

University of Sydney

MATH3963

LECTURE NOTES

Shane Leviton
6-7-2016

Contents

Introduction:	6
Order of ODE:.....	6
Explicit ODEs	6
Notation:	7
Example:.....	7
Systems of ODEs	7
Example 0: $fx = 0$	8
Eg 2: $fx = c$ (or $fx = f(t)$).....	8
But what when $f(x)$ is a standard function	8
Matrix ODEs (lecture 2).....	9
Linear equation of x :.....	9
Solveing: FINDING THE EIGENVALUES/EIGENVECTORS!!	9
Why do we care about eigenvectors/values?.....	10
Matrix exponentials	12
When does eA exist?	12
$\exp(A)$ first class: full set of linearly independent eigenvectors	12
Diagonalizable or semi-simple matrix.....	13
How big of a restriction is a full set of LI eigenvectors?	13
Matrix and other term exponentials:.....	16
Complex numbers and matrices:	16
Real normal form of matrices	18
Repeated eigenvalues.....	20
Generalized eigenspace:	20
Primary decomposition theorym	20
Theorems:	21
General algorithm for finding eA	21
Derivative of eAt	24
Phase Plots	28
Example:.....	28
Phase Plot/Phase line.....	30
Remarks:	31
Constant solution:.....	31
Spectrum:.....	32
Definition of spectrum:	32
Spectrally stable definition	32

Stable/unstable/centre sub spaces:	33
Primary decomposition theorem:.....	33
Generally:.....	34
Hyperbolic:.....	34
Bounded for forward time: Definition	35
Linearly stable definition:	35
Asymptotically linearly stable Definition	36
Restricted dynamics:.....	36
Generally:.....	37
The theory:.....	37
Classification of 2D linear systems:.....	41
Our approach:	42
Discriminant:.....	42
Overall picture:	62
Linear ODEs with non constant coefficients	64
Principle fundamental solution matrix.	65
Liouville's/ Abel's Formula: (Theorem)	65
PART 2: METRIC SPACES	66
Start of thinking:	66
Key idea:.....	66
Formal definition of metric spaces:	66
Metric (distance function):	66
Metric space.....	66
Topology of metric spaces:	68
Open ball	68
Examples:	68
Open subset of metric space	69
Closed subset of metric space	69
Interior:	69
Closure:	70
Boundary:.....	70
Limit point/accumulation point	70
Sequences and Completeness	71
Definition: complete sequence.....	72
Contraction Mapping Principle:	73
A contraction is a map:	73

Definition: Lipshitz function.....	76
Defintion: Locally Liphschitz.....	77
Existence and Uniqueness of solutions of ODEs:.....	77
Equation *	77
Solution	77
Dependence on initial conditions and parameters.....	81
Theorem (P-L again)	82
Theorem : Families of solutions	82
Maximal intervals of existence	84
Definition: Maximal interval of existence	84
PART 3: NON LINEAR PLANAR AUTONOMOUS ODES	86
Terminology:	87
Function vector:	87
Talking about what solutions are:.....	89
Eg: pendulum equation.....	89
Phase portraits:	91
Isoclines.....	92
Linearisation:.....	93
Generally for linearlisation:	93
Hyperbolic good, non-hyperbolic = bad.....	94
More Qualitative results	99
Theorem: uniqun phase curves	99
Closed phase curves/periodic solutoins	99
Hamiltonian Systems:	104
Lotka-Volterra/ Predator-Prey system.....	105
Definition of predator prey:.....	105
Analysis of system:.....	106
Modifications to equations:.....	108
Epidemics:	109
SIR model	110
Abstraction, Global existsnece and Reparametrisation.....	116
Definition: 1 parameter family.....	117
Definition: Orbit/trajectory.....	117
Existence of complete flow:.....	117
Flows on $\mathbb{R}$	118
Thereom: types of flows in $\mathbb{R}$	120

