# **FOUNATIONS OF LAW 70102 INDEX:**

2: Australian legal system			
<ul> <li>Categories of law: crim, public/private, substantive/procedural, domestic/int</li> </ul>	4		
<ul> <li>Sources of law, delegated legislation</li> </ul>	5		
5: British legal system: common law			
equity, history, Norman Conquest, Feudalism	6-7		
3: What is law			
Purpose of law	8		
	8		
Law and morality	_		
Natural law	9		
Legal positivism	9		
Australia's adherence to rule of law re: asylum seekers (one article)	9-10		
4: Legal writing			
<ul><li>problem solving</li></ul>	11		
<ul><li>critical thinking</li></ul>	11		
5: British history			
<ul> <li>Court hierarchy – advantages, the different courts, appeals</li> </ul>	12-13		
<ul> <li>Doctrine of precedent</li> </ul>	13-14		
6: Case law			
Cohen v Sellar	15		
■ V v P	15		
Ratio/obiter	15		
7: Case note	13		
	1.0		
Medium neutral citation	16		
Barrett v Ministry of defence	16-17		
<ul> <li>How to write case note</li> </ul>	16		
<ul> <li>Case analysis and interpretation</li> </ul>	17		
8: Problem question			
<ul> <li>Cattanach v Melchoir</li> </ul>	18		
<ul> <li>Reasoning by deduction, induction and analogy</li> </ul>	18		
<ul> <li>Legal principles and policy</li> </ul>	18		
9: British origins of parliament			
<ul> <li>British history: Magna Carta, rise of parliament, Glorious revolution w/ dates</li> </ul>	19		
Parliamentary sovereignty	19		
Adoption into Australia	20		
Types of democracy, Constitutional monarchy	20		
<ul> <li>Universal suffrage</li> </ul>	20		
	20-21		
Separation of powers	-		
<ul> <li>Responsible gov't, representative gov't</li> </ul>	21		
Rule of law			
10: Statutory interpretation			
<ul><li>Latin maxims and cases</li></ul>	22		
<ul> <li>Literal, purposive, contextual, common law, statutory</li> </ul>	23-24		
<ul><li>Extrinsic materials</li></ul>	23-24		
11: Navigating legislation:			
<ul> <li>Structure of legislation – Gambling Two up act</li> </ul>	25		
12: Legal research (case law)			
Case citators	26		
Law reports	26		
• Case citation	26		
Legal research skills	27		
13: Legal research (legislation and secondary sources)	<u> </u>		
	20		
• Legislation	28		
Secoundary sources	28		
Legal research – books, journals, encyclopaedia, dictionary			
14: Research strategy  How to do one	29		

