WEEK 2 – TRESPASS DEFENCES AND REMEDIES

Defences

- 1. Consent, is valid if:
 - a. Act within scope of consent: Barker v Queen
 - b. Not obtained by fraud: R v Williams
 - c. Not obtained by duress: Aldrige v Booth
 - d. Capacity to consent: Gillick v West Norfolk AHA (including people <18)
- 2. **Necessity** full defence, defendant proves:
 - a. Imminent threat of grave harm to plaintiff, property or goods: London Borough Southwark v Williams
 - b. Reasonable apparent necessity of taking such action: Cope v Sharpe
 - c. Imminent threat not by fault of defendant: Rigby v Chief Constable of Northamptonshire
- 3. **Self-defence** full defence, trespass to person only defendant's interference is justified by the need to avert a threat of harm: s 271 Criminal Code. Defendant proves:
 - a. It was reasonable to defence self from plaintiff
 - b. Force used was reasonable: Fontin v Katapodis
- 4. **Defence of another** full defence, trespass to person only use of reasonable force to defend another: s 273 Criminal Code. Defendant proves:
 - a. Reasonable grounds to believe assault about to happen
 - b. Reasonable force used: Goss v Nicholas; Howard v Wing
- 5. **Provocation**: s 269 Criminal Code. Trespass to person only. Defendant proves:
 - a. Acted in head of moment in response to provocation of plaintiff
 - b. Force used was proportionate: White v Connolly

Remedies

- 1. Self help / abatement
 - a. Take steps to stop a trespass
 - b. Must be reasonable: Cowell v Rosehill Racecourse
- 2. Damages actionable per se
 - a. Nominal no loss suffered, token amount.
 - b. Compensatory loss suffered, aims to restore plaintiff to position as if no trespass occurred
 - i. For trespass to land = decrease in value of land
 - c. Aggravated compensation for injury to feelings / humiliation: TCN Channel Nine v Anning; Myer Stores v Soo
 - i. Not in cases of personal injury: s 52 CLA
 - d. Exemplary/punitive to punish and deter: XL Petroleum v Caltex Oil
 - i. Usually when defendant has demonstrated blatant disregard for rights of plaintiff
 - ii. Not in cases of personal injury unless done with intent to cause personal injury or was unlawful sexual assault: s 52 CLA
- 3. Injunction must convince court that damages are not an adequate remedy
 - a. Interlocutory injunction stop until court determination to maintain status quo
 - b. Mandatory order defendant to do something
 - c. Prohibitory order defendant to stop doing something
 - i. In cases of continuing trespass: Graham v KD Morris

Time limitation

- 1. If no personal injury six years from date of arising of cause of action: s 10(1)(a) LAA
 - a. For continuing trespass, new action arises each day: Konskier v Goodman
- 2. If personal injury three years from date of arising of cause of action: s 11 LAA