1. CONTINGENT CONDITIONS

A. Introduction

- I. Contingent condition:
 - 1) Duty to perform oblig does not arise until occurrence/not of event -neither P promises to ensure will/not occur
- II. Timing:
 - 1) If no time specified, reasonable time implied; Perri v Coolangatta (1982)

B. Identification

- I. Condition precedent: event must occur BEFORE performance due
 - 1) Promissory
 - a. Obligation to perform promise does not arise until execution of other's promise
 - b. Tramways test of essentiality
 - Non-promissory ('contingent')
 - a. No promise that condition precedent will be fulfilled
 - a. i.e. 'subject to' weighs more to condition than promise; McTier v Haupt (1991)
- II. <u>Condition subsequent:</u> duty of perform IMMEDIATE comes to end should event occur/not

C. Approach

- I. Determining whether immediate duty of performance arises
 - 1) <u>Distinguishing performance from formation</u>
 - a. Condition precedent to:
 - (1) Formation
 - (a) No enforceable rights UNLESS & UNTIL condition fulfilled; Perri (Mason dissent)
 - (2) Performance
 - (a) Rights created are capable of enforcement obligation to perform depends on fulfilment
 - b. Presumption as to performance:
 - a. CP to formation rather than performance where 'contract read as whole plainly compels this conclusion'; *Perri* (Mason J)
 - (2) Rebuttable presumption:
 - (1) Displaces where provide that the agreement is:
 - (1) 'subject to formal contract'; or (Masters v Cameron)
 - (2) 'subject to satisfactory survey' (Astra Trust v Adams)
 - (3) Factors in favour:
 - (1) Signature; Perri (Gibbs CJ)
 - (2) Obligations can be found prior to condition coming into effect e.g. payment of deposit, implied promise to take reasonable steps to fulfil CC; *Perri* (Gibbs CJ)

2) Has the need for fulfilment been eliminated?

- Waived by means of a contractually agreed variation, an election or an estoppel;
 - A. Contractually agreed
 - A. Writing (if governed by Statute of Frauds), by deed & consideration
 - B. Waiver:
 - 1. **Timing:** before express/implied time for fulfilment; *Grange v Sullivan*
 - 2. Benefit of waiving party
 - i. Right rests with party for whose benefit clause exists; Perri Brennan J
 - a. "one should not lightly imply a right of waiver in 1 party to possible prejudice of other unless it clearly emerges on face of contract"; Sandra Investments v Booth (1983) (Wilson J)
 - ii. Sole benefit: party seeking unilateral waiver; Toga Developments 1973 Mahoney J
 - 3. Onus of proof: on party alleging condition for exclusive benefit; Raysun v Taylor (1971)
 - 4. Substance: determine whether party has sole benefit of condition
 - Temporal element likely to benefit both parties; Perri Brennan
 - Method: Unequivocal indication of intent to dispense with condition election between inconsistent rights; Sargent v ASL Developments (1974)