MLL315 PERSONAL INJURY COMPENSATION SCHEMES – EXAM NOTES

Legislation

AC Act – Accident Compensation Act 1985 (Vic)

ACWI Act – Accident Compensation (WorkCover Insurance) Act 1993 (Vic)

RS Regs – Road Safety (Vehicles) Regulations 2009 (Vic)

RS Act – Road Safety Act 1986 (Vic)

TA Act – *Transport Accident Act* 1986 (Vic)

WC Act – Workers' Compensation Act 1958 (Vic)

WIRC Act – Workplace Injury Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2013 (Vic)

Table of Contents

| Topic 1 – Introduction to WIRC Act | 11 |
|---|----|
| Introduction to WIRC Act | 11 |
| Historical Background | 11 |
| WIRC Act | 12 |
| Which Act applies? | 12 |
| S 5 WIRC Act | 12 |
| Aims and rationales of WIRC | 12 |
| Principles of statutory interpretation | 12 |
| Beneficial/remedial legislation? | 13 |
| Aims of the WIRC Act – s 10 | 14 |
| Aims of the VWA – s 492 | 14 |
| Victorian WorkCover Authority | 14 |
| WIRC Act – s 491 & 492 | 15 |
| Functions of the Authority – s 493 | 15 |
| WIRC Act – s 494, 495 and 502 | 15 |
| WIRC Act – s 6 | 16 |
| WIRC Act – s 20 | 16 |
| WIRC Act – s 71 and 72 | 16 |
| Outline of the WIRC Act | 17 |
| Types of compensation | 17 |
| Topic 2: Entitlement to compensation under WIRC Act | 18 |
| Criteria | 18 |
| Entitlement provision: s 39 WIRC | 18 |
| Criteria 1: Worker | 18 |
| The two ways to be a 'worker' under the WIRC Act | 18 |
| Employee or Independent Contractor | 18 |

| The control test – an important indicia | 18 |
|--|-------|
| Case Focus: Hollis v Vabu, HCA 2001 | 19 |
| Ultimate question/focal point 2-stage test per Bromberg J in On Call Interpreters (FCA, 2011 | l) 19 |
| Deemed workers | 20 |
| Contractors deemed to be employees | 21 |
| WIRC Act Bill Explanatory Memorandum, pp. 325-329 (re Sch 1 Pt 1 cl 9) | 21 |
| Deemed workers – other Acts | 21 |
| Excluded Categories | 22 |
| Criteria 2: Injury | 22 |
| Definition of 'injury' in s 3(1) | 22 |
| Primary Injuries | 22 |
| Case Focus: Petkovska v Kennedy Cleaning Services (HCA, 2000): | 22 |
| Primary injuries – mental injuries | 23 |
| 'industrial deafness' | 23 |
| 'extended' injuries | 24 |
| Disease | 24 |
| Criteria 3: Nexus between injury and employment | 24 |
| Criteria 3.1: 'out of' employment | 25 |
| Case Focus: Smith v Australian Woollen Mills (HCA, 1933) | 25 |
| Case Focus: Zlateska v Consolidated Cleaning Services (VSCA, 2006) | 25 |
| (Alternate to 3.1) Criteria 3.2: 'in the course of' employment | 26 |
| Case Focus: VWA v Michaels (VSCA, 2009) | 27 |
| Intervals and interludes | 27 |
| Case Focus: Hatzimanolis v ANI Corporation Ltd (HCA, 1992) | 28 |
| Case Focus: Comcare v PVYW (HCA, 2013) | 28 |
| Deemed situations – s 46 WIRC | 29 |
| During an 'authorised recess' | 30 |
| Case Focus: TGT Transport v Zammit | 30 |
| Injury sustained during a journey | 31 |
| Car parks and entrances to buildings | 31 |
| Case Focus: Telstra Corporation Limited v Bowden [2012] FCA 576 (Fd Ct Aust, 2012) | 31 |
| Case Focus: VWA v Jones Lang Lasalle (VSC, 2012) | 31 |
| Breaking the rules – s 46 WIRC | 32 |
| Working from home/any other place | 32 |
| Assaulted at place of employment | 32 |
| Case Focus: Martin v Bailey [2009] VSCA 263 | 32 |
| Criteria 3.3: Significant contributing factor: s 40 WIRC | 33 |
| Case Focus: Popovski (VSC, 1998) on SCF per Ashley JA | 34 |
| Case Focus: Zlateska v Consolidated Cleaning Services Pty Ltd & NRMA Workers Compensation (Vic) Ltd [2006] VSCA 141, | |
| Alternate Criteria 3.4: Due to the nature of employment | |
| Due to the nature of employment – gradual process injury: s 39(3) WIRC | |

