Table of Contents

PATHWAY FOR TAKING ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS	<u></u>
OPTION 4. COMPLAIN TO OMBUDSMAN	
SOURCE OF LAW	3
OPTION 5. SEEK INTERNAL MERITS REVIEW	4
OPTION 6. CHALLENGE DECISION VIA EXTERNAL MERITS REVIEW	4
OPTION 7. JUDICIAL REVIEW	6
PRECONDITION TO POWER	6
PRIVATIVE CLAUSE	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
ENTRENCHED REVIEW	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
NO INVALIDITY CLAUSE	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
3 BASIS OF JURISDICTION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
MEANING OF WORDS (ADJR)	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
DOES THE APPLICANT HAVE STANDING?	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
REMEDIES	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
LIMITS OF ADMIN LAW	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
NON-COMPELLABLE POWERS	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
POLICY	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
CONSIDERATION GROUNDS	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
IMPROPER OR UNAUTHORISED PURPOSE	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
ACTING UNDER DICTATION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
LEGAL REASONABLENESS	
UNCERTAINTY	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
ERROR OF LAW	
ERROR OF LAW: NO AUTHORISED DECISION MAKER	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
ERROR OF LAW: NO EVIDENCE	
ERROR OF LAW: ADMINISTRATIVE REASON-GIVING	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
JURISDICTIONAL FACT	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
JURISDICTIONAL ERROR	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

Pathway for taking administrative actions

Fathway for taking authinistrative actions		
Option 1. Seek further information		
Option 2. Representations to local member of parliament		
Option 3. Press		
Option 4. Complain to the Ombudsman		
Option 5. Seek Internal Merits Review		
Option 6. Challenge Decision via External Merits Review		
Option 7. Judicial Review		
Option 1.		
Source of law		
Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009 (NSW)		
Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth)		
Definition of FOI – a legal regime which gives an individual a legally enforceable right to access information held by public agencies (subject to specific exemptions).		
Key features of FOI		
- Publication of documents and information		
- Access to documents (amendment and annotation of personal records)		
- Increase recognition that information held by gov is to be managed for public purposes (it is a national resource)		
- Review of decisions		
Scope of 'document'		
FOI S4 – 'document' includes		
- any paper or other material on which there is writing,		
- a map, plan, drawing or photograph;		
- Any marks, figures, symbols or perforations which have a meaning;		
- Any material from which sounds, images or writings are capable of being produced.		
- And any other record of information [which includes information stored on a computer database].		
Process accessing to documents	Process disputing the access	
1. Written request with a description of documents required, stating it is a request under FOI s15(2); GI(PA) s 41(1).	1. Internal review: SS 52-54E	
2. A decision on the request made within 30 days under FOI, s15(5)) or 20 days under GI(PA) s 57. 2. Information Commissioner: SS 54F – 55ZD		
3. Department may need to consult affected parties under FOI s26A-27A; s54 GI(PA) i.e. personal business, confidential information 3. Administrative review tribunal: SS 57-67		
4. Access to documents or copy provided FOI s20(1) GI(PA) s 72. 4. Appeal to Federal Court from Information		
5. Where exempt material is included in a document, agencies may release the document but mask the exempt bits and parts that are Commissioner: SS 57-67		
irrelevant to the application (s 22 Cth FOI Act; s 74 GIPA Act). This is known as redaction. 5. Appeal to Federal Court from ART decision: AF		
6. If refusal must give a statement of reasons FOI s26, GI(PA) ss 60, 61.		
7. Charges FOI ss 29-31, GI(PA) ss 64-71.		
Exemption categories		
Category 1 Fully exempt documents: S31B(2) Div 2	Category 2 Conditionally exempt documents: S31B(b)	
Effect - no access: S11(4)	Effect – access on 'balance, be contrary to the public interest'	
Examples	Examples	
S33 – damage security, defence, international relations	S47C1 - release unless against public interest – deliberative process	
4 – cabinet documents exemption		
When it has been submitted to Cabinet + was brought into existence for the dominant purpose of submission to	A document that would disclose	
Cabinet	o opinion, advice or recommendation, etc	
Doesn't require actual submission if dominant purpose for its preparation was for submission	o for the purposes of the deliberative processes involved in	
It is an official record of Cabinet	the functions of an agency or Minister or of the	
tris an omolaricould of Capillot	Government of the Commonwealth	
	Government of the Commonwealth	