α alpha and ω omega limit sets:.....	122
Asymptotic limit point.....	122
Non- Linear stability (of critical points).....	129
Lyapunov stable definition:.....	129
Asymptotically stable: defininition	129
Lyapunov functions.....	131
LaSalle's Invariance principle:	133
Hilbert's 16 th problem and Poincare- Bendixson theorem.....	134
Questino: hilbert's 16 th problem.....	134
Poincare Bendixson theorem Proof:	136
Proof:.....	136
Definitions of sets: disconnected.....	136
Proof of Poincare Bendixson theorem:.....	139
Bendixsons Negative Criterion.....	139
INDEX THEORY (Bonus)	142
Set up:	142
Theta:	142
Index: definition	142
Theorem: on Index.....	144
Poincare Index:	145
Index at infinity:	148
Computing the index at infinity	148
Theorem: Poincare Hopf Index theorem	150
Part 4 Bifurcation theory	151
Lets start with an example in 1D:	152
Bifurcations:	153
Bifurcation diagram:	153
Bifurcation:.....	153
Example 2 (in 2D) (Saddle node) bifurcation	153
Transcritical bifurcation	156
1D example:	156
Supercritical Pitchfork bifurcation	158
Example.....	158
Logistic growth with harvesting.....	160
Hopf Bifurcation.....	161
Definition of Hopf:	161

Some more examples of bifurcations	165
FIREFLIES AND FLOWS ON A CIRCLE	167
Non uniform oscillator	167
Glycolysis.....	170
Model: Sel'kov 1968.....	170
Catastrophe:.....	180
Eg 1: fold bifurcation:.....	181
Eg 2: swallowtail catastrophe	185
Hysteresis and van der pol oscillator	186
Van der poll oscillator example:	188

MATH3963 NON LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH APPLICATIONS (biomaths) NOTES

- Robert Marangell Robert.marangell@sydney.edu.au

Assessment:

- Midterm (Thursday April 21) 25%
- 2 assignments 10% each (due 4/4 and 30/5)
- 55% exam

Assignments are typed (eg LaTeX)

PART 1: LINEAR SYSTEMS

Introduction:

- What is an ODE; how to understand them
- a DE is a function relationship between a function and its derivatives

An ODE is when the function has only 1 variable independent variable.

Eg; t independant ($x(t)$; $y(t)$ dependant

Not just scalar, but also vector valued functions (Systems of ODES)

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x}(t) &\in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \vec{x}(t) &= (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_d(t)) \\ x_j: \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

Order of ODE:

- Highest derivative appearing in equation

If you can isolate the highest derivative (eg $F\left(t, \vec{y}(t), \frac{dy(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^{(n)}\vec{y}(t)}{dt^{(n)}}\right) = 0$)

Explicit ODEs

If you can isolate highest derivative: it is an explicit ODE

$$\frac{d^{(n)}\vec{y}(t)}{dt^{(n)}} = G\left(t, \vec{y}(t), \frac{dy(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^{(n-1)}\vec{y}(t)}{dt^{(n-1)}}\right)$$

(explicit is easier; implicit is very hard)

Notation:

Use dot

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \cdot$$

Example:

$$\ddot{y} + 2\dot{y}y + 3(\dot{y})^2 + y = 0$$

You can reduce the order of an ODE (to 1), in exchange for making it a system of ODEs

- Introduce new variables into system

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= y \\ y_1 &= \dot{y}_0 = \dot{y} \\ y_2 &= \dot{y}_1 = \ddot{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \dot{y}_0 &= y_1 \\ \dot{y}_1 &= y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 &= -2y_2y_0 - 3y_1^2 - y_0 \end{aligned}$$

Which is a first order system in 3 variables, equivalent to the original 3rd order ODE

Systems of ODEs

- We can play the same game for systems of ODEs

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\vec{y}} + A\dot{\vec{y}} &= 0 \quad \left(\text{with } \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2; A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ \therefore \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{y}_1 \\ \ddot{y}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y}_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 \end{pmatrix} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$ introduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_1 &= y_3 \\ \dot{y}_2 &= y_4 \\ \dot{y}_3 &= \ddot{y}_1 = -ay_1 - by_2 \\ \dot{y}_4 &= \ddot{y}_2 = -cy_1 - dy_2 \\ \therefore \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y}_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 \\ \dot{y}_3 \\ \dot{y}_4 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -a & -b & 0 & 0 \\ -c & -d & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{let } \vec{x} &= (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \end{aligned}$$