15: History (Terra nullius to 1900)  Repupancy crisis Indigenous law- Aboriginal customary law- what it is, tribal punishment Terra nullius, doctrine of reception, Mabo Cloinization - timeline of colonial acts Treatment of Indigenous Treatment of Indigenous History of haphazard recognition - R v Ballard, R v Murrell, R v Bonjon Treatment by British, situation in TAS Ball v McIntyre Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Reading and a			
Indigenous law- Aboriginal customary law- what it is, tribal punishment Terra nullius, doctrine of reception, Mabo Clolonization - timeline of colonial acts Treatment of Indigenous Treatment of Indigenous History of haphazard recognition - R v Ballard, R v Murrell, R v Bonjon Treatment by British, situation in TAS Farly legislative council Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by British, situation in TAS Reading and analyzing cases Reading analyzing cases Reading and analyzing cases Reading analyzing cases Reading and analyzing cases Reading analyzing analyzing cases Reading analyzing analyzing cases Reading analyzing analyzing c			
Terra nullius, doctrine of reception, Mabo Colonization - timeline of colonial acts Treatment of Indigenous History of haphazard recognition – R v Ballard, R v Murrell, R v Bonjon History of haphazard recognition – R v Ballard, R v Murrell, R v Bonjon Treatment by Brittish, situation in TAS Farly legislative council Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases Reading and analyzing cases Reading and analyzing cases Protection era Protection era Protection era Constitution structure Constitution structure Constitution structure Constitution structure Constitution structure Rights and freedoms Rights for the Wall of the Wall	•		
Colonization – timeline of colonial acts   31-32	•		
History of haphazard recognition – R v Ballard, R v Murrell, R v Bonjon Treatment by British, situation in TAS The Star ly legislative council Ball v McIntyre Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases The Star Reading and analyzing cases The Federal system, why federate? Protection era The Stolen Generation The Stolen Genera	•		
History of haphazard recognition – R v Ballard, R v Murrell, R v Bonjon Treatment by British, situation in TAS Ball v Bearly legislative council Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases Treatment by More and the state of th	•		31-32
Early legislative council  Early legislative council  Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases  Reading and analyzing cases  Reading and analyzing cases  Federal system, why federate? Protection era The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitutional conventions Constitution structure Constitution and conventions Convention and con	•		32-33
Early legislative council   36-37	•	History of haphazard recognition – R v Ballard, R v Murrell, R v Bonjon	33
16: Problem question Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases 37 17: History (1901 - now) Federal system, why federate? Protection era The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitution structure Constitutional conventions Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual Cinitian and Constitution Constitution structure Changing the constitution Executive power in Aus Protection era of each state Protection	•	Treatment by British, situation in TAS	34-35
Ball v McIntyre Reading and analyzing cases  17: Historry (1901 - now) Federal system, why federate? Protection era Protection era Protection era The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitution or nowellons Adventage Constitution of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual Rights and freedoms Changing the constitution Changing the C	•	Early legislative council	35
Peading and analyzing cases  17: History (1901 - now)  Federal system, why federate?  Protection era  The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitution structure Constitution structure Constitution structure Constitution at Conventions Division of power − exclusive, concurrent, residual Rights and freedoms Changing the constitution Charlier the change changing the constitution Changing the consti			
17: History (1901- now)  Federal system, why federate? Protection era The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitution acconventions Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual Rights and freedoms Changing the constitution Changing the constitution Protection era of each state Changing the constitution Protection era of each state Protection era of each state Right to vote Right to vote Stolen wages Right to vote Stolen wages Right to vote Stolen wages Right to vote Resident of the stolen was systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc Types: public/private Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen Source of influence in Aus Rodo for treaties Children in detention – breach of CROC SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR SMH article – UN Security Council, ICI, ICC, GA  Distinction between law and custom Definitions of customary law and pluralism Definitions of customary law and pluralism Definition of a treaty, history of backlash Constitutional change Not the definition of treaty, history of backlash Constitutional change Resident of the constitution of the second of the constitution of the second of the constitution of the constitution of the second of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Federal system, why federate? Protection era The Stolen Generation The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitution of Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual Rights and freedoms Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual Rights and freedoms Changing the constitution Changing the constitution Protection era of each state Rational system Right to vote Right to vote Right to vote Rada Goodes article – New Matilda Stolen wages Right to vote Reing globally minded Rein			37
Protection era The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitution structure Rights and freedoms The Rights and freedoms Rights and freedoms Protection era of each state Protection era of each state Right to vote Right to vote Right to vote Stolen wages Right to vote Stolen wages Right to vote Right golably minded Stolen wages Right number of each state Rights and freedoms Right to vote Right number of each state Right to vote Right to vote Right ovet Ri	17: His		
The Stolen Generation Constitution structure Constitution conventions Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual Rights and freedoms Changing the constitution Changing the constitution Protection era of each state Rational System Right to vote Right to vote Stolen wages Right to vote Being globally minded Stolen wages Being globally minded Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc Types: public/private Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen Roman du Schimate change deal Romen for treaties Children in detention – breach of CROC SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA  19: Indigenous law Distinction between law and custom Abo, Native title Recognition in aus law Circle sentencing NT intervention Constitutional change The Drum article – difference in understanding Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition For State attempts — NT constitution, WA LRC	•		
<ul> <li>Constitution structure</li> <li>Constitutional conventions</li> <li>Division of power − exclusive, concurrent, residual</li> <li>Rights and freedoms</li> <li>Changing the constitution</li> <li>Executive power in Aus</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article − New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism − Aus has dualism − cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention − breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article − UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment − breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article − difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts - NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Incorporation - Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts - NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	-	Protection era	38
<ul> <li>Constitutional conventions</li> <li>Division of power - exclusive, concurrent, residual</li> <li>Rights and freedoms</li> <li>Changing the constitution</li> <li>Executive power in Aus</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article - New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism - Aus has dualism - cases of Toonen</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention - breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article - UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment - breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICI, ICC, GA</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and custom</li> <li>Agaboratic plant and and custom</li> <li>Puscurity Council, ICI, ICC, GA</li> <li>Postinction between law and custom</li> <li>Definition and law and custom</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Puscurity Council, ICI, ICC, GA</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article - difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Millirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Millirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Incorporation - Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts - NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	-	The Stolen Generation	39
