QUESTION:	

# **OFFENCES**

On	nus is on the Crown to prove the main facts on issue					
		Direct/Indirect or Threatened/Attempted		Dishonesty	Fraud	
4	Assault	Occasioning bodily harm			Personation	
	Accuait	Serious Assault	12		Receiving Tainted Property	
5		Assault with intent to rape			Stealing	
		GBH			Unlawful use/possession of motor	
		Torture			vehicle, aircraft or vessel	
		Unlawful Wounding			Supplying (alternative at bottom)	
	Endanger	Disabling to commit indictable offence			Trafficking (alternative at bottom)	
	Life or Health	Stupefying to commit indictable offence			Producing (alternative at bottom)	
		Unlawful drink spiking	13	Drugs	Receiving (alternative at bottom)	
4		Intent to cause GBH & other malicious acts		Diago	Permitting use of a place	
		Negligently causing bodily harm			Receiving/possessing property obtained from trafficking	
		Domestic violence			Possessing things in connection	
		Unlawful Stalking		<b>70110E0</b>	with crime/purpose etc	
	Other	Observing and recording		KCUSES cused raises: p	prosecution must negative/disprove	
		Distributing recordings	be	yond reasonab Compulsio	ble doubt. Cant - gets benefit	
		Murder - intentional		Extraordinary Emergency  Provocation - Assault  Provocation - Murder (reduces murder to		
		Murder - dangerous act				
5	Unlawful	Manslaughter	8			
6	Killing	Attempted Murder		manslaugh	•	
		Manslaughter		Killing for p	preservation in abusive relationship	
		Unlawful striking causing death		Self Defen	ce	
		Rape	9	Accident		
		Attempted rape			endent of Will	
7	Sex	Assault with intent to rape	1(	Mistake of	Fact	
1	Sex	Sexual Assault (Assaults or procures another person)		Mistake of	Law	
		Other sexual offences (Incest, Bestiality, Sodomy, Under 16, Internet)		EFENCES cused has to p	prove on balance of probabilities	
		Arson		Diminished	d Responsibility	
		Burglary or Entering with Intent	9	Insanity		
11	Property	Robbery		Intoxication	n	

# WHO ARE THE PARTIES?

When an offence is committed, each of the following is deemed to have taken part in committing the offence and to be guilty of the offence, and may be charged with committing it		
a)	Person who executed the offence	
b)	Enabler	s 7(1)
c)	Aider	
d)	Counsellor or procurer	

### PERSON EXECUTING OFFENCE

YES	Can be a series of acts which constitutes the offence	s 7(1)(a)
e.g.	One breaks, the other enters, can both be charged with breaking and entering	Wyles

### **ENABLER**

YES	Does not need to be present at the scene (keeping lookout, driving getaway car, disabling an alarm)	Johnson
NB	Must be for the common unlawful purpose (didnt know the other had a gun, not guilty of manslaughter)	Borg

#### **AIDER**

NO	Mere presence is not sufficient - must be a non accidental presence with active steps by words or actions, intentional or wilful encouragement	Clarkson
NB	Voluntary and wilful presence at the scene can still be encouraging even if you strongly disagree with what is happening	Beck

## **COUNSELLOR OR PROCURER**

NB	Need evidence of what accused actually advised or procured	s 7(4)
YES	If person counselled/procured not guilty, other can be if would have committed the offence themselves	s 7(3)
YES	Irrelevant offence committed was counselled so long as it was probable consequence	s 9

#### WEEK 4

# **ASSAULT OFFENCES**

Common Assault		s 335	Misdemeanour	3 years	
-	Assaults occasioning bodily harm	s 339	Crime	7 Years	
Serious assaults		s 340 Crime		7 Years	
NB	Assault is an ELEMENT of s 339 and 340.				
IND	Common Assault is an alternative verdict of s 339 and 340: <b>s 575</b>				