The system of equations is now:

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = B\vec{x}$$

Where $\dot{\vec{x}} = \vec{f}(\vec{x})$

Example 0: $f(x) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \dot{x} &= 0 \\ \rightarrow \dot{x}_i &= 0 \forall i \\ \vec{x} &= \vec{c} \text{ for } x, c \in \mathbb{R}^n\end{aligned}$$

This is all the solutions to $\dot{x} = 0$; and the solutions form an n dimensional vector space

Eg 2: $f(x) = \vec{c}$ (or $\vec{f}(x) = f(t)$)

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \vec{x} &= \vec{c} \\ \rightarrow \vec{x}(t) &= \vec{c}t + \vec{d}\end{aligned}$$

But what when $f(x)$ is a standard function

- If $f(x)$ is linear in x

Remember: “linear” if:

$$f(cx + y) = cf(x) + f(y)$$

Recall any linear map can be represented by a matrix, by choosing a basis

$$\therefore \dot{x} = A(t)x$$

If $A(t) = A$ (independent of t), it is called a constant coefficient system

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$

Eg:

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \\ \dot{x}_4 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ \therefore \dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_1 + x_3 \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_2 + x_4 \\ \dot{x}_4 &= x_3\end{aligned}$$

Is the system of ODEs which we now have to solve

Matrix ODEs (lecture 2)

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

Linear equation of x :

$$\dot{x} = Ax \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \text{ and } A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}))$$

Eg:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eg: } A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \dot{x} &= Ax; \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \dot{x}_1 &= x_2; \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_1 + x_3 \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_2 \end{aligned}$$

So a solution is a triple vector which solves this equation

Solveing: FINDING THE EIGENVALUES/EIGENVECTORS!!!

Recall:

A value

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

Is an eigenvalue of an $n \times n$ matrix A if a non zero vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$Av = \lambda v$$

v is the corresponding eigenvector

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow (A - \lambda I)v &= 0 \\ \rightarrow v &\in \ker(A - \lambda I) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow A - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible}$$

$$\rightarrow \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

$\det(A - \lambda I)$ is a polynomial in λ of degree n called the characteristic polynomial of A

$$\rho_A(\lambda)$$

Eigenvalues are roots of $\rho_A(\lambda) = 0$

Fundamental theorem of algebra:

$\rho_A(\lambda)$ has exactly n (possibly complex) roots counted according to algebraic multiplicity.

Algebraic multiplicity:

The algebraic multiplicity of a root r of $\rho_A(\lambda) = 0$ is the largest k such that $\rho_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - r)^k q(\lambda)$ and $q(r) \neq 0$

The algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ of A is its algebraic multiplicity as a root of $\rho_A(\lambda)$

Geometric multiplicity:

The geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue is the maximum number of linearly independent eigenvectors

Alg multiplicity and geometric

$$\text{algebraic multiplicity} \geq \text{geometric multiplicity}$$

Returning to example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 2) = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda = 0; \pm\sqrt{2}$$

Eigenvectors are found by finding the kernel of $\ker(A - \lambda I)$ for an eigenvalue:

Giving eigenvectors:

$$\lambda_1 = 0 \rightarrow v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \sqrt{2} \rightarrow v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_3 = -\sqrt{2} \rightarrow v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Why do we care about eigenvectors/values?

$$\vec{x} = A\vec{x}$$

Suppose that v is an eigenvector of $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, with eigenvalues of λ .