| Due to the nature of employment – disease reducing work capacity/death: s 50 | 34 |
|---|----|
| Due to the nature of employment – 'proclaimed diseases': s 51 | 35 |
| Case Focus: Due to the nature of employment – Commonwealth v Bourne (HCA, 1960) | 35 |
| Due to the nature of employment – Guiding principles | 35 |
| Alternate Criteria 3.5: Death | 36 |
| Dependant | 36 |
| Management action as defence to mental injury claim: s 40 | 36 |
| Management action in s 40(1): guiding principles from Krygsman-Yeats (MC, 2011), [31] | 37 |
| Criteria 4: Connected to Victoria | 37 |
| Connection to the State of Victoria: s 37 | 37 |
| No entitlement to compensation: s 40 | 38 |
| Restriction on compensation | 38 |
| Topic 3: Types of Compensation under WIRC | 39 |
| Principles | 39 |
| Entitlement to weekly payments: s 160 | 39 |
| Criteria for weekly payments: s 160 | 39 |
| 'Suitable employment': s 3 | 40 |
| 'Suitable employment': s 3(b) | 40 |
| Case Focus: Richter v Driscoll & Ors [2016] VSCA 142 | 41 |
| 'Odd lot' doctrine | 41 |
| Calculating weekly payments | 42 |
| Types of weekly payments: s 152 | 42 |
| First entitlement period: s 161 | 42 |
| Second entitlement period: s 162 | 43 |
| After the second entitlement period: s 163 | 43 |
| Three circumstances in which entitlement to weekly payments continue: | 43 |
| Case Focus: DEK Rendering v Gaffy & Ors [2017] VSC 53 | 43 |
| Obligations on worker and employer re return to work: Pt 4 (ss 96-151) | 45 |
| Termination/alteration of weekly payments: s 182 | 45 |
| Decision to terminate/alter weekly payments | 46 |
| Medical and Like Expenses (MLE): s 224 | 46 |
| MLE: definitions of s 224(a) services in s 3 | 46 |
| Additional Medical and Like Expenses: s 224 | 47 |
| Guidelines and approval | 48 |
| Exclusions: s 229 | 48 |
| Houses and Cars: s 231 | 48 |
| General principles for entitlement to MLE | 48 |
| How long do s 224 payments last? s 232 | 48 |
| Compensation for non-economic loss | 49 |
| Claims Management Process: see s 195 flowchart | 49 |
| Permanent impairment | |
| Entitling provision: s 211 | |
| | |

