GATHERING EVIDENCE

1. DISCOVERY

• [TIP]: Discuss under SCR and CPA (OO to disclose existence of critical docs at earliest opportunity) (CPA, s 26)).

1.1. PRELIMINARY DISCOVERY: TO IDENTIFY A DEFENDANT

- IF (SCR, r 32.03(1)):
 - o (a) Prospective P has made reasonable inquiries and is unable to identify D to bring a proceeding against; and
 - o (b) It appears that a person has or is likely to have relevant docs or knowledge to assist identification
 - = Court may ORDER that person to (SCR, r 32.03(2)):
 - o (a) Attend court to be orally examined re the description of the person (D); or
 - (b) Make discovery to the prospective P, re D's identity.
- NB: Preliminary discovery CANNOT be used to identify D's location or gather evidence.
- NB: Court may impose restrictions on how the evidence is used by prospective P (e.g. *Dallas Buyers Club* FCA prohibited DBC from disclosing customers' details to 3rd parties or using details for any purpose other than recovering compensation).

1.2. Preliminary Discovery: To Identify a Claim

- <u>IF</u> (SCR, r 32.05):
 - o (a) There is reasonable cause to believe that prospective P has/may have the right to relief from prospective D;
 - (b) After making all reasonable inquiries, prospective P lacks sufficient info to enable them to decide whether to commence a proceeding; AND
 - o (c) There is reasonable cause to believe that someone has, is likely to have, has had, or is likely to have had in their possession any doc relating to the Q whether prospective P has the right to obtain relief, AND that inspection of the doc would assist prospective P to make the decision
 - = Court may order that the person MAKE DISCOVERY to prospective P of any doc described in para-(c).
- NB: To give effect to the overarching purpose of the *CPA* = r 32.05 should be CONSTRUED BENEVOLENTLY and given the fullest scope its language will reasonably allow (*Grocon Constructors*, Vickery J).

1.3. DISCOVERY FROM NON-PARTY?

• On *application* of any party, Court may order that a **non-party** whom it appears has/is likely to have, or has had/is likely to have had, *in their possession* any doc which **relates to any Q** in the proceeding → to <u>MAKE DISCOVERY</u> to the Applicant of any such doc (*SCR*, r 32.07).

Richardson Pacific v Fielding (1990) FedCt – Discovery from Non-Party

Discretion to order non-party discovery should be exercised w/ CAUTION.

Held

- No hard-and-fast rule re when to order; but circumstances where non-party discovery might be ordered include:
 - Where the non-party has the only copy of the particular doc(s) being sought;
 - o Where there is no other reasonable way to prove the matter, other than by the doc sought.

1.4. DISCOVERY PROCESS

1.4.1. #1: NOTICE FOR DISCOVERY

- RULE: Where the pleadings b/w any parties are closed, any of those parties may, by notice for discovery (in Form 29A (2))
 → require the party served to make discovery of all DOCUMENTS which are or have been in their POSSESSION and which, in accordance w/r 29.01.1, are REQUIRED TO BE DISCOVERED (SCR, r 29.02(1)).
 - o NB: In practice = order for mutual discovery is made by a judge at the first directions hearing (cf. serving NfD).

1.4.1.1. A) IS IT A 'DOCUMENT'?

<u>'DOCUMENT'</u> = INCLUDES, in addition to a document in writing (ILA, s 38):

(a) Any book, map, plan, graph or drawing ;	(d) Any disc, tape, soundtrack or other device in which sounds
	or other data (but not visual images) are embodied so as to be
	capable (w/ or w/o the aid of some other equipment) of being
	reproduced therefrom;

(b) Any photograph;	(e) Any film (incl. microfilm), negative, tape or other device in which one or more visual images are embodies so as to be capable (w/ or w/o the aid of some other equipment) of being reproduced therefrom;
(c) Any label, marking or other writing which identifies or describes anything of which it forms part, or to which it is attached by any means whatsoever;	(f) Anything whatsoever on which is marked any words, figures, letters or symbols which are capable of carrying a definite meaning to persons conversant w/ them.

NB: Includes deleted emails/docs that may still be stored on a back-up system (Sony v UTas).

1.4.1.2. **B)** Is IT IN 'POSSESSION'?

- 'POSSESSION' = possession, custody or power (SCR, r 29.01(2)).
 - **Possession** = the physical holding of the doc, resulting from the right to its possession.
 - **Custody** = the mere actual physical holding of a doc, regardless of the right to its possession; 0
 - Power = the enforceable right to obtain possession or control of the doc from the person who is holding it.

1.4.1.3. c) Is it 'Required to be Discovered'?

- 'REQUIRED TO BE DISCOVERED' = any of the following docs of which the party is, after a reasonable search, aware at the time discovery is given (SCR, r 29.01.1(3)):
 - (a) Docs on which the party relies;
 - (b) Docs that **adversely** affect the party's *own* case; 0
 - (c) Docs that adversely affect another party's case;
 - o (d) Docs that support another party's case.
- What is a 'Reasonable Search'? In making a reasonable search → a party may take into account (SCR, r 29.01.1(5)):
 - o (a) Nature and complexity of the *proceeding*;
 - (b) *Number* of docs involved;
 - (c) **Ease** and **cost** of retrieving a doc;
 - (d) Significance of any doc to be found; and
 - (e) Any other relevant matter.

EXCEPTIONS?

Held

- Discovery is NOT required if (SCR, r 29.01.1(4)):
 - (a) Party giving discovery reasonably believes the doc is already in the possession of the other party;
 - (b) Additional copies of the doc are already discoverable.
- 0 **Privileged** docs (e.g. evidence of settlement negotiations) are NOT discoverable → [see 'Privilege', below].
- Restricted Discovery: At any time, Court can order that \rightarrow discovery by a party is **NOT required**, or is **limited** to certain docs, certain classes of docs, or certain Qs in the proceeding (SCR, r 29.05).
 - E.g. To prevent disclosure of trade secrets to a competitor, or to avoid parties incurring unjustified costs.

Mobil Oil v Guina Developments (1996) VicCA - Restricted Discovery Confidentiality alone will NOT ordinarily be sufficient reason to deny inspection by opposite party. Consider:

- Could action proceed w/o confidential info being revealed beyond counsel, solicitors and nominated experts, so confidentiality can be maintained? (E.g. Disclose to lawyers, but NOT the party).
- Could the party 'forget' the info?
- Is disclosure of the confidential info necessary for the attainment of justice in the case?
- If the material is confidential but does NOT bear upon the case P is seeking to make → should NOT be revealed.

1.4.2. #2: AFFIDAVIT OF DOCUMENTS

- Recipient of Notice must make discovery within 42 days of service (r 29.03); and must prepare an Affidavit of Documents in Form 29B, which must (SCR, r 29.04(1)):
 - o (a) **Identify the docs** which are or have been in the party's possession;
 - (b) Enumerate the docs in convenient order and describe each doc (or in the case of a group of docs of the same nature = describe the group) sufficiently to enable the doc or group to be identified;
 - (c) Distinguish those docs which ARE in the party's possession from those docs that HAVE BEEN but are no longer in the party's possession – and with respect to the latter.
 - (i) State when the party parted w/ the doc; and
 - (ii) The party's belief as to what has become of it;
 - (d) Where the party making the affidavit claims that any doc in their possession is privileged from production = state sufficiently the grounds of the privilege.
- NB: If party does NOT search for a category or class of doc → MUST include in the Affidavit of Documents a statement of the category or class of doc NOT searched for AND the reason why (SCR, r 29.04(2)).