Termination and Breach

Discharge of Contracts: Cases in Which a Contract is Discharged.

-Rights and Obligations End.

Discharge by Performance: Where BOTH Sides Fulfil Their Obligation Exactly.

- -Cutter v Powell (1795): Agreed to Sail For Duration of the Voyage, Captain Refused to Pay Wages For Wife After Shipworker Died.
- -NOT Able to Claim Money as Complete Performance NOT Upheld; Entire Obligation.

Division of Contracts

- -Divisible: NOT the Default.
- -Hall & Barker (1878): Shoemaker CANNOT Demand You Pay Half Price For Pair of Shoes.
- -Entire: REQUIRE Exact Performance, the Default.
- -Equity → Reduce Harshness of Common Law → Undue Influence and Unconscionable Conduct (Partial and Substantial Performance).

Substantial Performance: if Exact Performance is NOT a Condition of Contract.

- -Depends on the Nature of Contract, Defects and Cost of Rectification.
- -Offset INCOMPLETE Work Against Contract Price.
- **-Hoenig v Isaacs (1952):** Agreement to Redecorate Property For Price → Only Some of Money Paid and Remainder of Work Defective and Was a Cost to Fix.
- -Substantial Performance Claimed and Contractor Paid Additional Money Less Costs.
- -Sumpter v Hedges (1898): Agreement to Build Two Houses For a Fixed Sum, Stopped Halfway When Ran Out of Money → Other Party Used Materials to Complete Project.
- -Unable to Claim Part Payment as Contract Could NOT Be Divided, Until Completion.

Partial Performance: Total and Exact Performance NOT Read if Contract is Divisible → Customary Practices.

- -Claim to 'Quantum Meruit:' 'What One Has Earned' → Reasonable Value of Services (Under Partial Performance).
- -if the Party Willing to Perform Contractual Obligations is PREVENTED From By the Other Party to the Contract.
- -if the Party Has SUBSTANTIALLY But NOT Completely Performed Their Contract → Partial Recovery Under Contract.

Time For Performance

- -Warranty: Gives Party Right to Damages and NOT Repudiation (Rejection).
- -Condition: Contract CAN Be Repudiated.

Termination: Lapse of Time \rightarrow Unenforceable.

-Limitation of Actions Act 1958 (Vic): CANNOT Sue For Breach of Contract MORE Than Six Years After Breach (Exceptions Involving Minors and Non-Discovery of Breach).

Discharge by Agreement:

- -Under TERM of Contract.
- -Condition Precedent: Something MUST Exist Before Contract Takes Effect.
- **-Condition Subsequent**: Something That TERMINATES the Contractual Duty.

Termination by Breach: Actual Breach \rightarrow Choice to Terminate and Claim Damages.

- (1) Failure to Perform
- (2) Refusal to Perform: Including Statement of NO Intention to Perform.
- (3) Making Performance Impossible.
- **-Anticipatory Breach:** Party Expressly/Impliedly Indicates an Intention NOT to Complete the Contract.

Discharge by Frustration: Unforeseen Events Making it Impossible to Carry Out Contract.

- -Future Obligations Unable to Be Fulfilled Due to the Frustrating Event.
- -Contracts For Personal Service → Illness, Death Making Performance Impossible.
- -Government Intervention PREVENTING Performance.
- -Paradine v Jane (1647): Leased Property → Dispossessed During War → Stopped Paying Rent.
- -Contract Should Have Included a Provision → Liable to Pay Rent.
- -Inflexible and Harsh View LEADING to the Doctrine of Frustration.
- (1) Radically Alter Contractual Position of at Least One Party.
- (2) Not Within the Parties' Contemplation at Time of Contract.
- (3) Not Caused by Either Party to the Contract.
- (4) Would Be Unjust to Hold at Least One Party to Original Contract.
- **-Taylor v Caldwell:** Hired Building to Host Event → Building Burnt Down.
- -Destruction of Building NOT Fault of Either Party and Loss Frustrated Performance of Contract.
- -Codelfa Construction Pty Ltd v State Rail Authority of NSW: Injunction Prevented Work Being Done at Night on Railway Tunnels.
- -Contractor Had Quoted Worker Ability to Work a Certain Amount of Shifts → Contract Frustrated.
- **-Esposito v Bowden:** Law Changed to Make Performance Illegal.