| Assessing an injury resulting in permanent impairment | 51 |
|--|----|
| How is the 'degree of impairment' assessed? | 51 |
| American Medical Association, Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment 4th ed. ('AMA-4') | 51 |
| Interpreting the AMA 4 Guides – TAC v Serwylo (VSC, 2010) | 52 |
| Injury must be stable – S 55 | 52 |
| 2. calculating compensation for non-economic loss | 52 |
| No Disadvantage—Compensation Table: s 221 | 52 |
| Death Benefits | 53 |
| Dependants defined: s 234 | 53 |
| What do you need to know about these types of compensation? | 53 |
| Topic 4: Common law (Serious Injury Applications) under WIRC Act | 60 |
| Limited CL rights | 60 |
| History of s 355 | 60 |
| Which Act applies? | 61 |
| Requirements for bringing common law proceedings under Pt 7, Div 2 (ss 324-356) | 61 |
| Procedure and form | 61 |
| Serious injury: 3 x 'gateways' | 62 |
| 1. The degree of impairment to the worker is 30% or more: s 335(1); | 62 |
| 2. The degree of impairment is less than 30%, but the VWA or self-insurer is satisfied that the injury constitutes a serious injury and issues the worker a certificate in writing consenting to th bringing of proceedings: s 335(2)(c); or | ie |
| 3. The degree of impairment is less than 30%, but a court (other than a Magistrates' Court), or the application of the worker, grants leave to the bringing the proceedings: s 335(2)(d) | |
| Outline of 'serious injury' test | 62 |
| Serious injury—the narrative test | 63 |
| Differences with TA Act | 63 |
| Satisfying the narrative test | 63 |
| 1. Identify compensable injur(y/ies) | 63 |
| Case Focus: Grech v Orica (VSCA, 2006) | 63 |
| 2. Identify impairment/LOBF, disfigurement or mental or behavioural disturbance: s 325(1) | 65 |
| 3. Identify consequences of the impairment, disfigurement or mental or behavioural disturbances | |
| Case Focus: Habte v VWA (VCC, 2014) | 67 |
| Case Focus: Mutual Cleaning and Maintenance Pty Ltd v Stamboulakis (VSCA, 2007), per Maxwell P | 68 |
| Case Focus: Jayatilake v Toyota Motor Corporation Australia Ltd (VSCA, 2008), Ashley JA [142] | - |
| Case Focus: Meadows v Lichmore (VSCA, 2013), [21]-[22] (Maxwell ACJ) | 69 |
| 4. Assess whether the consequences meet or exceed the relevant threshold | 70 |
| Case Focus: HWT v Jessop (VSCA, 2014) | 72 |
| Case Focus: Doolan v Rainers Sawmills (VSCA, 2008) | 72 |
| Case Focus: Haden Engineering (VSCA, 2010), Maxwell P | 73 |
| Time for assessing serious injury: s 325(2) | 75 |

| Topic 5: Introduction to TA Act | |
|--|----|
| Introduction to TA Act | 76 |
| Historical background | 76 |
| Aims and rationale of TA Act | 77 |
| Merits of no-fault schemes (policy discussions) | |
| Outline of TA Act | 77 |
| Beneficial/remedial legislation? | 78 |
| Interpretation – Beneficial legislation? | 78 |
| TA Act – s 8 | 78 |
| Administration of TA Act | 78 |
| Transport Accident Commission | 78 |
| TA Act – s 10 | 78 |
| TA Act – s 11 | 79 |
| TA Act – s 12 | 79 |
| TA Act – s 13 | 79 |
| Comparison of aims and rationale of TA and WIRC Acts | 80 |
| Types of compensation | 80 |
| Claims procedure | 80 |
| Insurance function | 81 |
| Social security function | 81 |
| Other functions | 81 |
| Facts and figures | 81 |
| Topic 6: Entitlement under TA Act | 82 |
| Entitling provision: s 35(1) | 82 |
| 1. transport accident occurred 'on or after 1 January 1987': ss 34, 35 | 82 |
| 2. injured person made a claim within the time limits: s 68 | 82 |
| 3. transport accident 'connected' to Victoria: s 35(1) | 82 |
| 'registered motor vehicle' (RMV) - s 3(1) TA Act | 82 |
| RMV: s 3(1)(a) TA Act | 83 |
| RMV: s 3(1) (ba)-(e) | 83 |
| Section 36 discretion | 83 |
| Section 42: Entitlement to compensation outside Victoria | 83 |
| 4. person suffered an 'injury' | 83 |
| Nervous shock | 84 |
| Nervous shock at common law | 84 |
| What is a 'transport accident'? | 84 |
| 1. What is an 'incident'? | 84 |
| 2. incident 'directly caused by the driving' of a vehicle | |
| 3. 'motor car, or motor vehicle, a railway train or a tram': s 3(1) | |
| Definitions | |
| RS Act—definition of motor vehicle: s 3(1) | 87 |
| RS Act—definition of 'highway': s 3 | |

