

JURISPRUDENCE

Table of Contents

T1 INTRODUCTION.....	7
WHAT IS IT?	7
TYPES	7
THE RULE OF LAW.....	8
DICEY- 3 PRINCIPLES.....	8
MODERN APPROACHES	8
WHAT IS THE POINT OF LEGAL THEORY?.....	9
T2 NATURAL LAW & MORALITY.....	9
NATURAL LAW THEORY.....	9
GENERAL FEATURES.....	9
John finnis- Australian- self evident- wrote this for a prac guide for legislators and people for when law is made/applied	9
Acquinas- 13 th century pre renaissance- classical natural law theory	10
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NATURAL LAW & SOCIAL CONTRACT THEORY	11
HOBBS- all about giving up power to king	12
LOCKE- if king not protecting prop, you can propel	12
ROSSEAU- French philosopher	12
DECLINE OF NATURAL LAW THEORY.....	13
REVIVAL- see Finnis	13
ANY ASPECTS OF NATURAL LAW WHICH CAN BE SEEN IN AUS LAW.....	13
T3 CLASSICAL POSITIVISM	13
WHAT IS LEGAL POSITIVISM?	13
JEREMY BENTHAM- all about who has the power, and proving via science.....	14
AUSTIN- schematic view of the legal world.....	15
CLASSICAL POSITIVISM IN AUS LAW.....	17
T4 MODERN POSITIVISM (21st century)	17
CHARACTERISTICS:.....	17
HART- main guy in modern positivism – positivists looks to describe law as it is.....	18
HART AND NATURAL LAW- spectrum between locke/hobbs- more hobbs (pessimistic)	22
CRITICISMS OF HART	22
KELSEN- POSITIVISTS, MORE EXTREME THAN HART- looks at law as it is- Pure theory of law	23
INTERNATIONAL LAW	23
CRITICISMS	24
RAZ- hard positivists.....	24
T5 DWORKIN- better than Hart (interpretation bit)- has lots of followers today- a key thinker.....	26
COMPARE TO POSITIVISTS.....	27
COMPARE TO NATURAL LAW THEORISTS.....	27
COMPARE TO REALISTS	28
CRITICISMS	28
HOW DOES IT FIT IN AUS?	28
T6 REALISM	29

AMERICAN REALISTS	29
AMERICAN REALIST METHOD.....	29
HOLMES.....	30
LLEWELLYN	30
FRANK- did not cover.....	30
CRITICISMS	30
SCANDINAVIAN REALISM.....	31
ROSS- has a lot in common with hart/positivism	31
OLIVECRONA- if added it to Ross, would be a good theory- filled in the gaps in Ross	31
T7 SOCIAL THEORY (Law and sociology)	32
WHAT THEY LOOK AT.....	32
ROSCOE POUND.....	32
DURKHEIM.....	33
WEBER	34
DOMINATION- 3 types.....	34
MARX	35
CONFLICT MODEL	35
STRUCTURE OF LAW.....	36
IDEOLOGY.....	36
HISTORICAL JURISPRUDENCE	36
WHAT IS HISTORY?.....	36
WESTERN LEGAL SYSTEMS	36
COMMON LAW V CIVIL LAW.....	37
Von Savigny	37
MAINE.....	38
ANTHROPOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE	39
WHAT IS ANTHROPOLOGY?.....	39
FOCUSED ON PRIMITIVE SOCIETIES.....	39
MALINOWSKI	40
HOEBEL.....	40
GLUCKMAN	40
LOZI.....	41
BOHANNAN.....	41
POSPISIL	42
GEERTZ	42
THEORIES OF JUSTICE	42
WHAT IS JUSTICE?	42
ARISTOTLE- virtue	43
KANT- deontology	43
UTILITARIANISM- consequentialism – GREATEST GOOD FOR GREATEST AMOUNT	43
POSNER & ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF LAW- distributive justice	44
Dworkin:	44
RAWLS	46
NOZICK- EXTREME FREE MARKET LIBERTARIAN	47
RIGHTS	48
WHAT IS A RIGHT?	48
HOHFELD	48
RELATIONSHIP OF LEGAL AND MORAL RIGHTS	48
BENTHAM.....	48

