right of everyone to the opportunity to gain his living by work which he freely chooses or accepts, and will take appropriate steps to safeguard this right.
(2) The steps to be taken by a State Party to achieve the full realization of this right shall include technical and vocational guidance and training programmes, policies and techniques to achieve steady economic, social and cultural development and full and productive employment under conditions safeguarding fundamental political and economic freedoms to the individual.

## Case example - State of Maharashtra v Indian Hotel and Restaurants Association (Supreme Court of India, 2013)

The Indian government has banned 'any type of dancing' in an 'eating house, permit room or beer bar', except three stars hotels and above. Women in Maharashtra are arguing it is discriminatory and denies them their right to carry on their profession or occupation. The State says it is justified because bar dancing corrupts morals, fuels trafficking and prostitution, and causes exploitation of women bar dancers (Note that 75,000 women are unemployed as a result, over two-thirds are the sole earner for their families, some continue to suffer, others turn to prostitution or suicide).

- Art 7-recognise the right of everyone to the enjoyment of "just and favourable conditions" of work.
- Art 8 - right to form trade unions and join the trade union of their choice.

Article 9-Right to social security and social insurance

General Comment No. 19 on the right to social security: Right for benefits: health care, sickness, old age, unemployment, employment injury, family and child support, maternity, disability, survivors and orphans. Special attention to: women, the unemployed, persons working in the informal economy, sick or injured workers, people with disabilities, older persons, children and adult dependents, domestic workers, homeworkers, minority groups, refugees, asylum-seekers, internally displaced persons, returnees, non-nationals, prisoners and detainees.

## CEDAW Committee Decisions

## ES and SC v Tanzania (CEDAW Ctee, 2015)

Two widows in Tanzania were denied the right of inheriting or administering the estates of their late husbands, under customary inheritance law codified into law. They and their children were evicted by the in-laws. Tanzanian High Court held laws discriminatory but did not overturn them, further appeal dismissed. CEDAW Committee held that inheritance laws violate CEDAW incl Art 13 (credit) and 16 (marriage dissolution), State failure to provide an effective remedy.

## MS A T v Hungary (CEDAW Committee, 2003)

Hungarian woman domestic violence, court ordered husband could return home to her and two children, CEDAW Committee held that Hungary in breach of Art 5(a) (responsibility to address gender prejudices) and Art 16 (family).

Tayag Vertido v Philippines (CEDAW Committee, 2008)
The Executive Director of a Chamber of Commerce and Industry was raped by the President of the Chamber, and the action was dismissed for lack of probable cause. Appeal, 8 years of litigation, Violation of 2(c) and (f) and 5(a) in terms of legal steps, and addressing stereotypes (acquittal on the basis she should have escaped).

## Committee on Rights of PWD Decisions

## HM v Sweden (Committee RPD, 2011)

A Swedish woman with a disability complained about the government refusal to permit her to construct a hydrotherapy pool in her home, necessary for rehabilitation. The Committee held that it was not a disproportionate and undue burden to approve such a development and that Sweden had violated her right to health (Art 25) and independence (Art 26).


