LAW00 – Administrative Law

Contents

Topic One – What is admin law	4
What is admin law	4
Political character	4
Political institutions	4
Legal institutions	4
The rule of law	5
Separation of power	5
Topic Two – Delegated Legislation	6
Topic One Recap	6
Liberal Theory	6
What is delegated legislation	6
Regulating delegated legislation	6
Procedural/Tabling requirements	7
Consultation requirements	8
Publication requirements	8
Sunset clauses	8
NSW v Commonwealth Legislation	8
Topic Three – Judicial Review	9
Overview	9
Jurisdiction	9
High Court of Australia	9
Federal Court of Australia	9
Federal Circuit Court	10
Supreme Court	10
Justiciability	10
Remedies	10
Common Law	10
Statutory	11
Constitutional	11
Standing	11
Topic Four – Ultra Vires	12

LAW400 - Administrative Law

	Excess of Power	. 12
	Abuse of power	. 12
	Statutory preconditions to the exercise of power	. 12
	Jurisdictional facts	. 13
	Subjective opinions or beliefs	. 13
	Statutory preconditions to the exercise of power under the ADJR Act 1977	. 13
T	opic Five – Procedural Fairness 1	. 14
	General principles	. 14
	Hearing rule	. 14
T	opic Six – Procedural Fairness 2	. 15
	Outline	. 15
	Notice requirements	. 15
	Disclosure requirements	. 15
	Representations	. 15
	Representations and conduct of administrators	. 16
	From the hearing	. 16
	Delegation of the hearing function	. 16
T	opic Seven – Exercise of Discretionary Power	. 17
	Outline	. 17
	The rule against delegation	. 17
	34AB Effect of Delegation	17
	49 delegation of functions	17
	Implied power of delegation	18
	Rule against dictation	18
	Fettering discretionary power	18
	Alter Ego Rule	18
	Acting under dictation	19
	Self-fettering	19
Γ	opic Eight – Jurisdictional Error	20
	Overview	20
	The prerogative writs and section 75(v)	20
	Jurisdictional error and ultra vires	21
	Anisminic	21
	Craig v South Australia	21
	Rationale for retention	22
	Jurisdictional fact	22

LAW400 - Administrative Law

Review of JE	23
Summary	23
Topic Nine – Privative Clauses	
Overview	
Privative clauses	
Plaintiff S157/2002	
Kirk v ICNSW	
Topic Ten – Algorithms and Administrative Law	
Terminology	
Context	
Structure of article	26

Topic One – What is admin law

What is admin law

- Political character of administrative law
- Political institutions: methods of accountability
- Legal institutions: methods of accountability
- The rule of law
- Separation of power
- Haneef v Minister for Immigration and Citizenship (2007)

Political character

- Administrative law as restraint on the administrative state a body of legal principles and doctrines which regulate the executive branch of government
- Inexorable rise of post–WWII executive power: facilitating the new social contract
- Migration cases now the seminal area of public policy at issue in Australian administrative law
- Application of the principle of legality to administrative power

Political institutions

- Ch.2 Cth Constitution: much left unsaid as to how the federal executive is to function
- A reflection of the constitution's peculiar Westminster 'Madisonian' hybrid settlement
- Reference to a 'Federal Executive Council': but not Cabinet
- Government formation: the product of legislative elections
- Responsible government: cabinet is accountable to parliament + adheres to votes of no confidence
- Particular powers of accountability rest with the lower house
- Government departments: exercise substantial public power / civil servants have enormous influence on ministers; politically neutral but powerful
- Further raises profound questions on accountability especially given unelected status of civil servants
- Convention is that minister will ultimately be held responsible for departmental mistakes, illegality
- 'Government' in its broader sense: governance by non-departmental agencies: statutory agencies, e.g. ABC, ATO, ACCC

Legal institutions

Hotop:

'The primary task of the administrative lawyer must be to ensure that the rights of the citizen are protected against executive action not authorised by legislation; and, indeed, to ensure that such rights are not unnecessarily infringed by excessive grants of power to administrative authorities by Parliament.'

- Administrative law as a method or technique of judicial control of administrative power, or the body of law that establishes and organises such power
- Mechanisms of control: freedom of information requests, entitlement to reasons, ombudsmen, anti-misconduct commissions, tribunals, judicial review
- The 'new administrative law': while judicial review is the oldest of these legal mechanisms, many others were established recently: at Commonwealth level by the Fraser govt. in late 1970s/early 1980s following the Kerr Committee report (1971), e.g. ADJR Act 1977

• Cane *et al* described 'bureaucratic accountability' – in addition to legal and political means, i.e. constraints *within* executive branch

The rule of law

- The rule of law sits in contrast to supremacy of arbitrary rule
- Ancient provenance, but emanates sharply as a 17th + 18th century aversion to divine right
- Given its most luminous expression by AV Dicey:
 - o Predominance of the regular law (i.e. not prerogative)
 - Equality before the law
 - Constitution results from the ordinary law/courts of the land (therefore rights cannot be suspended)
- Rule of law given its most profound meaning with the holding of executive power to account by the ordinary courts of law
- Critique of the Diceyan articulation:
 - Third observation as normative or merely 'paraphrases a British legal tradition', i.e. protection of rights embodied in common law actions of tort, false imprisonment, etc. (Hotop)
 - In tension with parliamentary sovereignty
 - Rise of post-war administrative state = huge swathes of discretionary authority rest with executive
 - Aversion to admin. courts / admin. law 'stultifies development of Anglo-Australian admin. law for almost 100 years' (Hotop)

Separation of power

- Separation of powers: reflects the 'Madisonian' aspect of Australia's constitutional settlement
- Influence of Montesquieu: liberty preserved by dispersal and strict separation of power, functions, and personalities through our governing institutions
- Fusion of executive/legislative power: but separation of power pronounced between judicial and other two branches
- The HCA has read into the Cth Constitution's structures a rigid division of powers and a very strong doctrine of the separation of judicial power
- Little judicial inclination to uncover underlying/implied structural principles or rights within existing constitutional provisions 'higher' law-making thought to reside with 'the people'
- Absence of a rights-centred approach to judicial review
- The result = a narrow, extremely complex (convoluted?), & austere/formalistic understanding of the nature of judicial power e.g. *Boilermakers* case (1956) this becomes clearer when we look at privative clauses and jurisdictional error