 Was for the dominant purpose of briefing a Minister on a document submitted to Cabinet and brought into existence for the dominant purpose of submission to Cabinet A draft of, or copy of, any of the above documents It would disclose Cabinet deliberations 	Definition on 'deliberative process' Deliberative processes involved in the functions of an agency are its thinking processes: Re Waterford and Department of Treasury (No 2) (1984)	
S42 – legal professional privilege		
 Documents that would be privileged from production in legal proceedings on the ground of legal professional privilege 		
When an exemption is not applicable		
 Cabinet docs Information that consists of purely factual material (unless it would reveal a Cabinet deliberation or decision, and its existence has not been officially disclosed) Not exempt only be it is attached to an exempt document Legal docs If the person entitled to claim legal professional privilege in relation to the document in legal proceedings waives that claim; OR If the infor is operational infor of an agency 	 Deliberative exception does not apply to: S47C(2) operational or purely factual material OR S47(3) reports or records listed which include: Reports of scientific or technical experts. Reports of a prescribed body or organisation in an agency. Reasons for an adjudicative decision. 	

Option 4. Complain to Ombudsman Source of law

> Ombudsman Act 1976 (cth) - Ombudsman is authorised to investigate departments and prescribed authorities (defined s3,3a) in relation to 'a matter of administration' Inclusion

S15(1)- Ombudsman can act on the basis that action:

- appears to have been contrary to law
- was unreasonable, unjust, oppressive or improperly discriminatory;
- was in accordance with a rule or practice but the rule or practice is or may be unreasonable, unjust, oppressive or improperly discriminatory;
- was based either wholly or partly on a mistake of law or of fact; or
- was otherwise, in all the circumstances, wrong

Exclusion - not authorised to investigate

- a. Action taken by a Minister (but can investigate Minister's advisers)
- b. Action relating to Parliamentary proceedings
- c. Action taken by a judge of a court created by the cth Parliament
- Definition An independent statutory office to investigate and report on actions by government departments and agencies that are related to matters of administration.

Key features

- Can act on complaints or on own motion
- Actively pursue investigations (rather than leaving primary control with complainant)
- Can investigate systemic issues and make recommendations to improve procedures, policy or legislation
- Usually operates informally, and guiding principle is to operate in private unless public release of information is in the public interest
- Does have coercive powers to require attendance and examine witnesses

Roles

- 2 broad investigative roles: s5 Ombudsman Act 1976 (cth) can investigate 'action' that relates to a matter of administration by a government department or prescribed authority
 - 1. Receive and investigate complaints from public or government: reactive
 - 2. Initiate inquiries of their own: proactive

Remedies (recommendatory powers only)

- S6: doesn't have to investigate a complaint
- S8: doesn't have to give complainant a hearing or control over any part of the invitation
- S15(2) Ombudsman report can include wide-ranging recommendations, inc.

- Particular actions be taken to rectify
- That a law or practice on which action is based be altered
- S15(6) copy of report to responsible Minister