| 'used or intended for use' on a 'highway' requirement | . 88 |
|--|------|
| Alternative tests | . 88 |
| Test 2 | . 88 |
| Special vehicles | . 88 |
| Exclusions | . 88 |
| Exclusions: s 39 | . 88 |
| Exclusions: s 41 | . 89 |
| Exclusions: s 41A | 89 |
| Exclusions: s 41B | . 89 |
| Burden of Proof | . 89 |
| Eligibility decision review (Topic 10) | . 89 |
| Topic 7: Types of Compensation under TA Act | . 90 |
| 4 kinds of no-fault benefits | . 90 |
| The 'excess' | . 90 |
| Loss of income benefits | . 90 |
| Loss of earnings | 91 |
| Who is an 'earner'? s 3 TA | 91 |
| Suffers loss of earnings — total or partial | 91 |
| Pre-accident weekly earnings rate: s 4 | 91 |
| Pre-accident weekly earnings rate - exceptions: ss 4(2)(a), (b), and (3) | 91 |
| Pre-accident weekly earnings rate - exceptions: ss 4(2)(c) and (7) | . 92 |
| Pre-accident weekly earnings rate - exceptions: ss 4A and 5 | . 92 |
| What are 'earnings'? s 6 | . 92 |
| Rate of payment: total loss of earnings | |
| Rate of payment: partial loss of earnings | . 93 |
| Rates of payment for unemployed, self-employed, seasonal workers: partial loss of earnings | 93 |
| Loss of earnings | |
| What is the 'period of entitlement'? ss 44(4) and 45(6) | 93 |
| 'loss of earning capacity' (LOEC) | . 93 |
| loss of earning capacity: Post-'period of entitlement' review | . 93 |
| 'Loss of earning capacity' (LOEC) eligibility: ss 49(1), 50(1), 51(1) | . 94 |
| What is 'loss of earning capacity'? | . 94 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits — Rates for total LOEC: s 49 | . 94 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits — Rates for partial LOEC: s 50 | . 94 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits — Rates for 'non-earners': s 51 | . 95 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits — 'pre-accident earning capacity' re total LOEC: s 49(5) | . 95 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits — Pre-accident earning capacity: special rules | . 95 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits — Pre-accident earning capacity: s 50A | 95 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits —Post-accident earning capacity in Partial LOEC: s 50(5) | . 95 |
| Loss of earning capacity benefits — Post-accident earning capacity in Partial LOEC: s 50 | |
| Cessation of loss of earning capacity benefits: s 53 | . 96 |
| Loss of earnings/earning capacity benefits — Retirement age: s 53(1) | . 96 |

| Lump sum impairment benefits | 96 |
|--|-----|
| Lump sum impairment benefits — Time of assessment | 96 |
| Lump sum impairment benefits — Deemed 0% impairment | 96 |
| Lump sum impairment benefits — Method of assessment | 97 |
| Lump sum impairment benefits — Method of assessment re s 46A(2C)? | |
| Lump sum impairment benefits — Method of assessment | |
| Lump sum impairment benefits — Method of assessment re s 46B(1) | 97 |
| Case Focus: Cox v TAC [2000] VCAT 205, [26] (Macnamara DP) | 97 |
| Case Focus: TAC v Lincoln (VSC, 2003), [18]-[20] (Winneke P, Batt JA and Warren AJA | |
| agreeing) | |
| Lump sum impairment benefits — Threshold and calculation | |
| Medical and Like Benefits | |
| Medical and Like Benefits: s 60 | |
| Case Focus: Pearce v TAC [2010] VCAT 226 — Vocational rehabilitation | |
| Medical and Like Benefits: Requirements to claim s 60 | |
| Medical and Like Benefits — Authorisation | |
| Medical and Like Benefits — Authorisation, ss 23(ab), (b) and (c) | |
| Medical and Like Benefits — Authorisation, ss 23(a) and (aaa) | |
| Case Focus: Dawson v TAC [2010] VCAT 644—'disability' or 'rehabilitation services'? | 101 |
| Case Focus: D v TAC [2010] VCAT 1434 – what are 'rehabilitation services'? | |
| Case Focus: Bekker v TAC [2010] VCAT 1265 | |
| Case Focus: Hansen v TAC [2009] VCAT 982 | |
| Case Focus: Kutz v TAC [2010] VCAT 1685 | 103 |
| Case Focus: Sweet v TAC [2010] VCAT 1498 — Motor vehicle | 103 |
| Case Focus: DLZ v TAC (VSCA, 2017) | 104 |
| Death benefits | 104 |
| Death benefits | 104 |
| Case Focus: Rekatsinas v TAC [2010] VCAT 967 | 104 |
| Suspension of or limits on compensation | 105 |
| Suspension of compensation: s 71 | 105 |
| Situations in which compensation is not payable | 105 |
| Situations in which compensation is not payable: s 40 | 105 |
| Case Focus: Kymantas v TAC [2012] VSCA 135—re s 40(1)(c) unlicensed | 106 |
| Topic 8: Common law (Serious Injury Applications) under TA Act | 107 |
| History of s 93 | 107 |
| Requirements for bringing actions under s 93 | 107 |
| Serious Injury: 3 gateways | 107 |
| Approach to determining 'SI' under s 93(4)(c) & (d)—leave of court: Gateways 2 and 3 | 107 |
| A lament: Petkovski v Galletti (VSC, App Div, 1994) | 108 |
| Approach to determining 'serious injury' under s 93(4)(c) and (d) | 108 |
| Serious injury 'narrative test' | |
| Step 1: identify the injury: s 93(1) | 108 |

