LAWS4104 PROPERTY LAW

L Adverse Possession

Possession gives rights to land

- Possession of land gives rise to a proprietary interest
- Possessory title good against all the world except the person with superior title (Asher v Whitlock)
- Possessory title can be inherited, devised or conveyed (Asher v Whitlock)
- Defendant can't defeat possessor's right by setting up a 'jus tertii' defence (Asher v Whitlock; confirmed in Australia in Perry v Clissold)

Elements of adverse possession

STEP 1 – Rights of the True Owner

- True owner of land has superior right against all the world (Asher v Whitlock) subject to doctrines of abandonment and adverse possession
- Presumption that person with title has possession until otherwise proven (Powell v McFarlane –
 Slade J). Right to possession usually follows whoever has title to the land (Clement v Jones)
- However, true owner's title is extinguished if they abandon the property.
 - Abandonment entails: (Re Jigrose)
 - Factual possession lose physical control; AND
 - Intention to abandon
 - o Onus is on the party seeking to prove there has been abandonment
- If not abandoned, title can also be extinguished if there has been adverse possession.

STEP 2 – What is Adverse Possession?

Limitation Act 2005

- Commenced 15 November 2005
 - Where causes of action accrued before this date, the limitation periods contained in 1935
 Act continue to apply (s4)
- Adverse possession limits a landowner's ability to assert a possessory right and is administered by a combination of common law and statute (*Limitation Act 2005*)
- Limitation Act s75:
 - A person's title is extinguished if
 - (a) they do not commence action to recover land before expiry of limitation period or
 - (b) after the court extends time no action to recover land occurs

What are the elements of adverse possession?

- 1) True owner discontinues possession (s66)
- 2) Adverse possessor has taken up possession (s3(6), s65)
- 3) Possession continues for 12 years (s19)

If these elements are proved, the true owner's rights are extinguished (\$75)

STEP 3 – Dispossession of True Owner

There is a presumption that the person with title has possession until proved otherwise (*Powell v McFarlane* – Slade J)

Has the true owner been dispossessed or discontinued possession (s66)?

- Buckinghamshire CC v Moran:
 - Dispossessed → where owner has been driven out of possession by others
 - Discontinue possession → where owner leaves and others move in (comprises two acts)
- Apply and conclude whether there appears to have been a dispossession or discontinuance of possession.

STEP 4 – Establish Adverse Possession

Following dispossession/discontinuance of possession, has adverse possession been taken up by some other person not entitled to possession (the 'adverse possessor')? (s3(6) and s65)

- Must prove factual possession and intention to possess (*Powell*)
 - Onus is on adverse possessor to prove this (Clements)
- Actual possession by AP must continue for 12 years (s19)

STEP 5 – Establish Adverse Possession: FACTUAL Possession

Is there factual possession?

- Requires an appropriate degree of physical control (Powell)
 - o Depends on:
 - Nature of the land
 - Manner in which land is commonly used or enjoyed
 - Possessor must be dealing with the land as an occupying owner may be expected to
 - True owners can get sick, go on holidays, etc. (Mulcahy v Curramore)
 - Maintaining land in away no one else has been
- Must be 'open, not secret; peaceful, not by force; and adverse not by consent of true owner.'
 (Mulcahy)
 - Open, not secret → cannot conceal adverse possession; it should be able to be noticed by a careful and reasonable title holder
 - Peaceful, not by force → a question of degree
 - \circ Cannot be with consent of owner \rightarrow e.g. lease
- Must be 'single and conclusive possession' (Powell)
 - o Cannot AP if owner is still in possession
 - Clement v Jones (HCA)
 - Could not establish single and conclusive possession as true owner kept visiting often and exercised control
 - Grazing cattle on land was not an unequivocal act of possession as it did not illustrate exclusive possession
- *Note that possession of a part of land deemed to extend to entire piece of land

Examples of factual possession

- Buckinghamshire CC v Moran
 - M (AP) used plot of land to grow plants, mow lawn, maintain hedges, and placed a lock on gate which only M had the key to.
 - Fence and lock \rightarrow exclusive access and control of land; factual possession.
- Cf. Powell v McFarlane
 - P lived on farm and M owned adjacent land. M moved overseas and P used land for grazing cows.
 Actions did not amount to factual possession.
- Whittlesea City Council v Abbatangelo
 - o Erected fence to enclose plot along with her land
 - Land used to keep animals, maintain trees and vegetation, mowed grass, removed weeds, and held social functions.

STEP 6 – Establish Adverse Possession: Intention to Possess (Animus Possidendi)

Was there an intention to possess?

