# **LAW2101 SUMMARY NOTES**

1. CONTRACT FORMATION	2		
1.1 Offer	2		
1.2 Acceptance	3		
1.3 Consideration	5		
1.4 Intention	8		
1.5 Certainty	11		
2. FORMALITIES1	4		
3. <u>PRIVITY</u> 1	6		
4. <u>CAPACITY</u> 1	8		
5. ESTOPPEL	19		
6. EXPRESS TERMS	21		
6.1 INCORPORATION	21		
6.2 STATEMENTS DURING NE	GOTIATIONS2	2	
6.2 EXCEPTIONS	23		
7. CONSTRUCTION	27		
8. IMPLIED TERMS	.29		
8.1 IN FACT	29		
8.2 IN LAW	30		
8.3 BY CUSTOM	30		
8.4 GOOD FAITH	31		
9. AUSTRALIAN CONSUMER LAW	.32		
9.1 UNFAIR TERM			
9.2 CONSUMER GUARANTEE	S33		
Is there a	What are the terms of that		Are there any
contract?	contract?	] 1	gaps?
Identify the expre	es terms		Can the court fill
Complete of the contra		Construe those terms	those gaps?
Formalities Written and/or requirements	oral <	Extrinsic evidence	Implied in fact
satisfied (if relevant)  2 or more docume	nts		
Incorporation by		Process of construction	Implied in law
Privity notice requirements	_		••
satisfied Incorporation by dealings	<b>←</b> L	Exclusion Clauses	Implied by
Statements mad during negotiatio			custom
Parties have requisite capacity			

#### 1. CONTRACT FORMATION

P may seek to obtain a remedy from **D**, regarding\_\_\_\_\_. P can take legal action against **D** in contract / tort (*Hill Van Erp*) / estoppel (p18).

P may have a claim against **D** in a breach of contract, regarding\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. As P will want to enforce the contract. D will argue that there is no enforceable contract.
- 2. D will seek to rely on the EC, whilst P will seek to prove that D cannot rely on it.

## 1.1. OFFER - Clear and unequivocal (Gibson)

• P must therefore prove that a reasonable person (RP) would believe that an offer was intended, and that acceptance would be binding (*Gibson*).

### 1.1.1. Offer objectively intended

- Objective and outward manifestations (Carbolic).
- Not a "mere puff" in that the offer is to to be taken seriously or literally (*Carbolic* 100P reward for contracting diseases after using it 3x/day for 2 weeks, with 1000P being deposited in a bank "<u>to show sincerity</u>" in the matter) is it specific?
- **Promissory statement -** "may be prepared to the sell the house", whereby "may" is not promissory, but allows an <u>invitation to treat</u> (**Gibson**).
- Does not have to be to a particular person can be made to whole world (Carbolic).
- Uncertainty may = invitation to treat
  - "come to agreement on everything that was material" (Gibson)
  - o periods of time can be a "reasonable" amount (Carbolic)
  - o price Manchester City Council's letter left the \$ blank, & did not amount to offer (Gibson)
- If conduct and language is clear that they intended to be bound, it is <u>irrelevant whether terms are left</u>

  <u>blank (Storer dates on lease termination and mortgage repayments were left blank & still amounts to offer).</u>

Invitations to Treat (ITT) - Invitations to negotiate/make offer is not an offer (Boots)

**Shop sales** - The display of goods for sale, whether on a shelf, in a window or online, are ordinarily regarded as ITT, and not an offer (*Boots* - Pharmaceutical Society argued that display of goods = offer, and taking a good of the shelf = acceptance (ie. sale without supervision).

 Goods for sale online - not addressed to a particular person = ITT, <u>unless</u> clearly indicated that they are bound upon acceptance (ETA 2000 s 14B).

**Tenders** - A call for tenders (ie. written bids) = invitation to treat, with each tender = offer.

• **Unless** conditions are stipulated, which makes the call for tenders an offer and the submission acceptance (*Investments Royal*).

### Investments Royal

"whichever is higher"

Facts: D1 promised to accept the highest bid.D2 bidded "\$101,000 in excess of another, whichever is higher".

<u>Held</u>: The referential bid was invalid. When a person calling for **tenders can stipulate conditions to govern the process and they will be bound by them.** 

### **Hughes Aircraft**

tendering process not complied with

<u>Held</u>: CAA breached by **failing** to evaluate tenders in accordance with **confidentiality**; **accepted late change** by another tenderer; based on the particular facts of this case.

**Ticket Cases -** A ticket containing T&Cs generally = offer, which is accepted when the offeree has reasonable opportunity to read the condition and boards the plane/boat (*MacRobertson per Stephen*).

Alternatively, ticket = ITT: customer offers by presenting self for travel and the airline accepts (per Barwick)

Auctions - holding a public auction = ITT, whereby a bid is an offer which may be accepted by auctioneer (McWhirter).

• Announcement that an auction will be held without reserve (ie. "on the market") doesn't alter the general rule.

Advertisements - (except those which promise a reward - Carbolic - "show sincerity" = money in bank, Boots)