## PART 1: APPROACHES TO THE STUDY OF FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES

## Week 1 - Lecture 1: Interconnections between children, families and communities

## The role of context in children's development

- § Differences in appearances are results from not only genetics but an individuals environment
- § Assumed to be born with temperament or personality
- However life circumstances can alter them
  - § Exploring the way nature and nurture work together, impacting child development
  - § Educators are in a position to alter and improve the context of children's lives to increase their advantages
- o Knowledge and guidance can be used to enhance development
- § Australia 'The Lucky Country' Well-developed economy
- High health standards
  Education and Welfare services
  Stable political system
- $\S$  Context for optimum development  $\circ$  Supportive families
- Financial security § Concerning statistics
- Increased obesity, depression and substance abuse
- Decreased health and economic status of indigenous children §
- Alan Hayes
- Two worlds ⇒ Advantaged
- ⇒ Disadvantaged (gap widening between) Children as young as 2 years old

§ • 1/4 of school starters lacking adequate language and cognitive skills o Primarily children from low socioeconomic o From remote areas o Indigenous families

## **Theorists**

Bronfenbrenner – The Social Ecology Model

- § • Ecological approach to child development
- § Demonstrating complex layers of contextual influence
- S Show the relationship between the individuals immediate environment and social policy and culture Social Ecological Model Individual Child Microsystems- direct participation and interaction (e.g. family, childcare setting, peer group, school, church or neighbourhood) Mesosystems- interrelations between settings in which child actively participants (e.g. the degree of congruence between the two settings) Exosystems-linkages between 2 or more settings (e.g. parent workplace, religious hierarchy, Educational system, government agencies, mass media) Macrosystems- the persasive influence of social, cultural, economic and political issues (e.g. dominant beliefs and ideologies) Chronosystem- development within the person (e.g. dimensions of time) What are the Practical Implications of this Approach?
- 1- Focuses on the developing child in the real world
- . 2- Pays a lot of attention to the social environment in its many diverse forms
- . 3- It recognises the essentially active role of the individuals- shaping as well as being shaped by social contexts
- § Two way street

- Children will be influences by environment and people
- o The environment and people will be influenced by children
  - § Children are active beings and will choose environments that reflect their own characteristics
  - § Individuals as well as contexts change over time (chronosystem)
  - § Parenting approaches to behaviour management change and alter depending on child's age
- o Changes historically over time § Women increased role in workforce: cultural change, both influences and is influenced by social policy Bronfenbrenner The Biological Model
  - § Individual characteristics: temperament
  - § •Biological elements/genetics
  - § 'Proximal Processes': people, activities, symbols and objects that act as mediators between the individual and the influential context
  - § Proximal processes lead to competence or dysfunction depending on o Form o Timing o Predictability o Intensity o Duration o Frequency
  - § 'Microtime' continuity or discontinuity of episodes of proximal processes
  - § 'Mesotime' frequency of these episodes over large time intervals (e.g. months)
  - § 'Macrotime' changing expectations and events within society (chronosystem) **Similar Theories**

- § •Vygotsky 'guided participation'
- § Wengers model of development through participation in numerous communities of practice
- § Gallimore & Weisners ecocultural theory
- § Rogoff cultural context Week 1 Lecture 2: Parenthood and Family Life-Cycle Transitions The Family Life Cycle Succession of stages through which the typical or nuclear family passes.
- Inadequate model for the development of new family and household structures
- 2. Only static description of current circumstances rather than dynamic explanation of how circumstances came to be
- 3. Concept does not allow for diversity of experience within a particular life cycle state

Rowland (1991) suggests 5 family life cycle categories

- 1. Single person (non family)
- 2. Childless life cycle
- 3. Single-parent life cycle
- 4. Nuclear family life cycle
- 5. Step family life cycle

Experience of Pregnancy: Mother's Reactions

· Anxious about birth and responsibility of child