Process

- 1. Ombudsman must notify the authority that it is being investigated (s 8(1))
- 2. Investigation shall be conducted in private (s8(2)) [Also s35 imposes confidentiality obligations on Ombudsman officers].
- 3. The investigation is to be conducted as the Ombudsman sees fit (s8(2))
- Ombudsman may require a person to
 - Provide information or documents: S9(1)
 - Answer questions: s9(2)
- Examine persons under oath or affirmation: S13
- Enter Commonwealth premises and carry out investigations: S14
- 4. An opportunity is to be given to department officers to be heard (s8(5)) the substance of complaint is to be put to officer
- 5. Ombudsman shall report to the relevant government department or authority where they are of the opinion that: S15(2)
 - o Referral should be made to an appropriate authority for further consideration.
 - o action should be taken to rectify, mitigate or alter a decision
 - a decision should be cancelled or varied
 - o a rule of law, provision of an Act or practice should be altered
 - o reasons should have been given
 - o any other thing should be done in relation to the decision..
- S15(4): May request the department to specify within a certain period of time what action it will take.
- 6. Followup i.e. engages political accountability where appropriate action has not been taken by department or prescribed authority
- S16 Ombudsman may inform the Prime Minister in writing: Green v Daniels (1977)
- S17 Forward copies of the report to both houses of Parliament
- Informing the complainant S12
 - o S12(3) particulars of the investigation shall be provided to the complainant
 - o S12(5)b recommendations may be provided to the complainant
 - o S12(5)a recommendations shall be provided to the complainant if the department does not take action in response to the Ombudsman's recommendations

Option 5. Seek Internal Merits Review Option 6. Challenge Decision via External Merits Review

Source of law

- Administrative Review Act 2024 (cth) Administrative Review Tribunal
- Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 2013 (NSW) NCAT
- Definition of merits review an independent review of the initial decision maker's decision. Concerned with correct and preferable decision.

Effect/Remedies

- May vary an admin decision or substitute a new decision.
- In relation to the reviewable decision: S105
 ART
 - a) Affirm the decision original decision is the correct and/preferable decision OR

- **Definition of Tribunal** (at cth level) It is not a court. It is a body resolves disputes by adjudication.
- It has the objective to:
- Improves transparency and quality of government decision-making: S9d ART Act
- Promotes public trust and confidence in AAT: S9e ART Act
- Key features
- Accessible, fair, just, quick, accessible, responsive: S9 ART Act
- Conduct proceedings with as little formality and technicality as it can: s50 ART Act
- MRT, RRT and Social Security Appeals Tribunal were amalgamated within generalist ART
- Independent factors
- Generally, ART has presumption of independence and de novo: Re Control Investment Pty Ltd and ABT (No2)(1981)
- Membership: appointment process, tenure and conditions

- b) Varying the decision OR
- c) Setting aside the decision
 - Substitutes decision makes its own decision
 - Remits send back to the original decision maker to make the decision again
- ART cannot enforce its own decisions

- ART consists of the President, the Deputy President, the senior member and ordinary members
- President: judge of the Federal Court
- o Senior members: legal practitioners enrolled for at least 5 years
- o Ordinary members: persons who have special knowledge or skills
- Management: how it interacts with agencies, location, budget, staffing, performance management and etc
- Government policy: influence on Tribunal
 - o Basic function of policy to supplement the legal instructions given to the executive by the legislature
 - o It's a type of rule BUT should guide not control

Roles

- Tribunal assesses what is the 'correct and/preferable' decision: Drake v Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1979)
 - o AAT can regard the initial decision but still has to reach its own decision: Shi v Migration Agents Registration Authority (2008)
- ART is not bound by the rules of evidence but may inform itself on any matter in such manner as it thinks appropriate: S52 ART Act
 - o AAT is not restricted to consider the material and facts before the initial decision maker; but to consider material existing at time of AAT's decision (not looking back to the circumstances at the time of the primary decision): Shi v Migration Agents Registration Authority
- May exercise all the powers and discretions given to the initial decision maker: S54 ART
- Will ensure every party is given a reasonable opportunity to: S55
 - Present the party's case
 - Have access to documents to which the Tribunal proposes to have regard
 - o Makes submissions in relation to those documents