Consider the vector of functions:

$$\vec{x}(t) = c(t)\vec{v}$$

c is some unknown function $c: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

If $\vec{x}$ solves $\dot{x} = Ax$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{c}(t)\vec{v} &= Ac(t)\vec{v} = c(t)A\vec{v} \\ &= \lambda c(t)\vec{v} \text{ (as eigenvector)}\end{aligned}$$

As $\vec{v} \neq 0$,

$$\rightarrow \dot{c}(t) = \lambda c(t)$$

Which is a differential equation of one variable

$$\therefore c(t) = e^{\lambda t}$$

SO:

$$x(t) = e^{\lambda t}\vec{v}$$

is a solution vector to

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$

So- we have a 1D subspace of solutions $x(t) = c_0 e^{\lambda t} \vec{v}$

Notes:

1. Solutions to $\dot{x} = Ax$ are of the form $x = c_0 e^{\lambda t} \vec{v}$ where λ is a constant eigenvalue of A of $\vec{v}$ is the corresponding eigenvectors are called **EIGENSOLUTIONS**
2. What we just did has a name "ansatz" (guess what the solution could be, and see if it is correct)

Back to example:

$$\therefore x(t) = e^{0t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad y(t) = e^{\sqrt{2}t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad z(t) = e^{-\sqrt{2}t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, as linear- any linear combination of these is the solution:

So:

$$X(t) = c_1 e^{0t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 e^{\sqrt{2}t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 e^{-\sqrt{2}t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

IS OUR SOLUTION!!!!

$X(t)$ is actually a 3D vector space.

Matrix exponentials

$A \in M_{n \times n}$; what is:

$$e^A$$

$(\exp(A))$

For a matrix: DEFINE

$$\begin{aligned} e^A &= \exp(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k \\ &= I + A + \frac{1}{2} A^2 + \frac{1}{3!} A^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

If the entries converge, then: e^A is an $n \times n$ matrix

When does e^A exist?

$\exp(A)$ first class: full set of linearly independent eigenvectors

- Hypothesis: Suppose A has a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors
- Assume that hypothesis for the rest of today (we'll look at it if it's not later)

Let $v_1, \dots, v_n$ be the eigenvectors; with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ eigenvalues

Form the following matrix:

$$P = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$$

(whose columns are the eigenvectors)

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore AP &= (Av_1, Av_2, \dots, Av_n) = (\lambda_1 v_1, \dots, \lambda_n v_n) \text{ (by multiplication)} \\ &= P \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \\ &:= P\Lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore P^{-1}AP &= \Lambda \quad (= \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)) \\ \therefore e^A &= I + \Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 + \dots \\ &= \operatorname{diag}(e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}) \end{aligned}$$

LHS:

$$e^{P^{-1}AP}$$

Lemma:

$$(P^{-1}AP)^n = P^{-1}A^n P$$

So:

$$\therefore e^A = P e^{\Lambda} P^{-1} \quad \left(e^{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}(e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}) \right)$$

AND: the eigenvectors of $e^A = e^{\lambda_j}$, where λ_j is eigenvalues of A ,

Example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\therefore x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore e^A &= P e^{\Lambda P^{-1}} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= (\text{ugly answer}) \end{aligned}$$

Diagonalizable or semi-simple matrix

A matrix A for which there exists an invertible matrix P such that

$$P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$$

(where Λ is diagonal is called **diagonalizable** or **semisimple**)

This says, if A is semisimple, then e^A exists, and we can compute it

How big of a restriction is a full set of LI eigenvectors?

Defective matrices

If A does not have a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors, it is called **defective**

- How big of a restriction is being semisimple?
- Are there many semi simple matrices?

Proposition:

Suppose $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ are eigenvalues of a matrix A with eigenvectors v_1 and v_2 . Then v_1 and v_2 are linearly independent

Proof:

If $\exists$ a dependence relation, then $\exists k_1$ and k_2 scalars such that

$$k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 = 0 \quad (k_1, k_2 \neq 0)$$

