MODULE ONE - INTRODUCTION TO COMPANY LAW

corporation – a device for obtaining individual profit without individual responsibility

Purpose of Company Law

- enabling law designed to enable entrepreneurs to take advantage of the corporate form of business organisation (formation of separate legal entities)
- why facilitate use of company structure in terms of social / economic institutions, the modern company is said to be the most revolutionary discovery of our times

Advantages:

- provides an efficient framework for capital aggregation + locking capital in enterprise
 - earliest combination [method of pooling resources] was the partnership.
 However, because of the ease with which participants can withdraw their investment partnership is not a very efficient instrument of business organisation, especially in respect of large enterprises
- provides for separate legal personality, continuity and limited liability
 - limited liability has not always been available to business people. It was
 introduced in England in 1855 after a long debate. Recognised that there was
 need to limit the liability of, and thus protect, participants in a business
 venture who had no control over the conduct of its affairs
- advantages of limited liability: promotes entrepreneurial activity
 - enables people with business ideas to raise capital without fear of personal recrimination should venture not succeed
 - encourages people to contribute capital to business ventures without fear of personal ruin should venture fail
- disadvantages of limited liability:
 - o trap for creditors especially involuntary creditors
 - may encourage reckless activity

Use of Company Structure Encouraged

 despite problems associated with limited liability, there is wide recognition that the limited company is a useful instrument of economic co-operation and wealth creation and so should be encouraged

Company Law as Regulatory Law

- company law regulates the formation, functioning and operations of companies, including the activities of controllers
- aim: to prevent abuse of corporate form, protect the interests of investors and society generally

Company Law in Australia

- introduced by various colonies based on English Law
- 1862 [English] Corporations Act adopted by most colonies
- Victoria introduced some innovations
 - 1871 introduced No Liability Companies for Mining Companies
 - 1896 introduced requirement of compulsory audit and presentation of financial reports, this was required only in respect of public companies
- imported law applied as state law
 - problem failed to reflect that Australia basically one continent-wide (and later national) economy. Dissimilarities in law and its administration imposed unnecessary cost and inconvenience on business community
 - o solution attempts to introduce uniform legislation
 - o achieved in 1961 Uniform Companies Act based on Companies Act 1958 Vic
 - o but still state legislation, old problems soon resurfaced
- need for change intensified by allegations of improper conduct during 1960s boom hectic activity of Securities Exchanges and attendant problems
- establishment of Rae Committee recommended tightening of legislation and creation of national authority to regulate dealing in securities
- 1973 Labor government announced plans to establish national companies law, but lost office before the task was accomplished
- 1974 Non Labor governments formed Inter State CAC (Vic, NSW, Qld and later WA) with a view to providing uniform companies and securities administration)
- 1978 Co-Operative Scheme:
 - o uniform legislation *Companies Code; Takeovers Code & Securities Industry Code* enacted by Commonwealth for ACT and mirror legislation enacted
 - o ministerial council
 - o NCSC
 - state corporate affairs commissions
- deficiencies of co-operative scheme, old problems persist:
 - o dissimilarities in administration of law
 - o requisite reforms not always achieved
- 1989, May commonwealth enacts the *Corporations Act* to enable it to assume complete control over all corporate affairs

- 1990, February act held unconstitutional
 - by an overwhelming majority (6-1) the High Court held that the commonwealth has no power to legislate on matters governing the incorporation of companies – The State of New South Wales v The Commonwealth of Australia (1990) 8 ACLC 120

• 1991 arrangements:

- uniform law commonwealth enacts law for the ACT, ACT law adopted by the States and Territories
- ministerial council commonwealth minister (originally the Attorney General now the Treasurer) permanent chairman of ministerial council
- o weighted voting in favour of commonwealth minister
- ASC (Now ASIC) sole agency responsible for policy development and administration of law
- cross vesting of jurisdiction Federal Court principle forum for litigating corporate law matters instead of State Courts
- offences against Corporations Law to be treated as offences against commonwealth law – commonwealth DPP responsible for offences against Corporations Law
- scheme built upon a novel legislative device designed to deliver a single national corporate law regulatory regime, arrangement effectively revised co-operative scheme aimed at overcoming may of the old problems
- 1991 2000 Developments scheme under strain
 - o cross vesting scheme invalidated Re Walkin; ex parte McNally (1999)
 - o offences: enforcement on national basis threatened R v Hughes (2000)
 - o ASIC incorporation power challenged GPS First Mortgage Securities v Lynch
 - states response: Corporations (Administrative Actions) Act 2001

• solution:

- o agreement by all states to refer their corporations power to commonwealth
- pursuant to this agreement, the commonwealth enacted the Corporations
 Act 2001, the Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001 and
 other associated legislation relating to company law
- these commonwealth laws govern all aspects of company law and apply throughout Australia, A Truly National Scheme of Corporations Law has now been set in place in Australia