Process

- 1. Check what can be reviewed
- Jurisdiction given by statue (over 400 different Acts)
- What is a reviewable decision: S12 ART Act
 - o Another Act (or legislative instrument) provides for an application to be made to the Tribunal for review of the decision
- <u>'Decision includes'</u>: S4(a)
 - o Making, suspending, revoking or refusing to make an order or determination; also includes imposing a condition
 - Most common decisions: Social Security, veteran's affairs, taxation, workers comp for commonwealth employees, freedom of information, migration, NDIS
- 2. Check who can apply for review (standing)
- Administrative Review Act 2024 (cth)
- A person whose interests are affected by a reviewable decision may apply to the Tribunal for review of the decision: S17(1)
- They are then a party to the proceedings: s22(1)a
- The decision maker who made the decision will be a party to the AAT proceedings: s22(1)b
- A person who applies to be a party to proceedings and the Tribunal is satisfied that person's interests are affected by the decision and it is appropriate they become a party: s22(1)c
- 3. Duty to provide documents
- If requested by the Tribunal, the decision maker must within 28 days give the Tribunal (s23) and other parties (s27):
 - o A statement of reasons; AND
 - o A copy of relevant documents in its possession
- Unless with exception
 - A disclosure of information for which there is a public interest certificate under S91
- 4. Hearing
- The hearing shall be in public except where a Practice Direction requires a private hearing: S69 ART
- Representation is permitted: s66 ART
- 5. Notice of decision and statement of reasons
- Tribunal duty to give reasons and notice of appeal/review rights: S111
- A failure by the Tribunal to provide the things does not affect the validity of the Tribunal's decision: S111(4A)

- 6. Appeal from Tribunal
- Go to the statute to see if an appeal is available on merits to an appeal panel in the same tribunal OR another tribunal
 - o Can access the Guidance and Appeals Panel at a more senior level: S123 ART, can:
 - Review some decisions made by decision-makers: OR
 - Re-review some decisions that have been reviewed by the Tribunal
 - The panel is activated where:
 - a) There is an issue of significance to administrative decision-making.
 - b) A Tribunal's decision may contain an error of fact or law materially affecting the decision.
 - o There is no error of law in making a wrong finding of fact: ABT v Bond; Enfield
- If it is b)
 - Appeal to Federal Court on a 'question of law': S172, 176. Such as:
 - Failed to identify the relevant legal test
 - There was no evidence to support a finding on a particular fact
 - Failed to provide procedural fairness
 - o The Federal Court cannot substitute its own decision for AAT, can make any order if considers appropriate: \$176(1)c
 - Can set aside OR affirm OR remit a matter back: S176(2)
 - If remitting back can provide directions incl. whether the Tribunal takes further evidence: S172(2)b

Option 7. Judicial Review

- Source of power
- An essential characteristic of the judicature is that it declares and enforces the law: Constitution s75(iii), s75(v), s76(i)
- Determinative interpretation of a Statute is the role of the court: ADJR; Enfield
- Definition
- Concerned with the legality of decision: was the decision maker legally authorised to make the decision they did?
 - Needs to be a legal error in the initial decision
 - o Limited to the material before the decision maker and the reasons given by that decision maker
 - Legality scope
 - Statutory law defining the precondition and conditions on exercise of statutory powers
 - Common law principles that inform interpretation of the empowering statutes
 - Institutional and constitutional limits on judicial power: AG v Quin
- Involves a superior court exercising judicial power to conclusively determine a dispute with the below elements:
 - o Legality of administrative action
 - o Standing (brought before the court by an affected individual)
 - Remedies (not exercising the powers of the initial decision maker)
 - Quashing the decision, and/or
 - May set aside an administrative decision and send it back to the decision maker to make it again
 - Prohibiting action in reliance on the decision, and/or
 - Mandating performance of a duty which remains unperformed in law

Precondition to power

- Principle
- The conditions must be satisfied before the power or discretion to act in enlivened. Precondition to power in the statue calls for characterisation by court: Casimaty
- 3 scenarios:
 - o Precondition to power doesn't create a legal obligation enforceable by a court no legal consequences, only political or administrative consequences
 - The decision is valid but an unlawful act capable of being restrained by injunction (the legal error is non-jurisdictional)
 - The decision is invalid (the legal error is jurisdictional)