

Week 1 - M&A Overview

Identifying the acquirer (bidder) and target (seller)

- A firm that makes an offer to purchase another company or an asset is the bidder
 - If they are successful in their purchase, they are an acquirer
- A whole firm that is acquired, or the subject of an offer is the target
 - A target firm may receive an offer just for specific assets
- Who decides whether to accept an offer or not?
 - The owners of the company, i.e. the shareholders

Different perspectives

- What do stakeholders in the acquiring firm want?
- What do the stakeholders in the target firm want?
- Can both sides end up better off?

The role of managers and shareholders

- Managers should act in the best interests of shareholders (maximise firm value)
- How do the managers (and board) of a target do this?
- How do the managers (and board) or an acquirer do this?
- Are there any conflicts?

Defining Mergers and Acquisitions

- **Mergers** are those forms of business transactions where there is a combination of two/more companies, and in the process one or more such companies lose their corporate existence because they merge with the surviving entity
- **Acquisition** - shares or control of a company is taken over by persons who, prior to the change in shareholding or control, did not possess such shareholding or control
- Terms used interchangeably, though typically follow in-deal dominance
- Can have implications for post-deal accounting and taxation

Others names for deals

- Buyouts
 - An acquisition where the acquirer is typically a *group of investors*. This is a common term when there is a private equity player involved or a management buyout
- Takeover
 - Essentially an acquisition, the term implies a much larger acquirer and possible a level of hostility in the deal
- Reverse takeover
 - A typically smaller, private company is the acquirer of a larger, listed target
 - A way to become public without an IPO
- Tax inversion merger
 - Merger between companies based in different tax jurisdictions and resulting in relocating legal HQ to the lower tax area

Classifying deals

- Horizontal
 - Firms in the same industry that compete in the same product markets
- Vertical
 - Firms at different stages of the production process/supply chain
- Conglomerate
 - Firms not related in industry or in a supply-chain - diversification process
- Other deal classifications

- Cross-border acquisitions
- Blurred mergers
- Financial acquisitions

Market Overview

- Drop off from high in H12018 in \$ value of M&A deals

Other important concepts

- **Toeholds**
 - Company A buys shares of company B, then can make a formal takeover offer to company B in the future
 - If you own less than 5%, no disclosure required
 - Once you own more than 20%, you have to launch a formal bid offer
- **Synergy**
 - Value of combined entity is greater than the value of two stand alones ($1 + 1 = 3$)
- **Form of payment**
 - Cash
 - For target shareholders, their trip with the company ends
 - Stock (scripp)
 - For target shareholders, they are new shareholders of the combined company
- **Exchange ratio**
 - How many shares does the target shareholder get from the acquisitions
- **Competing offers**
 - Go shop - multiple bidders in the bidding process
- **Revised bids**
 - Buyers can make multiple bids before deal is finalised
- **Friendly vs hostile takeovers**
- **Merger of equals**
 - Merger of equal size companies
- **Antitrust regulation/ Competition Regulation (ACCC - Australia)**
 - Legislation preventing industries turning into monopolies through M&A deals
- **“In Play”**
 - Companies are in play when an offer is on the table
- **Offer premium**
 - Buyer is willing to pay above market price to convince shareholders to sell their company
- **Standalone value**
 - Value of the business as is (without any synergies from M&A)
- **Advisers**
 - Companies that provide transaction services to M&A players (IB, Corporate Advisory firms)
- **Recommendations**
 - BoD make a recommendation to shareholders of the target company
- **Newco**
 - New company created through M&A
- **Due diligence**
 - Process of finding about the company you want to buy - info about business, financials, products, supply chain, shareholding structure, etc.

- **Deal terms and conditions**
 - Price, withdrawal conditions, earnouts, exchange ratio, etc.
- **Independent experts report**
 - BoD of target companies typically bring in third party experts to check whether the offer is a fair price (typically they want the answer to be no so that they can get a higher price for the sale)

Key players involved in M&A transactions

- Acquirer
- Target
- Other (potential) bidders
- Investment banks (Financial advisers)
- Private Equity firms (Financial sponsors)
- Shareholders (Activists?)
- Consultants (Strategic, industry specialist, integration, etc.)
- Lawyers, Regulators, Investors, Stakeholders, Employees, Government(?)

1) Why do companies undertake M&A

(A) - is a means by which a company can achieve growth. Corporate can be achieved **organically** - through internal investment - or **inorganically**. M&A is a form of inorganic growth. Firms seeking growth and acting in the best interest of shareholders will undertake M&A and other inorganic growth strategies when possible

2) How do growth and value creation differ in corporate restructuring

(A) - Corporate restructuring refers more broadly to the rearrangements a firm can make to its structure. Restructuring for inorganic growth includes M&A, however a firm can downsize through divestiture to create value

3) What is the role of firm managers?

(A) - Firm managers should maximise shareholder wealth

4) What is meant by the 'agency problem'

Agency problems arises when one group is tasked with making decisions on behalf of another group. The agent should make these decisions in a way that benefits the principal, the conflict arises as they will be motivated to act in their own best interests

Week 2

What is a successful deal?

