PRINCIPLES OF PUBLIC LAW

EXAM NOTES

Strategy:

9:00 - Read & Find Issues

9:20 - Question 1

9:55 – Question 2

10:30 – Question 3

11:00 – Question 4 (Short Essay)

11:40 – Review Answers

(LAW 1504)

If found please contact 0450 524 303

CONTENTS:

Australian Public Law Fundamentals	2
- Basic concepts (definitions, importance)	
- Important aspects of the Constitution	
- Extraterritoriality Guide	
- Manner and Form Guide (pg.3)	
The Separation of Powers Doctrine	5
- Characteristics of the three branches of Government	
- Two Limbs of Boilermakers	
- Exceptions to Boilermakers (Indicia of Power, Persona Designata)	6
- Boilermakers Guide	
- Pros and Cons of S.O.P	
- Persona designate	
The Kable Doctrine.	7
- Two Principles	
- Characteristics of Courts (Rules)	
- Kable Guide	
Challenging a Tribunal Decision.	8
- Ombudsman	
- Merits	
- Judicial	
- Addressing a review question	
Human Rights	9
- Bill of Rights	
- Different BoR Models	
- Strengths and Weaknesses	
- Ratification	
First Nations Treaty	.10
- Bill of Rights	
- Different BoR Models	
- Strengths and Weaknesses	
- Ratification	
Cases	11
- Boilermakers Authorities	
- Kable Authorities	
Tips:	
Leave every other line blank	

- Leave every other line blankOnly write on one side of the paper
- Use **HEADINGS**
- Underline the <u>authority</u> and key points highlight the underlined words if you have time

Separation of Powers Doctrine

The doctrine of the separation of powers divides the institutions of government into three branches: legislative, executive and judicial: the legislature makes the laws; the executive puts the laws into operation; and the judiciary interprets the laws.

Characteristics of the Branches of Government

Parliament/Legislative:

- Legislative power in a federal bicameral parliament under S1 of the Constitution
- House of Reps chosen by the people and proportionate to amount of people per state ss24
- Senate chosen by people of each state, same number of people per state ss7
- Senate has the power of the lower house except regarding bills on taxation or government spending S53

Executive:

- Governor-General chosen through Cabinet and relevant ministries S61
- Federal Executive Council to advise the Governor-General S62
- Governor General acts on such advice of the FEC S63
- Responsible government ministers responsible to executive who is responsible to parliament \$64

Requirements for Judges created by the Parliament under S72:

- i) Appointed by G-G in council
- ii) Can only be removed by G-G in council, in address from both houses of parliament in same session, on grounds of proved incapacity or misbehaviour
- iii) Remuneration cannot be changed whilst in office

Protected by tenure until they reach 70 years of age.

Two Limbs of Boilermakers

First Limb: Judicial power of the Commonwealth may only be vested in a Chapter III court under S71 of the Federal Constitution, or state courts vested with federal judicial power under S77(iii). It is unconstitutional for a federal judicial power to be vested in a non-Chapter III body. *Only Judges can judge*

Second Limb: A federal Chapter III Courts cannot be vested with anything other than Commonwealth judicial power. It is unconstitutional for a court to exercise non-judicial power. *Judges can only judge*.

Exceptions to Boilermaker Limbs

Taking away someone's individual liberty through detention is harsh, any form of punitive detention can only be exercised by the Judiciary or Military tribunals - non-punitive detention from executive is an exception to the SOP. Refer to Lim or Al-Kateb case in 'CASES' list if there is a problem question about this!

Indicia of Power

Crucial Indicia:

- a) Sovereign Authority
- b) Binding Decision
- c) Judicial Manner (Rules of evidence, discretion)

Chameleon Powers:

- d) Inter partes
- e) Matter
- f) Ascertainment of law (Tribunals can deviate from Rules of Evidence)
- g) Determination of all the facts

Apply the Indicia of Power Test

A tribunal **cannot** possess the three crucial indicia, if it does, it is breaching the first limb of boilermakers. However, *chameleon powers* act as an exception to the first limb of Boilermakers because tribunals may also possess these indicia. In summary, Chapter III can possess all the indicia, whereas tribunals cannot – this is how you determine whether a body is attempting to exercise federal judicial power. Kirby J cautioned against overuse of the chameleon doctrine - *Thomas v Mowbray*

Persona Designata

Persona designate is the exception to the second limb of Boilermakers, and involves a Judge pursuing a matter in their personal capacity (often an administrative function) rather than on behalf of the Court.

Apply the Persona Designata Test

Step 1. Is the power clearly conferred to the Judge in a personal capacity not as a court which they are a member? Hilton v Wells

Step 2. Does the Judge **consent** to the conferral of power?

"must not be an unavoidable obligation to perform as a designated person, detached from the relevant court, administrative functions which are unrelated to the exercise of the jurisdiction of that court" – Grollo v Palmer

Step 3. Are the non-judicial functions compatible with judicial responsibility? *Breadth of commitment (56 Federal Judges)*, *integrity, discretion*, *public confidence - judges can recuse themselves if conflict arises*.

"must not be inconsistent with the essence of judicial function and the proper performance by the judiciary of its responsibilities for the exercise of judicial power" – Grollo

Public Confidence Test - Wilson

a) Whether the function is an integral part of, or is closely connected with, functions of the legislature or the executive?

If yes: go to questions b and c

If no: valid

b) Whether the function is required to be performed independently of any instruction, advice or wish of the legislature or the Executive government?

If no: incompatible If yes: go to question c

c) Is any discretion to be exercised on political grounds not confined by factors expressly or implicitly prescribed by law?

If yes: incompatible

If no: compatible

Addressing a Boilermakers' Problem

- Step 1. Constitutional matter or a state matter? If constitutional, then *Boilermakers* applies. State both limbs of Boilermakers.
- Step 2. Which limb of Boilermakers is being breached? Use an analogous case from table of cases.
- *E.g.* Tribunal trying to make their decision binding is like *Brandy v HREOC* in which the first limb was breached because the commission used provisions for enforcement of decisions.
- Step 3. Identify if there are any valid exceptions using the *Indicia of Powers* or *Persona Designata* tests.

Pros and Cons of the Boilermakers' Principle (Critical Thinking Question)

Strengths:

- Protects the 'Rule of Law' idea and prevents arbitrary abuse of power
- Assurance that the independence of the judiciary is maintained and that every individual has a fair and impartial trial
- Each branch's independence helps keep the other from exceeding power, balancing the system, and protecting individual rights

Weaknesses:

- Legal system is slower because each body's decisions or actions must be reviewed by the other
- Can result in a weaker government due to political tension between the branches
- Expensive and ineffective to receive a binding decision from only one branch of power