Applying A :

$$\begin{aligned} A(k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2) &= 0 \\ A k_1 v_1 + A k_2 v_2 &= 0 \\ \therefore k_1 \lambda v_1 + k_2 \lambda v_2 &= 0 \quad (\text{as eigenvectors}) \\ \rightarrow \text{take } -\lambda_1 k_1 v_1 - \lambda_1 k_2 v_2 &= 0 \\ \therefore (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) k_2 v_2 &= 0 \\ \rightarrow k_2 &= 0 \quad (\therefore \text{contradiction}) \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \\ \rho_A(\lambda) &= \lambda^2 - (a + d)\lambda + ad - bc \\ &= \lambda^2 - \tau\lambda + \delta \quad (\text{taking } \tau = a + d; \delta = ad - bc) \\ \left(\tau = \text{Trace}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jj} \quad (\text{sum of the diagonals}) \right) \\ \therefore \lambda &= \frac{\tau \pm \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\delta}}{2} \text{ are roots} \\ \therefore \lambda_+ &\neq \lambda_- \text{ if } \tau^2 - 4\delta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \tau^2 - 4\delta = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \delta = \frac{\tau^2}{4}$$

Therefore: any matrix which does not lie on this parabola in τ, δ space will be diagonalizable

$$\tau^2 - 4\delta = (a - d)^2 + 4bc$$

The set of matrices with repeated eigenvalues, is the locus of points with

$$(a - d)^2 + 4bc = 0$$

A 3D hypersurface, in 4D space

So what if A is defective? Can we compute e^A

- Yes

Two $n \times n$ matrices A, B are commutative, if

$$AB = BA$$

Commutator

A commutator we will define as:

$$[A, B] := AB - BA = 0 \text{ (iff } AB \text{ commute)}$$

Proposition

If A and B commute, then

$$e^{A+B} = e^A + e^B$$

- Aside: if $e^{A+B} = e^A e^B$, and A and B satisfy symmetric $\rightarrow AB = BA$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{A+B} &= I + (A+B) + \frac{1}{2}(A+B)^2 \\
&= I + A + B + \frac{1}{2}(A^2 + AB + BA + B^2) + \dots \\
&= I + A + B + \frac{1}{2}A^2 + AB + \frac{1}{2}B^2 \text{ (as commutative)}
\end{aligned}$$

And:

$$\begin{aligned}
e^A e^B &= \left(I + A + \frac{1}{2}A^2 \dots \right) \left(I + B + \frac{1}{2}B^2 \dots \right) \\
&= I + (A+B) + \frac{1}{2}A^2 + AB + \frac{1}{2}B^2 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ (} a \neq b \text{)}; B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\therefore e^A &= \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 \\ 0 & e^b \end{pmatrix}; e^B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
e^{A+B} &= \begin{pmatrix} e^a & \frac{e^a - e^b}{a - b} \\ 0 & e^b \end{pmatrix} \\
e^A e^B &= e^{A+B} \rightarrow (a - b)e^a = e^a - e^b
\end{aligned}$$

If $x = a - b$

$$\therefore x = 1 - e^{-x}$$

One example of a solution: is

$$x \approx -6.6022 - 736.693 i$$

Nilpotent matrix:

Definition:

A matrix N is nilpotent if some power of it is $= 0$ ($N^T = 0$ for some T)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\text{Eg; } N = & 0 & 1 \rightarrow N^3 = 0 \text{ (calculate)} \\
& 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}$$

This means that the power series terminates for e^N :

$$e^N = I + N + \frac{1}{2}N^2 + 0 + 0 + \dots$$

So- how do we compute the exponential?

Jordan Canonical Form:

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, with complex entries $\exists$ a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and an invertible matrix B (of basis vectors) such that

$$B^{-1}AB = S + N$$

Where S is diagonal (semisimple); and N is nilpotent; and S and N commute ($SN - NS = 0$)

So; this means that e^A exists for all matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} B^{-1}AB &= S + N \\ \rightarrow B^{-1}e^AB &= e^Se^N \end{aligned}$$

$$e^A = Be^Se^NB^{-1}$$

This is cool.