- Measurable economic outcomes
 - Specifically, the shareholders of the two primary parties
 - Was value created for the acquirer's and target's shareholders
- There may be other considerations, good/bad
 - Numerous motives for managers to pursue M&A
 - Often, other stakeholders who are also important and aggregate economic welfare relevant to consider
 - Fiduciary responsibility of the firm's board is to its shareholders

Test of M&A Profitability

- **Weak form** - $P_{\text{after}} > P_{\text{before}}$

- Unreliable, susceptible to confounding events
- **Semi-strong form - $R_{M\&A} > R_{benchmark}$**
 - Benchmark selection an important consideration. Not perfect, but an improvement
- **Strong form - $R_{M\&A} > R_{no M\&A}$**
 - Perfect test, but not observable

Think about a strong form test

- AOL-Time Warner
 - The biggest merger deal in history when AOL purchased Time Warner for \$165 billion in early 2000
 - AOL was one of the most valuable companies, trading at a high valuation
 - A few months later, dot com burst and AOL MV fell \$200b
 - Weak and semi-strong form tests indicate a huge destruction in value

Research approaches to M&A outcomes

	Strengths	Weaknesses
Market-based event study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct measure of shareholder wealth effect • Forward-looking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relies on assumptions of market efficiency • Benchmark choice can influence results
Accounting based	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indirect measure of value creation • Used to evaluate corporate performance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Backward looking • Comparability • Relies on disclosure
Manager surveys	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unobservable outcomes can be identified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May not capture true economic value • generalisability

Returns to target firm shareholders

- No pattern in target firm returns before deal
- Some price run up a week before deal announced
- Over 2-day announcement period, average abnormal return of **23.4%** in this study
- Literature finds average target returns range up to +50%
 -

The results are mixed for acquirer firm shareholders

- At announcement, there is no clear and consistent research evidence
- At best, it might appear that the average effect is nothing
- Longer term studies indicate a more negative outcome
 - But long-term studies are more exposed to confounding events and interpretation of these results must be done carefully

Long-term performance

- Difficult studying stock prices over long-term periods - can't isolate effects of event being studied
- Studies use buy and hold returns (equal and/or value weighted), and cumulative abnormal returns
 - Long-term price performance following merger is insignificantly different from zero
 - Results sensitive to estimation methods

Net economic gain?

- Even though targets appear to reflect value creation, while acquirers may on average breakeven, this does not mean there is a *net economic gain*

- Why? - have to look at sizes
 - Acquirers are typically substantially larger than targets
 - A large percentage gain to the target may be wiped out if the acquirer experiences even a small percentage loss
- A portfolio approach to analysis. On average, studies indicate modest economic gains

Combined returns

- Measure combined returns to explain cause of merger
 - Positive: synergy and efficiency
 - Negative: agency costs, managerial entrenchment
 - Zero: redistribution of wealth from bidder
- Most evidence suggests mergers have positive effect in short-term

M&A Outcomes

- Did the merger create value?
- Share price is the right metric
 - A metric that is objective as possible
 - Focus on the benefit to shareholders of target and acquirer despite the importance of other stakeholders to the M&A process
 - Other considerations captured through the share price
- The impact of the M&A transaction must be isolated
 - Examine abnormal returns
 - Use an 'event study' approach

Event Studies

Measurement of abnormal returns

- Residual analysis - tests whether returns to common stock of individual firms or groups of firms is greater or less than that predicted by general market relationships between return and risk
- Event period (period of days centered on announcement date)
 - Identify event and its announcement day (day t_0)
- **Length of event period involves tradeoff**
 - Longer period captures all effects on stock price of event but is subject to more noise (confounding factors)

Benchmarks

- The post-acquisition firm is different from the pre-acquisition entities
 - To compare the post acquisition performance of the merged firm with the pre-acquisition performance of the separate merging firms, requires a measure of the shareholder value had the merger not occurred - a control, or **benchmark**
- **Benchmark 1: mean-adjusted return**
- **Benchmark 2: market-adjusted return**
- **Benchmark 3: market-model return**
- **Benchmark 4: other control samples**
 - For example, an external benchmark could be built from a control sample of non-merging firm
 - The presumption is that firms sharing common characteristics are likely to have similar performance
 - Choice of characteristics on which to pick a control sample is imprecise

- Firms may share some common characteristics and still be widely different in their strategic posture, resources, capabilities and ability to create and maintain a competitive advantage

Mean-adjusted and Market-adjusted returns

- Predicted return, for each day t and for each firm j
 - Represents return that would be expected in the absence of the event
 - Estimated using a “clean period” that does not include event period

- **1. Mean-adjusted return**

- The mean of daily returns for firm j during clean period

$$\hat{R}_{jt} = \bar{R}_j$$

- **2. Market-adjusted return**

- Predicted return is return on market index for that day

$$\hat{R}_{jt} = R_{mt}$$

- **3. Market Model**

- Regression using returns for firm j during clean period
- $R_{jt} = a(j) + B * R + e$
- Estimate regression coefficients A^{hat} and B^{hat}
 - Predicted return for firm j in day t in event period
 - Takes explicit account of both risk associated with market and mean returns
- Market-adjusted is an approximation of the market model

Calculating abnormal returns

- **Residual** - $r_{jt} = R_{jt} - \hat{R}_{jt}$

$$r_{jt} = R_{jt} - \hat{R}_{jt}$$

- Abnormal return is the actual return minus predicted return
- That is, the return that was unexpected from what we expected had the event not occurred

- **Average residual returns**

$$AR_t = \frac{\sum r_{jt}}{N}$$

- Measure across multiple deals - what's the average reaction?
- Average across N firms for each event day t
- Averaging across larger number of firms mitigates noisy component of returns

- **Cumulative returns and absolute gains**

- **Cumulative average residual (CAR)**

- $CAR = \sum_{t=T_1}^{T_2} AR$
- accumulate average residuals for successive days over event period
- Represents average total effect of event across all firms over event period

- **Absolute gains (ΔW)**

- Absolute dollar gain or loss at time t due to abnormal return during event period
- $\Delta W = CAR * MKTVAL$