## Consumer Guarantees under the ACL

 Contract – Purchase of an item/service – it does not work or is not as requested

**Issue:** The legal issue in this matter concerns consumer guarantees, specifically that a product has to be acceptable quality // services must be provided with due care and skill, under the Australian Consumer Law.

## **Rules & Application:**

GOODS
Section 54 ACL provides that suppliers and manufacturers guarantee that goods are
of acceptable quality when sold to a customer.
Section 54 (2) provides that the test is whether a reasonable consumer, fully aware
of the goods' condition (including any defects) would find them:
a. Fit for all the purposes for which goods of that kind are commonly supplied
b. Acceptable in appearance and finish
c. Free from defects
d. Safe
e. Durable
<u>Section 55</u> states that the consumer specifies the purpose for which they acquire the
goods and the consumer relies on the skill or judgement of the supplier, there is
guarantee that the goods are fit for the disclosed purpose.
may sue for breach of the ACL guarantees that
(a) thedoes not comply with its description (s 56);(defendant)
recommends This is in response to(plaintiff)'s explaining that
[She// He] needs a reliable and functionalfor [commercial//
domestics purposes] to be used exclusively in It turns out that(i.e.
issues // description of appears) anddoes not correspond with the
description implied whenrecommends.
(b) is not acceptable quality (s54); It is not "fit for all purpose for which goods of that
kind are commonly bought" and is not "free from defects" as theeither
or failed to

(c) is r	ot fit for its disclosed p	ourpose (s 55); Under s 55,	is in breach of s 55 as
the	is not fit for	's disclosed purposes -	and
	_relies on(det	fendant)'s recommendation.	

SERVICES
// Section 3(1) ACL provides that a person is taken to have acquired goods as
consumer if the amount paid for the goods does not exceed \$40,000; or if the price
of the goods exceeds \$40,000, the goods were of a kind ordinarily acquired for
personal, domestic or household use or consumption; or the goofs consisted of a
vehicle or trailer acquired for use principally in the transport of goods on public
roads. It matters that must be established is that you are a consumer. In this case,
the cost ofis which is less than \$40,000, which means you are a
consumer within the definition of s 3 ACL // Even if thecosts more than
\$40,000, it is goods of a kind ordinarily acquired for personal, domestic or household
use and is not bought for resupply and to be used up in trade or commerce.
Therefore,has the benefit of the statutory guarantees.
Section 60 ACL provides that suppliers guarantee their services are provided with
due care and skill. This means they must:
a. Use an acceptable level of skill or technical knowledge when providing the
services
b. Take all necessary care to avoid loss of damage when providing the services
The problem involves, which is a service. Pursuant to <u>s 60 ACL</u> all
services must be carried out with due care and skill. The facts state that
but does not attach them securely. Therefore,(plaintiff) could
argue that(defendant) has breached the consumer guarantee of due care
and skill under the ACL.

Where the non-compliance is a *major failure* or cannot be remedied by the business, the consumer can reject the goods (that is, return the goods for a refund or a replacement) or require the business to pay to the consumer the difference between the value of goods and the price paid for them: <u>ACL s 260.</u> A failure to comply with a guarantee is a major failure:

- a. The goods would not have been acquired by a reasonable consumer fully acquainted with the nature and extent of the failure
- b. The goods depart in one or more significant respects from their description, sample or demonstration model
- c. The goods are substantially unfit for a purpose for which goods of that kind are commonly supplied and they cannot, easily and within reasonable time, be remedied to make them fit for such a purpose.
- d. The goods are unfit for a disclosed purpose that was made known to the business and they cannot, easily and within a reasonable time, be remedied to make them fit for such a purpose
- e. The goods are not acceptable quality because they are unsafe

However, the consumer will lose the right to reject the goods if not within reasonable time:

- a. The rejection period for the goods has ended
- b. The goods have been lost, destroyed or disposed of by the consumer
- c. The goods were damaged after being delivered to the consumer for reasons not related to their state or condition at the time of supply
- d. The goods have been attached to, or incorporated in, any real or personal property and they cannot detached or isolated without damaging them

Remedies:			
Remedies for non-compliance with an <u>ACL</u> consumer guarantee depend on whether			
the failure to comply with the guarantee is a "major failure" as defined in s 260.			
On the facts it appears so as a reasonable person fully acquainted with nature /			
extent of failure would not have acquired (product). (plaintiff) may			
therefore reject or recover compensation for any reduction in value of			
below the price paid(s 259 against the supplier). (plaintiff) may			
also cover damages for any reasonably foreseeable loss or damage ( <b>s259</b> ).			
In turn, the supplier can sue the manufacturer for an indemnity under <u>ACL s 274</u> .			
If a consumer guarantee relating to the supply of goods has not been complied with,			
the consumer has (in addition to any rights against the supplier) the right to recover			
compensation form the manufacturers: <u>ACL s 271</u> .			
The manufacturer is not liable if the guarantee was not complied with only because			
of:			
a. An act, default or omission of, any representation made by, any person other			
than the manufacturer or an employee or agent of the manufacturer			
b. A cause independent of human control that occurred after the goods left the			
control of the manufacturer			
c. The fact that the price charged by the supplier was higher than the			
manufacturer's recommended retail price, or the average retail price, for the			
goods: <b>ACL s 271 (2)</b>			
(plaintiff) may sue the manufacturer under ACL s 271 where there is breach of			
non-compliance with the <u>s 54</u> guarantee as to "acceptable quality": <u>ACL s 271.</u>			
(plaintiff) may therefore recover damages for any reduction in value of			
below the price paid or its average retail price at time of supply and any loss that			
was reasonably foreseeable. The manufacturer is liable toin damages for			
breach of <b>s 271</b> .			
Conclusion: Given that consumer guarantees apply to suppliers,is			
obliged to provide you with a replacement			
obliged to provide you with a replacement			