# **COMMON ASSAULT**

Any perso	on who unlaw	fully assaults another is guilty of a misdemeanour	s 335(1)
Penalty	3 yrs if no g	3 yrs if no greater punishment provided	
A person is said to have assaulted someone where:			
Limb 1	Actually Applies Force	any kind to the person of another, either directly or indirectly, without the other person's consent or with the other person's consent if the consent	
Limb 2	Threatens /Attempts to Apply Force	Who by any bodily act or gesture attempts or threatens to apply force of any kind to the person of another without the other person's consent, under such circumstances that the person making the attempt or threat has actually or apparently a present ability to effect the person's purpose	
NB	An assault i excused by	s unlawful and constitutes an offence unless it is authorised or justified or law.	s 246

(Apply either Limb 1 or Limb 2 dependant on the circumstances)

## **LIMB 1 - ACTUALLY APPLIES FORCE**

EL	ELEMENTS			
1	A person			
2	Application of force	o 04E(1)		
3	Directly or indirectly	s 245(1)		
4	Without consent			

<sup>\*\*</sup>ONCE THIS OFFENCE IS SATISFIED - SKIP TO 'SERIOUS/OCCASIONING BODILY HARM\*\*

#### 1. PERSON

## 2. APPLICATION OF FORCE

Applies Force		Includes case of applying: heat, light, electrical force, gas, odour, or any other substance or thing whatever if applied in such a degree as to cause injury or personal discomfort	s 245(2)	
		Strikes, touches or moves	s 245(1)	
<b>Determined</b> O		Objectively	Davies	
NB	NO requirement of intention as it does not mention it in the provision		s 23(2); Majewski	
NB	Victim doesn't need to be aware of force being applied (e.g. asleep)		Boughey	
e.g. A	e.g. Assault?			
YES	Man accidentally drives car onto policeman's foot - guilty (no proof intended to, was by accident, or he deliberately held car on it)		Fagan v Metro Police Comm.	
YES	Where m	Where more force used than necessary to repel an assault		
YES	Kicking fe	eet recklessly	Venna	

# 3. DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY

YES		Direct application (e.g. hitting another person)				
YES	Indire	ct application (e.g. pouring acid in hand dryer, person uses after)				
123	YES	Using dog as a weapon	Croft v Blair			

# 4. WITHOUT CONSENT

Te	est	Donovan		
Assai	ult is ur	s 246(1)		
NB	May b	e unlawful even with the consent of the other person	s 246(2)	
Was	there c			
	Implie	d/tacit consent to some degree of non-violent contact	(Cite below 3)	
YES	YES	Kissing in some circumstances	Kimmorley	
	YES	Actions occurring in course of everyday life/social intercourse (e.g. patting on shoulder or pushing in crowded bus)	Horan; Boughey	
NO	Victim	was asleep/unconscious - even if it were for their benefit	Boughey; Murray	
	If you	agree to a fist fight, consent to what follows from it	Raabe;	
YES	NO	Level of force exceeds what was consented (outside realms, e.g. bar fight not presumed to use a pole)	Lersenger v Carroll	
	Partic	ipating in contact sport - implied consent to content within rules of game	(Cite below 3)	
YES	NO	Punching in rugby	Billinghurst	
123	NO	Spear tackling in rugby	Re Lenfield	
	NO	Elbow someone in AFL	McNamara	
NO	Conse	ent obtained by fraud, or law doesn't permit person to consent (e.g. age)	Donovan	
?	Anticipating force may suggest consent in some circumstances Brady v Schatze			
NO	Consent immaterial if injurious to public (this is common law though - dont use) Brown; Coney			

# LIMB 2 - THREATENED/ATTEMPTED APPLICATION OF FORCE

ELI	ELEMENTS				
1	A person				
2	Attempts/threatens to apply force				
3	By bodily act or gesture	s 245(1)			
4	With actual or apparent person ability to effect purpose				
5	Without consent				

### 1. PERSON

### 2. ATTEMPTS/THREATENS TO APPLY FORCE

Atte	empt	s 4(1)		
		Whether does all that is necessary for completing offence	s 4(2)	
Imma	aterial	Whether complete fulfilment is prevented by circumstances independent of their will		
		By reason of circumstances not known to offender it is impossible to complete offence	s 4(3)	
Threaten		Intention is required	s 4; Hall v	
BUT		Don't need an intention to carry out the threat	Fonceca	
NB Same		facts may constitute both one offence and an attempt to commit another	s 4(4)	
Intention		Intention is a 'purpose or design' where the accused's intentions are designed to bring about a result - NEED MORE GO TO MURDER	Reid; Wilmot; Maloney	

