CONTRACT LAW EXAM

NOTES

SEMESTER 2 2018

Topic 1: General Information	.2
What is a contract?	2
The common law - a digression:	3
Topic 2: Contractual Remedies	0
Types of remedies:	
Enforcement: Compensation: Restitution	. 1
Limitation of actions	9
Topic 3: Privity	0
The Problem:	0
The Doctrine of Privity of Contract	0
Getting Round the Doctrine	2
Inferring a trust How the trust works	
Statutory Reform	4
Contracts Imposing a Burden on a Third Party	4
Transfer of Contractual Rights	5
Topic 4: Terms and Interpretation	0
Express Terms Incorporation of written terms Effect of signature: Display or delivery of terms Prior course of dealing The status of oral statements	.0 .0 .1 .3
Implied terms Terms implied in fact Terms implied in law	.7
Interpretation of contracts	11
Topic 5: Performance	0
Standards of performance	0
Time of performance	0

Good faith and performance	0
Fiduciary duties	
Contracts of utmost good faith	
The implied duty of co-operation	
Discretionary powers A general duty of good faith?	
Priestley JA in Renard (obiter of the case, NSW Court of Appeal)	
Four possibilities to consider:	
So what is good faith?	3
Order of performance	3
Dependency of obligations:	
Concurrent obligations	
Topic 6: Termination	0
How a contract can end:	0
Termination for breach	
Common law rights of termination	
Conditions and warranties	
Good faith	
Repudiation	
Express rights of termination	
Implied rights of termination	5
Exercise of the Right to Terminate	
Consequences of termination	
Effect of termination: General rule	
Recognition/enforcement of accrued rights Restitution: money claims	
Restitution: quantum meruit claims	
Termination by frustration	
Termination by agreement	9
Duty of cooperation:	
Topic 7: Vitiating Factors	0
A. Vitiating factors: misinformation OVERVIEW	0
1. Breach of Contract (review)	0
2. Action at Common Law for Misrepresentation	
3. Damages in Tort	
4. Mistake	
5. Rectification 6. Non est factum ('it was not made')	
B. vitiating factors: abuse of power OVERVIEW	
Vitiating factors: undue pressure	
C. Other vitiating factors: OVERVIEW	11
1. Formalities	
2. Incapacity	
3. Illegality and Public Policy	.12

What is a contract?

- Legally enforceable agreement that involves one or more promises, given for something in return
 - Assume a contract has two parties
 - Party = People or entities that enter into a legal agreement
 - Can be more than 2
- Some agreements that are <u>not</u> contracts:
 - Social arrangements:
 - These are not considered contracts as they are not legally enforceable as there was no intention for it to be legally enforceable
 - Potentially consideration is present as there was a promise to do something for one another
 - Gifts:
 - Not a contract as don't have an agreement because haven't considered a bargain
 - Wording is very important
 - Must be an element of bargain or quid pro quo
 - Integral to the document of consideration requirement that the agreement have the parties doing something for one another

Why enforce promises?

- Moral arguments
 - In a human society a special significance attaches to promises
 - When you make a promise you should keep it
 - Doesn't explain why some promises are so significant that they attach legal enforceability
 - Concept of reliance if reliance is had on a promise then the promise should be kept and the party held to their agreement
 - Not sufficient in itself to create a contract
 - Nor is it necessary
- Economic arguments
 - Trade and commerce (concept integral to the operation of society)
 - How would trade and commerce work without enforceability

Contract law or contracts law?

- Different rules for different types of contract
- Contract law or common law
- But a common core of principles
 - Applies to any type of contract

Relevance of the common law:

- Increasing statutory regulation
 - Increased dramatically in the 20th century with no sign of slowing down
- But common law is still important
 - Types of contract with little statutory regulation
 - Filling the gaps left by statute
 - Interpreting statutory provisions by reference to the common law

The common law - a digression:

'Common law' has two distinct meanings:

- Common law (ie, judge made law) can be distinguished from legislation or statutory law
 - No authoritative decision
 - Based on an accumulation of decisions which date back years, decades and centuries
 - Cannot look up 'the common law'
 - \circ $\;$ Nature is that there cannot be an authoritative state of it
 - What the common law says on any given point is inherently contestable
 - Rely on courts who are dealing with contested issues based on what the common law says
 - Rely on expert writers who set out what the common law is
- But judge-made law has two main categories:
 - Equity (rules and principles deriving from the old Chancery Court)
 - **Common law** (rules deriving from the other courts)
- So the same term can mean all judge-made law, and one type of judge-made law
 - (Those types of judge made law that is not equity)

Some perspectives

- The practical context
- Importance of contract law
- The international dimension

The practical context

- Parties are frequently unequal in terms of (most contracts are unequal when entered into)
 - Bargaining power
 - Bargaining skill
 - Access to relevant information
- Some contracts are freely negotiated, but more are contracts of adhesion ('take it or leave it'), often in standard form

Importance of contract law

- Contract law is irrelevant to the resolution of most contract disputes
 - Most contract disputes are resolved relationally rather than under the law
 - But some disputes are resolved legally, or at least under the shadow of the law
 - Note increasing use of ADR, often reflected in pre-agreed arbitration, mediation, etc
 - Particularly if there is a lot of money involved or a degree of personality
- And most written contracts are drafted by lawyers, or with reference to the law

The international dimension

- Focus in course on domestic Australian law, while sometimes noting approaches overseas
- How Australian contract law differs from other common law countries

- The push to reform/harmonise
 - 2012 review by federal government
 - Emphasis on international trade
 - Consistent law for this area
 - No significant initiative at the moment
 - Continue to develop a contract law that departs from English law
 - The codification option?
 - Lots of things to suggest could happen however seems unlikely at the moment

Topic 2: Contractual Remedies

Types of remedies:

Self Help:

- <u>Withholding performance</u> can only do so in certain circumstances (sometimes available and sometimes not depends on order of the performance)
 - Eg. Employed to do some work not happy with how you are being treated simply don't show up to work
 - Eg. Employ someone else to do work not happy with the quality of their work simply refuse to pay them
 - Eg. Bought some goods don't think the goods are of acceptable quality may return the goods and refuse to pay for them/get refund
- <u>Termination -</u> may not be lawfully available as a remedy
 - If not happy with performance of contract may seek to end it
 - Eg. Employed to do some work not happy with how you are being treated simply resign
 - $\circ~$ Eg. Employ someone else to do work not happy with the quality of their work simply fire them
 - Eg. Bought some goods don't think the goods are of acceptable quality terminate contract
 - <u>One company may purport to terminate a contract and the other may go to</u> <u>court to challenge the validity of the termination</u>
- Deposits and advance payments
 - Securing a deposit prior to goods being provided can purport to retain the deposit

Enforcement: - order from a court on one party by requirement of another party to do what they have promised or been promised

- 1. <u>Specific performance</u> (order that contract be performed)
 - Almost never available
 - Granted at discretion of court, but only rarely (except contracts for sale of land)
 - Specific performance is an equitable remedy (has its origins in the old chancery courts)
 - Means it is discretionary court has a choice in whether to make it available
 - Must show an <u>actual breach</u> of contract and also persuade court to side in your favour
 - <u>Common reasons for refusal:</u>
 - Damages would be an adequate remedy
 - Action for damages usually an award of money to compensate not receiving the goods from someone else
 - Goods are almost never unique and can almost always be received from somewhere else
 - So specific performance not necessary