| Step 2: identify the impairment: s 93(17) | 109 |
|--|-----|
| Step 2: identify the impairment: s 93(17) (≈ s 325(1) WIRC Act)— Humphries v Poljak | 109 |
| Step 2: identify the impairment — TAC v Kamel | 109 |
| Significance of different wording in TA Act s 93(17)(a) and (c)? | 110 |
| Step 2: injury v impairment | 110 |
| Step 3: identify the consequences of impairment — general principles: | 110 |
| Step 3: identify the consequences of impairment — Aggravation of pre-existing condition | 111 |
| Step 3: identify the consequences of impairment — Injuries from unrelated causes/other accidents | 111 |
| Step 3: identify the consequences of impairment — injuries with both physical and mental consequences, ie (a) cases v (c) cases — general principles | 111 |
| WIRC Act s 325(2)(h) and (i) | 112 |
| Case Focus: Jayatilake v Toyota Motor Corporation Australia Ltd (VSCA, 2008), Ashley JA [142] | |
| Case Focus: Meadows v Lichmore (VSCA, 2013), [21]-[22] (Maxwell ACJ) | 112 |
| Step 3: identify the consequences of impairment — Examples of (a) cases v (c) cases | 113 |
| Case Focus: Richards v Wylie [2000] VSCA 50 | 113 |
| Case Focus: TAC v Kamel [2011] VSCA 110 | 113 |
| Step 4: narrative test: satisfying the 3 reference points | 114 |
| Step 4: narrative test 3 reference points satisfied? Differences to WIRC Act | 114 |
| Pain and suffering consequences | 115 |
| Judging by comparison to the 'range' | 115 |
| Step 4: narrative test satisfied? para (a) cases — Humphries v Poljak | 115 |
| Step 4: narrative test satisfied? para (a) cases — TAC v Kamel | 115 |
| Step 4: narrative test satisfied? (c) cases — Humphries v Poljak | 115 |
| Step 4: narrative test satisfied? (c) cases — Mobilio v Balliotis | 116 |
| (c) cases | 116 |
| Damages under s 93 | 116 |
| Section 94 | 116 |
| Actions following death as a result of a transport accident: s 93(8) | 117 |
| Nominal defendant for unidentified or unindemnified vehicles: s 96 | 117 |
| Topics 4 and 8: Serious Injury Applications | |
| Humphries v Poljak [1992] 2 VR 129 | 118 |
| Barwon Spinners Pty Ltd v Podolak [2005] 14 VR 622 | 118 |
| Topic 9: Interaction between WIRC Act, TA Act and other Acts | 119 |
| Introduction | |
| 'transport accident in the course of employment' claims | |
| Overlap b/n WIRC Act and TA Act | 119 |
| Overlap b/n TA/WIRC Act and Wrongs Act | 120 |
| Overlap b/n TA Act and common law: s 94 TA Act | |
| Case Focus: Hynes v Hynes (VSCA, 2007): s 94 | 120 |
| Case Focus: Bentley v Furlan [1999] 3 VR 63 (VSC, 1999) | 121 |
| Case Focus: Lavrick v Lease Auto Pty Ltd (2002) 192 ALR 290 (FCA, 2002) | 121 |

