Requisitions and Objections

- Generally
- Requisitions are written requests to vendor in form of statement, requests, demands or enquiries (usually from purchaser to vendor), requesting some information about the land/property or requesting some action to take place or for some confirmation of facts. It is defined in clause 1 of the Contract
- Objection is an assertion by purchaser that they are unable to perform the contract according to
 its terms alleges a defect or obstacle to perform if defect is serious and cannot be remedied,
 may result in termination by purchaser
- Godfrey Constructions v Kanangra Park (1972) types of requisitions and objections
 - 1. As to title assertion that there is a defect in vendor's title to the whole or part of the subject matter of the sale
 - 2. As to conveyance
 - 3. In the nature of general enquiries
 - 4. In the nature of reminders
- Requirements for valid requisitions
- Requirement must be served on vendor:
- Clause 5.1 If a requisition is attached to contract, it is assumed the purchaser made those requisitions
- Clause 5.2 If Purchaser becomes entitled to make any other requisition, purchaser can only make
 it by serving it –
- 5.2.1 if it arises out of the question or is a general question about property or title: served within 21 days
- 5.2.1 If it arises by something served by the vendor: served within 21 days after contract date and that service
- 5.2.3 in any other case: served within a reasonable time
- Requirements for valid replies
- Nothing in the standard contract that specified when vendor must reply
- As there is no express term, clause 21.1 applies and vendor must respond within a reasonable time
- Lack of a response may indicate there is some title defect
- A vendor who does not provide a response to a valid objection cannot be regarded as ready, willing and able to complete a sale of land: Adolfson v Jengedor [1996]
- A vendor is prima facie cannot be forced to comply with a requisition or objection issued by a vendor and can rescind the contract as long as it is on "reasonable grounds": Clause 8.1.1
- The refusal to comply must be on reasonable grounds and cannot be capricious; the removal of the words implies that the vendor can refuse to comply just because they decide not to; this is unfair on the purchaser as a particular requisition could be really easy to meet but the vendor can just decide not to per that section
- Responding to a requisition costs the vendor nothing
- Meaning of "unwilling" Duddell v Simpson [1866]
 - Turner L J the word 'unwilling' does not confer with it an arbitrary power to the vendor to rescind
 - If the vendor wants to rescind contract for unwillingness, he must demonstrate reasonable grounds for the unwillingness
 - His honour gave the example where a vendor does not comply with a requisition because it would lead to substantial expenses, including costs of litigation
- Undisclosed Title Defects
- Title defects include easements, covenants, leases, zoning
- A situation could arise where a purchaser asks a vendor if they are aware of any easements (which is a
 title defect) a refusal by a vendor to answer such a question and then rescission would be unreasonable.
 Vendor would
- Schedule 1 of Sale of Land (Conveyancing) Regulation 2017 Clause 4(a) easement is a prescribed document failure to provide prescribed documents breach of s52A(2)(a) prescribed documents must be provided by vendor