<ul> <li>Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual</li> <li>Rights and freedoms</li> <li>Changing the constitution</li> <li>Executive power in Aus</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>National system</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc,</li> <li>Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Incorporation - Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> <li>Ses</li> </ul>	•		39-40
<ul> <li>Rights and freedoms</li> <li>Changing the constitution</li> <li>Executive power in Aus</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>National system</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>43-44</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Millrrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	•	Constitutional conventions	40
<ul> <li>Changing the constitution</li> <li>Executive power in Aus</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>National system</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICI, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	•	Division of power – exclusive, concurrent, residual	40-41
<ul> <li>Executive power in Aus</li> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>National system</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>45-46</li> <li>18: International law</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> <li>59</li> </ul>	•	Rights and freedoms	
<ul> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>National system</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>45-46</li> <li>18: International law</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> <li>59</li> </ul>	•	Changing the constitution	41-42
<ul> <li>Protection era of each state</li> <li>National system</li> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>45-46</li> <li>18: International law</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> <li>59</li> </ul>	•		42
<ul> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>45-46</li> <li>18: International law</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>48-49</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	•	-	42-43
<ul> <li>Right to vote</li> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>45-46</li> <li>18: International law</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>48-49</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	-	National system	43
<ul> <li>1967 referendum</li> <li>Adam Goodes article – New Matilda</li> <li>Stolen wages</li> <li>45-46</li> <li>18: International law</li> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>48-49</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	-		43-44
* Stolen wages 45-46  18: International law	•		
* Stolen wages 45-46  18: International law		Adam Goodes article – New Matilda	45
18: International law  Being globally minded  Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc  Types: public/private  Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen  Mes-49  Source of influence in Aus  Implementing treaties in Aus  China and US climate change deal  Need for treaties  Children in detention – breach of CROC  SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases  Myselm seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR  UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA  19: Indigenous law  Definitions of customary law and pluralism  Definitions of customary law and pluralism  Recognition in aus law  Circle sentencing  NT intervention  ST-55  Option of a treaty, history of backlash  Constitutional change  Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments  Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition  State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC  Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding			
<ul> <li>Being globally minded</li> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>48-49</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	18· Int		10 10
<ul> <li>Different legal and governmental systems: monarchy, presidential etc, Common, civil, religious systems etc</li> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Definitions between law and custom</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			47
Common, civil, religious systems etc Types: public/private Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen Source of influence in Aus Implementing treaties in Aus China and US climate change deal Need for treaties Children in detention – breach of CROC SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA  Separation of customary law and pluralism Definitions of customary law and pluralism Distinction between law and custom Mabo, Native title Recognition in aus law Circle sentencing NT intervention Option of a treaty, history of backlash Constitutional change The Drum article – difference in understanding Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law) State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding			
<ul> <li>Types: public/private</li> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Monism v dualism – Aus has dualism – cases of Toonen</li> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Source of influence in Aus</li> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Implementing treaties in Aus</li> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>China and US climate change deal</li> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Need for treaties</li> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>		•	
<ul> <li>Children in detention – breach of CROC</li> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>SMH article – UN guilty on 150 cases</li> <li>Asylum seeker treatment – breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Asylum seeker treatment - breach of ICCPR</li> <li>UN Security Council, ICJ, ICC, GA</li> <li>19: Indigenous law</li> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article - difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation - Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts - NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
19: Indigenous law Definitions of customary law and pluralism Distinction between law and custom Mabo, Native title Recognition in aus law Circle sentencing NT intervention Option of a treaty, history of backlash Constitutional change The Drum article – difference in understanding Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognize criminal law) State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding			
19: Indigenous law  Definitions of customary law and pluralism Distinction between law and custom Mabo, Native title Recognition in aus law Circle sentencing NT intervention NT intervention Constitutional change The Drum article – difference in understanding Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law) State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding		<del>-</del>	
<ul> <li>Definitions of customary law and pluralism</li> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			52
<ul> <li>Distinction between law and custom</li> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			[4
<ul> <li>Mabo, Native title</li> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>		*	
<ul> <li>Recognition in aus law</li> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Circle sentencing</li> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>NT intervention</li> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Option of a treaty, history of backlash</li> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>		~	
<ul> <li>Constitutional change</li> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>The Drum article – difference in understanding</li> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Native title since Mabo - Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd, Native title act, amendments</li> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation - Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts - NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
amendments  Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition  Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)  State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC  Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding  57-58  58  59			
<ul> <li>Pluralism already existing? Haphazard recognition</li> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	•		57
<ul> <li>Incorporation – Walker v NSW (trying to recognize criminal law)</li> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>State attempts – NT constitution, WA LRC</li> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> <li>59</li> </ul>	•		
<ul> <li>Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding</li> </ul>	•		
	•	-	
<ul><li>Need for treaty</li><li>60</li></ul>	•	Is constitutional reform bad? White people deciding	59
	•	Need for treaty	60