| How does s 94 work in practice? | 122 |
|---|-----|
| Recoveries – VWA | 122 |
| Case Focus: VWA v Jones Lang Lasalle (VSC, 2012) | 123 |
| Case Focus: VWA v Probuild (VSC, 2016) | 123 |
| Recoveries - TAC | 123 |
| Overlap b/n WIRC/TA Acts and other schemes? | 123 |
| Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Cth) (SRC Act) | 124 |
| SRC Act Overlap with TA Act and WIRC Act | 124 |
| Case Focus: A-G (Vic) v Andrews (HCA, 2007) | 124 |
| Seafarers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1992 (Cth) | 125 |
| Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004 (Cth) | 125 |
| National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013 (Cth) | 125 |
| NDIS Act: Disability requirements | 125 |
| Overlap b/n NDIS Act and WIRC/TA Acts? | 126 |
| Recoupment by NDIA: chapter 5 | 126 |
| Criminal Injuries Compensation: Victims of Crime Assessment Act 1996 (Vic) ('VCA Act') | 126 |
| Who is entitled to compensation under VCA Act? | 126 |
| What types of compensation are available? | 127 |
| Overlap with common law damages? | 127 |
| National Redress Scheme (NRS) - For people who have experienced institutional child sexua | ıl |
| abuse | |
| Who can apply? | |
| There may be issues with applying | |
| What and who are the 'Participating Institutions' (PIs)? | |
| What Redress is available? | |
| Topic 10: Claims Procedures and ADR in WIRC Act and TA Act | |
| Claims procedures and dispute resolution | 129 |
| WIRC Act | 129 |
| Step 1: Notice of Injury | |
| Late Notice: s 18 WIRC Act | 131 |
| Step 2: Claim for compensation – s 20 WIRC | 132 |
| Claims for weekly payments: s 20 WIRC Act | |
| Claims for weekly payments: s 25 WIRC Act | 132 |
| Time limits for claims | 132 |
| Time limits for decisions by relevant authority | 133 |
| Notices of rejection and eligibility: VWA v Brewster | 133 |
| Dispute resolution – no fault compensation: Step 1: Conciliation | 133 |
| If the parties resolve the dispute at conciliation: s 296 WIRC | 135 |
| If the parties do not resolve the dispute – weekly payment and medical and like benefits | 135 |
| If the parties do not resolve the dispute | |
| Medical Panels | 135 |
| Medical Question: s 3 WIRC definition | 135 |

| Who can refer a medical question to a Medical Panel? | 136 |
|--|-----|
| Powers of the Medical Panel | 137 |
| Medical Panel 'opinion': s 313 WIRC | 137 |
| Effect of Medical Panel opinion | 137 |
| Case Focus: Maurice Blackburn Cashman v Brown (2011) 242 CLR 647 | 137 |
| Case Focus: Wingfoot Partners Pty Ltd v Kocak [2013] HCA 43 | 138 |
| Case Focus: McVey v GJ & LJ Smith Pty Ltd [2014] VSCA 293 | 139 |
| Adequacy of reasons of Medial Panel | 139 |
| Case Focus: Wingfoot Australia Partners Pty Ltd v Kocak [2013] HCA 43 | 139 |
| Case Focus: Gruma Oceania Pty Ltd v Bakar [2014] VSCA 252 | 139 |
| Dispute resolution – CL proceedings | 139 |
| Serious injury and CL damages proceedings | 140 |
| Serious injury certification process – Application | 141 |
| Serious injury process – Leave of the court | 141 |
| CL Process – Statutory Conference (only applies after determination that injury is a by determination, satisfaction of test or issue of serious injury certificate) | |
| A Act | 142 |
| Making a claim for a transport accident | 142 |
| Time limits for making a claim | 142 |
| Timeframe for determining a claim | 143 |
| Case Focus: TAC v Locastro [1995] 1 VR 289 (VSCA, 1995) | 144 |
| Serious injury and CL damages – Process s 93 TA | 144 |
| Serious injury process | 144 |
| Case Focus: TAC v Locastro [1995] 1 VR 289 (VSCA, 1995) (cont) | 144 |
| Dispute resolution – No fault compensation | 145 |
| Dispute resolution – CL | 146 |
| Serious injury applications | 146 |
| 'Serious Injury Conference' Pilot | 147 |
| Serious injury process – leave of the court | 147 |
| 'Common Law Conference' | 148 |
| Part 2: Dispute Resolution and ADR Principles | 148 |
| ADR: from 'alternate' to 'appropriate' dispute resolution | 148 |
| , in the second of appropriate dispute to the second of th | |

Topic 1 – Introduction to WIRC Act

Introduction to WIRC Act

- Workplace Injury Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2013 (Vic)
- Scheme of compensation for persons injured at work on or after 1 July 2014
- Dual system:
 - No-fault compensation for:

Income loss or loss of the capacity to earn income

Lump sum payment for 'permanent impairment'

Medical and like expenses ('MLE')

Death benefits

2. Restricted common law rights—2 steps

Application for 'serious injury' threshold

Proceedings for damages (usually greater amounts than under WIRC)

 Replaced the Accident Compensation Act 1985 (Vic) (AC Act), which still applies to pre-1 July 2014 injuries

Historical Background

- Kevin Purse 2005 identifies 4 'nodal points of policy development' (p. 9)
 - o 18th century to end of 19th century (pp. 9-); Laissez-faire, CL, & 'Unholy Trinity'
 - o 1900-1925 (pp. 11-12): profound and rapid change
 - o Mid-920s to 1979 (pp. 12-): 'incrementalist interregnum'
 - 1970 to mid-1990s (pp 13-): 'reform and counter-reform'
- Industrial revolution 18th-19th cc
- Problems in common law at this time—Unholy Trinity of:
 - Common employment
 - Voluntary assumption of risk
 - 'Contributory negligence'
- Workmen's Compensation Act 1897 (UK)
 - Employer liable to pay worker for 'personal injury by accident'
 - No-fault system introduced for the first time—no need for worker to show fault by employer
 - ie, breach of contract (no negligence at this time)
 - Cause of action arose as a 'statutory duty' (policy of insurance), i.e. no default of employer:
 McGuire v Union Steamship Company of NZ (1920) 27 CLR 570, 582-84 (Isaac and Rich JJ)
 - Duty on employer by statute, not tort or contract
 - Akin to insurance
 - Remedial
- First Aus legislations c 1900 1925:
- Australian jurisdictions adopted UK legislation between 1897 and 1914:
 - Workmen's Compensation Act 1900 (SA)
 - Workers Compensation Act 1914 (Vic)
 - change
 - 1. from 'out of AND in the course of employment' (i.e., out of because of 'causal' **AND** in the course temporal nexus to employment)
 - 2. to 'out of **OR** in the course of employment'—i.e., conjunctive to disjunctive
- Background to AC Act: pressures to reform
 - 1. WC Act 1958 problems: costs of scheme becoming unviable (transaction costs: lawyers/medical services etc), especially dramatic increase in insurance premiums payable by employers
 - 2. 1970s committees advocating no-fault insurance
 - 1. Especially Woodhouse Committee 1974 (Cth) no fault scheme would mean removal of all CL rights (NZ legislation no fault scheme for any injury anywhere for any reason not just at work)
 - 2. Harris Report 1977 (Vic)
- 1984: AC Bill
 - Envisaged 'pure' no-fault scheme—abolition of CL rights

- 1985: AC Act passed
 - Compromise: limited CL rights retained plus no-fault benefits, i.e. 'dual' scheme
- Post-1985: multiple amendments
 - VWA replaced ACC
 - o Journey claims abolished

WIRC Act

- Background
 - Hanks Report 2008: suggested streamlining and clarifying required of the AC Act, i.e. that it be 'recast' into a new Act [1.24]
 - Long legislative history and multiple amendments had led to complex structure and difficulties in interpreting AC Act
- Minister's 2nd Reading Speech indicated 2 aims:
 - Recast AC Act and ACWI Act into a single piece of legislation
 - o Simplify and clarify provisions of AC Act, without changing benefits to workers
- WIRC Act came into effect 1 July 2014

Which Act applies?

- No real change in substance to the provisions or the entitlements to compensation, however.
 Irrespective of which act the injury falls under, procedural steps need to be dealt with as per WIRC Act.
 - o Injuries prior to 31 August 1985 WC Act
 - Injuries after 31 August 1985 and prior to 1 July 2014 AC Act s 2
 - o Injuries after 1 July 2014 WIRC Act

S 5 WIRC Act

Application of this Act to injuries

- 1) Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Act, this Act applies to the entitlement of a worker to compensation under this Act in respect of
 - a) an injury to the worker arising out of, or in the course of, or due to the nature of, employment on or after 1 July 2014; and
 - b) an injury arising
 - i. out of, or in the course of, or due to the nature of, employment; and
 - ii. by way of gradual process over a period beginning before, and continuing on or after 1 July 2014—

but does not apply to or in relation to an injury arising out of, or in the course of, or due to the nature of, employment solely before 1 July 2014.