- Clear intention to 'exclude the world at large, including the owner ... so far as it is reasonably practicable and so far as the processes of the law will allow.' (*Powell*)
 - Objectively infer intention from acts obvious to the world at large (Powell)
 - In own name and on own's behalf (Powell)
- Not an intention to exclude true owner but an intention to <u>exercise exclusive control</u> (*Petkov v Lucerne* WA authority)
 - Adverse possessor's belief that they are the true owner is sufficient (Wallis' Clayton Holiday Camp)
 - o Intention to occupy it as if it were their own (JA Pye)
- Intention not to own land but to possess it for the time being (Buckinghamshire CC)
- Acknowledgment of not owning land is not detrimental given there is an intention to exclusively possess (Whittlesea v Abbatangelo)

Sufficient acts to infer intention

- Exercising control over who can enter the property
 - Enclosure is the strongest possible evidence of the intention to possess (however not a universal principle) (Seddon v Smith)
 - Erecting fences and gates
 - Shows intention to possess land and exclude owner
 - But where enclosed land is owned by more than one person, it is not necessarily unequivocal (*Clement*)
 - Changing locks and holding one key (Buckinghamshire CC)
 - o Acting in a manner that prevents true owner from exercising rights
 - Paying taxes and rates
 - Collecting profits from land
 - Leaving rights to the land in a will (Asher v Whitlock)

Examples of intention to possess

- Buckinghamshire CC v Moran (Slade J)
 - Lock on gate → unequivocal in showing intention to exclude world at large
- JA Pye v Graham
 - Lock on the gate → intention to exclude; not inconsistent that the possessor wanted to pay
 the owner for a lease
- Whittlesea City Council v Abbatangelo
 - Abbatangelo acknowledged land was untitled → no intention to own the land required, only an intention to possess and exclude
 - Kept animals on land, maintained trees and vegetation, mowed grass, removed weeds, held social functions

STEP 7 – Has the Limitation Period run for the necessary length?

Has the adverse possession gone on for 12 years? (s19)

- S65 Limitation Act
 - o Cause of action to recover the land cannot accrue unless the land is in adverse possession
- S19 Limitation Act
 - If 12 years pass since the cause of action accrues, the owner's right to sue for trespass/ejection is extinguished. By operation of s19 their title is lost.
- The 12 years must be 'continuous and uninterrupted' (Mulcahy)
 - o If the AP abandons, the true owner's title will restore to its true force.
 - Abandoning possession before the LP expires leaves no clout on the TO's title:
 - Time resets
 - Mere non-use of the land is not evidence in itself of abandonment

Has there been a series of adverse possessors?

- Where there are a series of APs where none of whom have been in possession for 12 years, they may cumulate their years and extinguish the title of true owner. Two ways:
 - (1) Where adverse possessors 'claim through' each other (Asher v Whitlock)
 - Subsequent possessor can add earlier time to their own
 - Subsequent possessor has best case as previous possessors abandoned claims
 - As long as there is intention to possess and take possession in time that is reasonable in the circumstances ('continuous and uninterrupted')
 - (2) Where adverse possessors are 'independent trespassers' (Mulcahy)
 - Series of APs who do not derive title from each other, however cumulatively have been in AP longer than the limitation period
 - Statute will operate to extinguish TO's title, as long as possession is continuous and uninterrupted and <u>there is no GAP</u> (or gap is not too long).
 - Gap depends on nature of the land
 - If there is a gap it would restore TO's rights
 - o s65, ss2-3 \rightarrow cause of action of subsequent independent trespassers accrues when first person's accrued as long as it does not cease to be in adverse possession.
- Summary:
 - o Prior possessor has superior title against all the world except true owner provided:
 - They do not abandon
 - They don't convey or devise possessory title
 - Prior adverse possessor has not been adversely possessed himself

STEP 8 – Has time stopped or been extended?

Has time been extended or suspended?

Minor/infancy [suspension]

s32 Suspension of time while person under 18 is without guardian

• Limitation period suspended for any period of time during which an infant landowner is without a guardian (s32(1)

s41 If they have a guardian, a plaintiff who was under 18 years of age when a cause of action accrued may apply to a court for leave to commence an action even though the limitation period has expired.

Mental disability/impairment [suspension]

s35(1) Suspension of time while person with a mental disability for a period they are without a guardian

- Action cannot be commenced if 12 years has elapsed (s35(2))
- If they have a guardian, they must apply for court leave to commence an action if the limitation period has expired (s41)

Fraud [extension]

s38 In cases of fraud/improper conduct, may apply to court for extension to limitation period even if:

- (1) Limitation period has expired.
- (2) Court can extend up to 3 years from when action ought reasonably have commenced if satisfied that the failure to commence was attributable to fraud/improper conduct.

S36 Defendant in close relationship with person under 18 when cause of action accrues

- (1) If -
 - (a) A person (person A) is suffering a mental disability at any time after a cause of action accrues to person A; and
 - (b) During the time in which person A is suffering the mental disability a defendant is a person in a close relationship with person A, an action on that cause of action cannot be commenced if three years have elapsed since the relationship ceased.