Matrix and other term exponentials:

So now: consider the follow At ; where $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

So:

$$e^{At} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t^k}{k!} \right) A^k$$

For a fixed A ; the exponential is a function map between real numbers and matrices

$$e^{At}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\exp_A(t): t \rightarrow e^{At}$$

$$\exp_A(t+s) = e^{A(t+s)} = e^{At}e^{As} = \exp_A(t) \exp_A(s)$$

e^{At} is invertible! Inverse is e^{-At}

So- what is the derivative between the map of the real numbers to the matrices?

Complex numbers and matrices:

$$\text{Let } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow J^2 = -I; J^3 = -J; J^4 = I$$

$$e^{Jt} = I + Jt + \frac{1}{2}J^2t^2 + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= I + Jt - \frac{1}{2}t^2 I - \frac{1}{3!}t^3 J \\
&= I - \frac{t^2}{2}I + \frac{t^4}{4!} + \dots + J\left(t - \frac{t^3}{3!} + \dots\right) \\
&= \cos t I + \sin t J \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Which is the rotation matrix;

So: the matrix J is the complex number i ; and we wrote Euler's formula

$$e^{it} = \cos t + i \sin t$$

- We can actually take any complex number $z = x + iy$; and find its corresponding matrix

$$M_z := xI + yJ = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

(the technical term is a field isomorphism)

Complex number algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{z_1+z_2} &= M_{z_1} + M_{z_2} \\
M_{z_1 z_2} &= M_{z_1} M_{z_2} = M_{z_2} M_{z_1} = M_{z_2 z_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Complex exponentials:

$$M_{e^z} = e^{Mt}$$

$$z = x + iy:$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e^z &= e^x \cos y + i e^x \sin y = e^x \begin{pmatrix} \cos y & -\sin y \\ \sin y & \cos y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= e^x I + e^y J
\end{aligned}$$

Complex number things:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(M_z) &= x^2 + y^2 = |z|^2 \\
M_{\bar{z}} &= \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} = M_z^T \\
M_r &= rI \quad (\text{if } r \in \mathbb{R}) \\
M_{\bar{z}} M_z &= M_{|z|^2} = |z|^2 I \\
&\text{if } z \neq 0; M_z \text{ is invertible; and} \\
M_z^{-1} &= \frac{1}{|z|^2} M_z^T = \frac{1}{\det(M_z)} M_z^T
\end{aligned}$$

So lets compute $e^{Jt} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$:

Eigenvalues of Jt are $\pm it$

e -vectors are $\begin{pmatrix} \pm i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad P^{-1} = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -1 & i \end{pmatrix} \\
\Lambda &= \begin{pmatrix} it & 0 \\ 0 & -it \end{pmatrix} \\
\rightarrow e^{Jt} &= \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-it} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -1 & i \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

So we got what we started with! (which is good)

Real normal form of matrices

Moving towards a real “normal” form for matrices with real entries but complex eigenvalues

Fact: if $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ then if λ is an eigenvalue; so $\bar{\lambda}$ is an e-value. (complex conjugate theorem). Also-eigenvectors come in conjugate pairs as well

$$\overline{Av = \lambda v} \rightarrow A\bar{v} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{v}$$

- If λ is an eigenvalue $\lambda = a + ib$; then $\bar{\lambda} = a - ib$ is also an e-value
- If $\vec{v} = \vec{u} + i\vec{w}$; ($u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$) $\rightarrow \bar{\vec{v}} = \vec{u} - i\vec{w}$

So:

$$\begin{aligned}
2u &= v + \bar{v} \\
A(2u) &= \lambda v + \bar{\lambda}\bar{v} = 2(a\vec{u} - b\vec{w}) \\
\rightarrow A\vec{u} &= (a\vec{u} - b\vec{w})
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly;

$$A\vec{w} = (a\vec{u} + b\vec{w})$$

So; if P is the $n \times 2$ matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= (u \quad w) \\
\rightarrow AP &= P \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

(we are close to finding the algorithm for computing the matrix exponential of any matrix)

Eg:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Characteristic polynomial is:

$$x^4 + 3x^2 + 1$$

e-values of A are $\pm i\phi$ and $\pm \frac{i}{\phi}$ (with $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ the golden ratio)

so eigenvectors:

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ -\phi \\ -i\phi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\phi \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -\phi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = u_1 + iw_i$$

$$; v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} i\phi \\ -1 \\ i \\ -\phi \end{pmatrix}$$

→

So

$$AP_1 = P_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\phi \\ \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = (u_1 w_1 u_2 w_2) P^{-1} A P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\phi & 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\phi} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

this is called a block diagonal matrix (has blocks of diagonal matrices; and 0s everywhere else)

$$= \phi J \oplus -\frac{1}{\phi} J$$

Direct sum:

$$A \oplus B = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

A and B don't need to be the same size

Lemma: if

$$A = B \oplus C$$

Then

$$e^A = e^B \oplus e^C$$

Proof:

$$\left(A = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right) \text{ which commute}$$

$$\rightarrow e^A = e^{\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} e^{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^B & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & e^C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^B & 0 \\ 0 & e^C \end{pmatrix} = e^B \oplus e^C$$

Repeated eigenvalues

So what do we do if we have repeated eigenvalues? How do we calculate the matrix exponential?

Generalized eigenspace:

Definition

Suppose λ_j is an eigenvalue of a matrix A with algebraic multiplicity n_j . We define the generalized eigenspace of λ_j as:

$$E_j := \ker[(A - \lambda_j I)^{n_j}]$$

There are basically two reasons why this definition is important. The first is that these generalized eigenspaces are INVARIANT UNDER MULTIPLICATION BY A (does not change). That is: if $v \in E_j$, then Av is as well

Proposition: of generalized eigenspace

Suppose that E_j is a generalized eigenspace of the matrix A , then if $v \in E_j$; so is Av

Proof of proposition:

- If $v \in E_j$ for some generalized eigenspace, for some eigenvalue λ ; then $v \in \ker((A - \lambda I)^k)$ say for some k . Then we have that $(A - \lambda I)^k \vec{v} = (A - \lambda I)^k (A - \lambda I + \lambda I)v$
 $= (A - \lambda I)^{k+1} + (A - \lambda I)^k \lambda v = 0$

The second reason we care about this is that it will give us our set of linearly independent generalized eigenvectors; which we can use to find e^A

Primary decomposition theorem

Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation of an n dimensional vector space over the complex numbers. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the distinct eigenvalues (not necessarily $= n$); if E_j is the eigenspace for λ_j , then $\dim(E_j)$ = the algebraic multiplicity of λ_j and the generalized eigenvectors span V . In terms of vector spaces, we have:

$$V = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots \oplus E_k$$

Combining this with the previous proposition: T will decompose the vector space into the direct sum of the invariant subspaces.

The next thing to do is explicitly determine the semisimple nilpotent decomposition. Before: we had the diagonal matrix Λ which was

- Block diagonal
- In normal form for complex eigenvalues

- Diagonal for real eigenvalues

Suppose we have a real matrix A with n eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with repeated multiplicity, suppose we break them up into complex ones and real ones, so we have $\lambda_1, \overline{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_k, \overline{\lambda_k}$ complex and $\lambda_{2k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ real. Let $\lambda_j = a_j + ib + j$ then:

$$\Lambda := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ -b_1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{pmatrix} a_k & b_k \\ -b_k & a_k \end{pmatrix} \oplus \text{diag}(\lambda_{2k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

Let $v_1, \overline{v_1}, \dots, v_{2k+1}, \dots, v_n$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of generalised eigenvectors, then for complex ones: write $v_j = u_j + iw_j$

Let

$$P := (u_1 \ w_1 \ u_2 \ w_2 \ \dots \ u_k \ w_k \ v_{2k+1} \ \dots \ v_n)$$

Returning to our construction, the matrix P is invertible (because of the primary decomposition theorem); so we can write the new matrix as

$$S = P\Lambda P^{-1}$$

And a matrix

$$N = A - S$$

We have the following:

Theorems:

Let A, N, S, Λ and P be the matrices defined: then

1. $A = S + N$
2. S is semisimple
3. S, N and A commute
4. N is nilpotent

Proofs of theorems:

1. From definition of N
2. From construction of S
3. $[S, A] = 0$ suppose that v is a generalized eigenvector of A associated to eigenvalue λ . By construction: v is a genuine eigenvector of S . Note that eigenspace is invariant, $[S, A]v = SAV - ASv = \lambda(Av - Av) = 0$ so N and S commute. A and N commute from the definition of N and that A commutes with S
4. suppose maximum algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue of A is m . Then for any $v \in E_j$ a generalized eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_j . We have $N^m v = (A - S)^m v = (A - S)^{m-1} v (A - \lambda_j) v = (A - \lambda_j)^m v = 0$

General algorithm for finding e^A

1. find eigenvalues

2. find generalized eigenspace for each eigenvalue.; eigenvalues Λ
3. Turn these vectors into P
4. $S = P\Lambda P^{-1}$
5. $N = A - S$
6. $e^{At} = e^{St}e^{Nt} = Pe^{\Lambda P^{-1}t}e^{(A-S)t}$

Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues:

$$\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1-\lambda & 1 \\ -4 & 3-\lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda = 1, 1$$

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(1, 1) = I$$

Generalized eigenspace:

$$\ker((A - \lambda I)^{n_j}) = \ker((A - I)^2) = \ker\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \ker\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{R}^2$$

So our vectors must span $\mathbb{R}^n$; choose

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I$$

$$\rightarrow S = P\Lambda P^{-1} = I$$

$$\rightarrow N = A - S = A - I = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So then:

$$e^A = e^{ST}e^{Nt}$$

Last time:

Algorithm for computing e^A and e^{At}

Eg: let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & 3 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Evals at $\lambda = 2, 2, 1, 1$

Generalized eigenspace for $\lambda = 2$

$$E_2 = \ker((A - 2I)^2)$$

E_2 is spanned by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$E_1 = \ker((A - I)^2)$ spanned by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(2, 2, 1, 1); S = P\Lambda P^{-1}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P^{-1} = \dots$$

$$S = P\Lambda P^{-1}$$

$$N = AS$$

Note: if not using a computer:

Check that N is nilpotent ($N^a = 0$ for some a at most the size of the matrix,)

check $NS - SN = 0$ (that they commute)

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore At &= (S + N)t = St + Nt \\ \rightarrow e^{At} &= e^{St}e^{Nt} = Pe^{\Lambda t}P^{-1}e^{Nt} \\ &= Pe^{\Lambda t}P^{-1}(1 + Nt) \end{aligned}$$

$e^{At}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{R}) = (\text{Space of invertible } n \times n \text{ matrices with real entries})$

Derivative of e^{At}

Proposition: what is

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{At}) = Ae^{At} = e^{At}A$$

($Ae^{At} = e^{At}A$ from that e^{At} exists and = power series representation)

Proof 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(e^{At}) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(I + tA + \frac{1}{2}t^2A^2 + \frac{t^3}{3!}A^3 + \dots \right) \\ &= A + tA^2 + \frac{t^2}{2}A^3 + \frac{t^3}{3!}A^4 + \dots \\ &= A \left(1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{3!} + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

(we will show it converges uniformly, and so we can differentiate it term by term)

$$= Ae^{At}$$

Proof 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(e^{At}) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{e^{A(t+h)} - e^{At}}{h} \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{e^{At}(e^{Ah} - I)}{h} \right) \\ &= e^{At} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\left(Ah + \frac{A^2h^2}{2} + \dots \right)}{h} \right) \\ &= e^{At} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(A + \frac{A^2}{2}h + \dots \right) \\ &= e^{At}A \end{aligned}$$

This proposition implies that e^{At} is a solution to the matrix differential equation:

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) = A\Phi(t)$$

(note: A is constant coefficient)

A cannot be functions of t , as the functions may not commute with the integral

Comparing this to the 1x1 differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= ay \\ \rightarrow y &= Ce^{at} \end{aligned}$$