HART	49
WALDRON	49
THEORIES OF RIGHTS	49
WILL THEORY.....	49
INTEREST THEORY.....	49
DWORKIN AND GENERAL THEORIES OF RIGHTS	50
DWORKIN AND RIGHTS	50
NOZICK AND RIGHTS.....	50
RAWLS AND RIGHTS	50
UTILITARIANISM AND RIGHTS	50
HUMAN RIGHTS.....	51
BASIS FOR INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS	51
HUMAN RIGHTS IN WAR AND CRIMINAL LAW	51
HEIRARCHY OF RIGHTS.....	52
CRITIQUE OF RIGHTS	52
COMMUNITARIANISM	52
SOCIALISM	52
RELATIVISM	52
UTILITARIANISM	53
LEGAL POSITIVISM.....	53
CRITICAL LEGAL STUDIES/POSTMODERNISM.....	53
PRACTICALITY & ENFORCEMENT	53
DOMESTIC- rights have diminished place in enforcement.....	53
INTERNATIONAL	53
BILL OF RIGHTS- should we have one?	53
WHY OBEY THE LAW AND WHY SHOULD WE PUNISH?	54
WHY OBEY?	54
SOCIAL CONTRACT AND OBEDIENCE	55
WHY PUNISH?	55
RETRIBUTION.....	55
RESTORITIVE JUSTICE.....	56
COMMUNICATION	56
CONSEQUENTIALIST THEORIES- RETRIBUTION.....	56
PURPOSE OF PUNISHMENT IN LAW	57
CRITICAL LEGAL THEORY	57
CRITICAL LEGAL STUDIES	57
POSTMODERN LEGAL THEORY	59
LACAN	59
FOCCAULT	59
WHY IS IT IMPORTANT?.....	59
POSTMODERNISM SUMMARY.....	59
FEMINIST THEORY	60
METHOD.....	60
PERSONAL= POLITICAL	60
MAJOR STRANDS	60
FEMINISM, LAW AND RIGHTS.....	61
RACE THEORY	61
METHOD	61
DOES RACE EXIST?	61
AND RIGHTS?	61

T1 INTRODUCTION.....	7
WHAT IS IT?	7
TYPES	7
THE RULE OF LAW.....	8
DICEY- 3 PRINCIPLES.....	8
MODERN APPROACHES	8
WHAT IS THE POINT OF LEGAL THEORY?.....	9
T2 NATURAL LAW & MORALITY.....	9
NATURAL LAW THEORY.....	9
GENERAL FEATURES.....	9
John finnis- Australian- self evident- wrote this for a prac guide for legislators and people for when law is made/applied	9
Acquinas- 13 th century pre renaissance- classical natural law theory	10
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NATURAL LAW & SOCIAL CONTRACT THEORY	11
HOBBS- all about giving up power to king	12
LOCKE- if king not protecting prop, you can propel	12
ROSSEAU- French philosopher	12
DECLINE OF NATURAL LAW THEORY.....	13
REVIVAL- see Finnis	13
ANY ASPECTS OF NATURAL LAW WHICH CAN BE SEEN IN AUS LAW.....	13
T3 CLASSICAL POSITIVISM	13
WHAT IS LEGAL POSITIVISM?	13
JEREMY BENTHAM- all about who has the power, and proving via science.....	14
AUSTIN- schematic view of the legal world.....	15
CLASSICAL POSITIVISM IN AUS LAW.....	17
T4 MODERN POSITIVISM (21st century)	17
CHARACTERISTICS:.....	17
HART- main guy in modern positivism – positivists looks to describe law as it is.....	18
HART AND NATURAL LAW- spectrum between locke/hobbs- more hobbs (pessimistic)	22
CRITICISMS OF HART.....	22
KELSEN- POSITIVISTS, MORE EXTREME THAN HART- looks at law as it is- Pure theory of law	23
INTERNATIONAL LAW	23
CRITICISMS	24
RAZ- hard positivists.....	24
T5 DWORKIN- better than Hart (interpretation bit)- has lots of followers today- a key thinker.....	26
COMPARE TO POSITIVISTS.....	27
COMPARE TO NATURAL LAW THEORISTS.....	27
COMPARE TO REALISTS	28
CRITICISMS	28
HOW DOES IT FIT IN AUS?	28
T6 REALISM	29
AMERICAN REALISTS	29
AMERICAN REALIST METHOD.....	29
HOLMES.....	30
LLEWELLYN	30
FRANK- did not cover.....	30
CRITICISMS	30
SCANDINAVIAN REALISM.....	31