20. 1	iangudanga Judigial raaganing	
∠0: Jur -	isprudence: Judicial reasoning	61
	Legal formalism – strict formalism	_
•	Moderate formalism – Kirby, theorists	62
•	Legal realism	63
•	Judicial activism – Brennan, Mabo, positives and negatives	63-64
•	Critical legal studies – indeterminacy thesis, law not stable, critical of	64-65
	liberalism	<b></b>
•	Accountability of judiciary – backlash after Wik	65
•	Reasons for formalism	65-66
24 7	Use of realism	66
21: Jur	isprudence: law, class and gender	(7.60
_	Marxist legal theory: intro, overview of theory	67-68
•	Historical context to Marxist – feudalism to capitalism	68
•	Class, capitalism, Industrial revolution	68-69
•	Criticisms of Marxist	69
•	SMH article – companies getting away with crime	69
•	Statistics on Australian economy	70
-	Feminist legal theory – overview on history, lobbying and changes to the law,	70-71
	sex discrimination, issues within the theory – cultural, postmodern	
•	Attempts to educate	71
•	Outsider jurisprudence	71
-	General belief of feminist legal theory	72
•	Liberal feminism	72-73
-	Difference or cultural feminism	73
•	Radical feminism	73
•	Postmodern feminism	74
-	Family – public/private debate – personal is the political	74
•	Rights argument	75
•	Women in rural courts	75
22: Jur	isprudence: law, race and the environment	
-	Earth jurisprudence – animal ethics	76
-	Anthropocentric view – overview	76-77
-	Aristotle, Aquinas, Kantian	77
•	Non-anthropocentric: some form of moral status	77
•	Same moral status as humans	77-78
	Rights based approach	78
	Animal ethics – law on cruelty	78
-	Moral status of trees, ecosystem	79
-	Ecological limits – Earth's bleak status	79
	Earth Jurisprudence – theorists of	79
	Keys to EJ	79-80
	Barriers to living in limits, how do we live in limits?	80
	Planetary boundaries – how to make gov't structures, redesign	80-81
	Critical race theory: characteristics, history	82
	Key themes of CRT	82-83
	Race in society	83
	Post colonialism – west v rest, decolonization	83
	Indigenous people – hard to get a licence	83-84
22. Iur	Indigenous numbers in jail	84
23: Jur  -	isprudence: Louth v Diprose	OF.
•	Difference between applied, distinguished, overruled	85
•	Reasoning in Louth v Diprose	85-86
-	Facts/summary of case, judges' reasoning	86-89
	Logal tominist theory in practice. Lies Carmae dominant parrative at play	89-92
-	Legal feminist theory in practice – Lisa Sarmas – dominant narrative at play	
•	Deconstruction of the narrative Analysis of the judicial reasoning	89-92 89-92

