## **Chapter 1: Nature of Equity**

- based on the notion of 'unconscionability'
- court will intervene where act/omission is considered 'against the conscience'
- flexible and discretionary
- attention is focused on the relationship between the parties
- not about rigidly applying rules in an unfair manner
- statutes are both embracing and expanding equitable principles see *Trade*Practices Act 1974 (Aus Consumer Law Sch 2 Competition and Consumer

  Act 2010) or ss 180-184 Corporations Act 2001)

## Parkinson notes five categories:

- 1. exploitation of vulnerability/weakness
- 2. abuse of positions of confidence
- 3. insistence on rights in circumstances which are harsh/oppressive
- 4. inequitable denial of obligations
- 5. unjust retention of property
- Not fixed or closed Equity should be flexible BUT is it really?
- A circumstance of inequality will not guarantee equitable relief need to also establish that it is against the conscience not to acknowledge what has occurred French J in *ACCC v Berbatis Holdings (2003)*

## **Historical Background**

- principles initially created in the English High Court of Chancery
- developed in response to rigid technical procedures of the common law
- softening or correcting the common law eg: doctrine of estopple overcoming strict common law rules re: consideration OR protecting positions of confidence/preventing abuse by stronger party
- recurring theme: whether, after examining all the circumstances, it would be unconscionable not to recognise certain rights, titles and interests between the parties
- in 13<sup>th</sup> century, common law rules were strict. Parties who could not satisfy these rules petitioned the Crown for dispensation and were referred to the Chancellor usually a member of the church. No binding precedent each case considered on its merits. Where the application of the common law was harsh/unjust the Chancellor might, according to his conscience, provide relief in equity
- Equity developed after the common law
- Equity develops in an ad hoc manner sometimes with uncertain results
   depended on the whim of the Chancellor, hence the 'length of the Chancellor's foot'
- All about doing justice in the particular circumstance