ROSS- has a lot in common with hart/positivism	31
HART V ROSS	31
OLIVECRONA- if added it to Ross, would be a good theory- filled in the gaps in Ross	31
T7 SOCIAL THEORY (Law and sociology)	32
WHAT THEY LOOK AT.....	32
ROSCOE POUND.....	32
DURKHEIM.....	33
WEBER	34
DOMINATION- 3 types.....	34
MARX	35
CONFLICT MODEL	35
STRUCTURE OF LAW.....	36
IDEOLOGY.....	36
HISTORICAL JURISPRUDENCE	36
WHAT IS HISTORY?.....	36
WESTERN LEGAL SYSTEMS	36
COMMON LAW V CIVIL LAW.....	37
Von Savigny	37
MAINE.....	38
ANTHROPOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE	39
WHAT IS ANTHROPOLOGY?.....	39
FOCUSED ON PRIMITIVE SOCIETIES.....	39
MALINOWSKI	40
HOEBEL.....	40
GLUCKMAN	40
LOZI.....	41
BOHANNAN.....	41
POSPISIL	42
GEERTZ	42
THEORIES OF JUSTICE	42
WHAT IS JUSTICE?.....	42
ARISTOTLE- virtue	43
KANT- deontology	43
UTILITARIANISM- consequentialism – GREATEST GOOD FOR GREATEST AMOUNT	43
POSNER & ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF LAW- distributive justice	44
Dworkin:	44
RAWLS	46
NOZICK- EXTREME FREE MARKET LIBERTARIAN	47
RIGHTS	48
WHAT IS A RIGHT?	48
HOHFELD	48
RELATIONSHIP OF LEGAL AND MORAL RIGHTS	48
BENTHAM.....	48
HART	49
WALDRON	49
THEORIES OF RIGHTS	49
WILL THEORY.....	49
INTEREST THEORY	49
RAZ.....	49

DWORKIN AND GENERAL THEORIES OF RIGHTS	50
DWORKIN AND RIGHTS	50
NOZICK AND RIGHTS.....	50
RAWLS AND RIGHTS	50
UTILITARIANISM AND RIGHTS	50
HUMAN RIGHTS.....	51
BASIS FOR INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS	51
HUMAN RIGHTS IN WAR AND CRIMINAL LAW	51
HEIRARCHY OF RIGHTS.....	52
CRITIQUE OF RIGHTS	52
COMMUNITARIANISM	52
SOCIALISM	52
RELATIVISM	52
UTILITARIANISM	53
LEGAL POSITIVISM.....	53
CRITICAL LEGAL STUDIES/POSTMODERNISM.....	53
PRACTICALITY & ENFORCEMENT	53
DOMESTIC- rights have diminished place in enforcement	53
INTERNATIONAL	53
BILL OF RIGHTS- should we have one?	53
WHY OBEY THE LAW AND WHY SHOULD WE PUNISH?	54
WHY OBEY?	54
SOCIAL CONTRACT AND OBEDIENCE	55
WHY PUNISH?	55
RETRIBUTION.....	55
WEAK RETRIBUTION.....	56
STRONG RETRIBUTION	56
RESTORITIVE JUSTICE.....	56
COMMUNICATION	56
CONSEQUENTIALIST THEORIES- RETRIBUTION.....	56
PURPOSE OF PUNISHMENT IN LAW	57
CRITICAL LEGAL THEORY	57
CRITICAL LEGAL STUDIES	57
POSTMODERN LEGAL THEORY	59
LACAN	59
FOCCAULT	59
WHY IS IT IMPORTANT?.....	59
POSTMODERNISM SUMMARY.....	59
FEMINIST THEORY	60
METHOD.....	60
PERSONAL= POLITICAL.....	60
MAJOR STRANDS.....	60
LIBERAL.....	60
RADICAL.....	60
POSTMODERN.....	60
DIFFERENCE FEM	61
FEMINISM, LAW AND RIGHTS.....	61
RACE THEORY	61
METHOD	61
DOES RACE EXIST?	61
AND RIGHTS?	61

T1 INTRODUCTION

WHAT IS IT?

- Is also referred to as legal theory and legal philosophy
- It tries to answer fundamental questions about law
- It is the theory of law: the study of law, legal systems and their philosophical basis [dictionary pg 330]
 - So it is impacted by:
 - Social theory
 - Economic theory
 - Political theory
- It is considering these theories and determines if ideas of law, justice and the legal system are just under them.
- Ideas about rights, justice and how the legal system works
- The theorists talk about judicial discretion: that is do they have it, do they have a strong discretion to make law or a weak one to determine the outcome?
- Focused on western legal thought
- Most theorists from a CL background- we infuse their ideas.
- Always ask can we apply their theories to other legal systems.

TYPES

	Descriptive theory	Normative Theory	Critical Legal Theory
	<p>** often hard to separate/find a distinction See Dworkin-law of integrity- the law should 'fit' & 'justify' the legal materials- descriptive & normalist</p>		
Aim	Explain what the law is, why & its consequences	What the law should be	Law is based on reason
Concerned with	Facts	Values (moral & political)-utilitarianism	Logic and structure of society is what the law is based on.
What does it do?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides a theory to explain a doctrine- for example if the courts limit free speech, the doctrine of freedom of expression may be justified for existing • Tries to explain what the law is (for example Marxist theory-gives an 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluates the law as either 'ideal' (would lead to best legal system if achievable) or 'non-ideal' (constraints on them such as enforcing them) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As it comes from society, it is essentially a set of beliefs/prejudices (the law is) which says that the injustices by society are ok (legitimizes them) • The law is politics, so is not neutral... it is biased.