# 2 and 5 Australian legal system and The British origins of the judiciary

#### Sem 2 Topics:

- > Categories of law: the different ways that law is divided and classified
  - o Criminal/civil
  - o Public/private
  - Substantive/procedural
  - Domestic/international
- Sources of law:
  - o Primary source: cases and legislation
  - Secondary source: textbook, journals
  - o International law
- **➤** What is common law:
  - o Comparison to legislation
  - o Comparison to civil system
  - Comparison to equity
- > Problem solving using IRAC

James and Field: pp 45-48, 96-97, 146-148, 158-161, 174-180

Substantive: system of legal rules that sets out the rights and obligations of individuals and the state

Procedural: system of legal rules that regulate legal process such as civil litigation or criminal prosecution

What the law is (substantive) v how the law works (procedural)

Within substantive, are public and private

Public: relationship between the individual and the state. Establishes the rights and obligations of individuals and state when dealing with each other. Usually CRIMINAL law

Types:

- Constitutional: relationship between arms of government, and between government and citizens
- ➤ Administrative: regulates admin activities of the government. The government is accountable for its actions, seek judicial review
- Criminal: offences and penalties
- Taxation: regulates admin and collection of tax

Private: relationships between people in the community. Regulations of dealing with others. Usually CIVIL law

Types:

- > Torts: remedy for those harmed by acts or omissions of others. Civil wrong other than contract breach. Eg trespass, negligence, defamation
- ➤ Contract law: regulates agreements and promises legally enforceable agreement
- Property law: property rights in things (personal property) and land (real property)
- Company law: establishment, management and dissolution of corporations

Incidences can attract both criminal and civil cases – eg physically assaulted – can use tort (battery) and criminal offence

Domestic: (municipal) regulates persons within a particular jurisdiction such as nation or state

> Criminal: maintaining social order- state v person

> Civil: people v people, or government acting as person

#### International:

- Public international: regulates relationships between states treaties, conventions
- Private: determines which states' laws should be applied to resolve a dispute between people in different states

#### Sources of law:

Primary: legislation and case law - those items that actually make up the law

Legislation: overrides case law. As parliament passes more legislation, community life more increasingly regulated by statute

Can be both reactive and prospective

Much wider applicability. Court decisions are only binding on the parties

> Common law: still important as it interprets the law, decides its limits, defines terms. Also decide if legislation is constitutional

Is reactive – created to address an old problem

Secondary: documents that summarise, critique, show the law – eg textbooks, journals, dictionaries, government reports, parliamentary reports

## Delegated legislation:

- Parliament passes 'parent legislation' setting out overarching principles and objectives of a regulatory scheme and then delegates to an authority to make delegated legislation.
- ➤ The authority may be the GG, Executive Council an individual minister, a local authority, a government department or government agency.
- ➤ Most common form is regulations, which usually need to be tabled in parliament legislature can disallow
- > Routinely examined for relevance sunset clauses time runs out on regulation
- > Saves time of parliament who have less expertise, made by appropriately qualified experts, better able to devise a solution, easier and quicker to amend an instrument
- ➤ However, is undemocratic- laws are supposed to be made by elected officials
- Contributes to potential over-regulation of business and community

### Civil and Criminal Procedures (174-180)

#### Civil:

- 1. Decision by plaintiff to litigate, consider evidence, cost time, stress, last resort. Issue a formal letter of demand to person being sued. If no agreement, litigation commences
- 2. Statement of claim writ or summons. Filed to court, served to defendant must receive. Defendant can produce a defence, may put in counterclaim. If no defence, go to court and seek default judgement plaintiff automatically wins
- 3. Pre trial: each party knows what other is doing can ask interrogatories must answer. Given relevant documents discovery. Can seek interlocutory order if other not cooperating. Once all info, issue certificate of readiness to court, hearing set. Many disputes settled in pre-trial
- 4. Plaintiff has burden of proof, standard is balance of probabilities
- 5. Opening statements, supporting evidence may be real evidence (objects to examine) or direct evidence (person account)
- 6. Plaintiff's evidence first examination in chief, cross, re-examination.
- 7. Defence in same order
- 8. Closing statements
- 9. Usually no jury, just judge
- 10. Remedies may be damages, injunction or specific performance

- 11. Order for costs mostly given to losing case
- 12. May be able to appeal on question of law

#### Criminal:

- 1. Arrest or in minor offence, charged. Issue of bail decided, paying a surety
- 2. Proceedings begin when charged. Plead guilty or not guilty. If guilty, only sentencing
- 3. Prosecutor may offer a plea bargain.
- 4. If indictable offence, committal hearing is held in local court to see if enough evidence for trial
- 5. If charged with indictable, may have option to hear summarily in local court
- 6. Crown with burden of proof, standard is beyond a reasonable doubt
- 7. Same evidence types also evidence checked on relevance, admissibility and weight. Witnesses can be subpoenaed
- 8. Same order of evidence, closing statements
- 9. Judges summarise for jury, jury make decision in indictable criminal
- 10. Court decides sentence
- 11. May be able to appeal to higher court