## 3. BODILY ACT OR GESTURE

Mere words are insufficient			Fogden v Wade;
NO	Over	the phone cannot satisfy	Knight
YES	Combination of words plus bodily act (said was stick up, pretended had gun)		Dale
TES	YES	Walking up close behind girl and making sexual suggestion	Fogden v Wade
YES	Condi merel	tional threats are sufficient - if clear threat will be carried out and is not y an empty threat (holds knife and says "if you drive ill cut you")	Rozsa v Samuels; Police v Greaves
NB	Series	s of closely timed blows may be treated as one continuing assault	Gardner v Capcorn

# 4. ACTUAL/APPARENT PRESENT ABILITY TO EFFECT PURPOSE

Act	Real knife capable of doing some damage or real loaded gun.  Victim's knowledge is not relevant here			Police v Greaves
		Appai	rent, present ability to apply force	Everingham
Anne		YES	Toy gun	
Appa	arent	YES	Unloaded gun	Brady v Schatzel
		YES	Pretended had a gun (was ruler)	Dale
Present		Does not need to be completely immediate - e.g. said would kill after sleep, was still an apparent present ability even though was asleep (one continuous assault)		Secretary; Barton
NO		Where victim makes it clear they cannot carry out the assault		Tuberville v Savage
Rules	Rules - MUST APPLY			
YES	Victim must be aware of the threat/attempt			Dale; Pemble
NO	Victim doesnt have to be in fear			Brady v Schatzel
YES	Can be considered subjectively - e.g. if it was a joke. Interpreted through the eyes of a reasonable bystander			Cotter; Lees v Visser

#### **5. WITHOUT CONSENT**

SAME AS THE FIRST LIMB

\*\*ONCE THIS OFFENCE IS SATISFIED - SKIP TO 'SERIOUS/OCCASIONING BODILY HARM\*\*

# **ASSAULT OCCASIONING HARM**

Any person unlawfully assaults another and does other person harm is guilty of a crime					
P	Penalty 7 years imprisonment (aggravated - see below)				
ELI	ELEMENTS				
1	A person (already established)				
2	Assaults another (already established s 339(1)				
3	Does other person bodily harm				

## 3. DOES OTHER PERSON BODILY HARM

Bodily harm		A bodily injury which interferes with health or comfort	s 1
	Must be	e an identifiable injury (e.g. black eye, bloody nose)	Lersenger v Carroll
ND	NO	Mere sensation of pain is not sufficient	Scatchard
NB	YES	Continuing pain emanating from an injury is 'bodily harm'	R v Campbell
	NO	Causes hysterical and nervous condition (psychiatric injury)	R v Miller
	Consent consent	t is possible. Question of fact what degree of violence was ted to.	Lersenger v Carroll
YES	YES	Consent includes where consent is tacit or implied	R v Ferguson
	NO	Consent obtained by fraud is invalid	R v Veltheim
YES		ped from moving car after threats and suffered harm - test - whether ave been a reasonable foreseeable result of what he was doing	R v Roberts
	NB	Causation satisfied where accused's conduct creates in victim's mind a well-founded and reasonable apprehension of danger as a result of which the victim takes steps to escape	Royall

#### **AGGRAVATED**

<ul><li>is/pretends t</li><li>in company</li></ul>	loes bodily harm, and s/pretends to be armed with any dangerous/offensive weapon/instrument; or n company with one or more other persons ble to 10 years imprisonment			
In Company	<ul> <li>Victim must be confronted by the combined force or strength of two or more persons.</li> <li>Sufficient accused and 1+ other person is physically present for the common purpose and of physically participating if required</li> </ul>		R v Walker; R v Cooper; R v Brougham	
(for aggravated offence)	Physically Present	Where such proximity between co-defendants to enable inference that coercive effect of the group operated, either to embolden on reassure the offender in committing the crime, or to intimidate the victim into submission	R v Button	