Aims and rationales of WIRC

- This can be established from the WIRC Act itself, and other documents: as statutory principles of interpretation provide
- So, we need to work out:
 - O What are the relevant principles of statutory interpretation?
 - O What are the aims/objects of the WIRC Act?
 - O What are the aims/objects of VWA?
 - What principles apply in cases of uncertain interpretation?

Principles of statutory interpretation

Cook et al 2012, 8th ed.: 13-step checklist guide to statutory interpretation What's in the text of the statute:

- 1. Establish whether the legislation was in force at the relevant time. If it only commenced operation at a later time, check whether it nevertheless applies retrospectively to the problem.
- 2. Use the table of contents and headings to check through the whole piece of legislation seeking relevant provisions.
- 3. When you find a relevant provision, read it carefully and note any words or phrases that appear significant.

- 4. Check whether any of those words or phrases are defined in the legislation.
- 5. If necessary, check the meaning of any words in a dictionary.
- 6. Consider whether any provisions of the relevant legislation are in point.
- 7. Consider whether any of the adjacent provisions in the legislation throw light on a relevant provision, remembering that words are normally used consistently.

How have cases in the past interpreted the provision you are trying to apply?

- 8. If appropriate, check whether any relevant provision has been given judicial interpretation.
- 9. Attempt to interpret the words according to their <u>ordinary meaning</u> or, where appropriate, their technical or legal meaning, and try to apply them to the problem.
- 10. Attempt to identify the <u>purpose of the legislation</u>, or of a particular provision, by looking at the whole of the legislation, and try to interpret the words consistently with that purpose.
- 11. If the provision is ambiguous or obscure or, taking account of its <u>context and underlying purpose</u> or object, its ordinary meaning leads to an absurd or unreasonable result, reference may be made to parliamentary, executive, and related materials to determine the meaning of the provision.
- 12. If the preconditions referred to in 11 are not present, reference may be made to relevant extrinsic materials to discover the mischief or defect addressed (2nd reading speeches, explanatory memorandum).
- 13. Interpret a provision against a background of any relevant common law presumptions.

Beneficial/remedial legislation?

- Yes, it is a 'well-known principle': Hill and Bingeman, Principles of the Law of Workers' Compensation Particularly in Victoria (1981), 3
- Remedial/beneficial meaning/interpretation should always be given that is beneficial to the worker
- Workers' comp legislation 'should not be construed in any narrow spirit' b/c it is 'akin to insurance' and is 'remedial' legislation: *McGuire v Union Steamship* (HCA, 1920), at 583-84 (Isaacs and Rich JJ)
- 'where two constructions of a Workers' Compensation Act are possible, that which is favourable
 to the worker should be preferred': Wilson v Wilson's Tile Works (HCA, 1960), at 335 (Fullagar
 J).
 - Dodd v Executive Air (VSC, 1975): cited Fullagar J's comments as an 'established principle'
 - Mulvany & Typaldos, [450-8000]
 - Hegedis v Carlton & United Breweries [2000] 4 VR 296, 301-302, at [32] (Ashley JA): In construction of workers compensation legislation, which has been regarded as being remedial in character, there is a long-established principle that in the event of ambiguity a construction favourable to the worker should be adopted: Wilson v Wilson's Tile Works Pty. Ltd. (1960) 104 CLR 328 per Fullagar J at 335; Dodd v Executive Air Services Pty. Ltd. [1975] VR 668 at 679 per Newton J. and at 682 per Norris J. That approach has continued until modern times: Bird v The Commonwealth (1988) 165 CLR 1 at 6 per Mason CJ, Brennan and Toohey JJ, and at 9 per Deane and Gaudron JJ. (who dissented in the result, but not upon the point of principle); Borovac v Corporate Ventures Pty. Ltd. (1995) 12 NSWCCR 84 at 89 per Mahoney JA. It has continued notwithstanding a tendency across jurisdictions to reduce the ambit of compensability of injury. It is not a vehicle for discovering ambiguity where none exists. It could not be used to set at nought the effect of s.35(a) of the Interpretation of Legislation Act 1984. But unless and until a court of the highest authority decides that the principle is irreconcilable with the modern conception of workers' compensation legislation, it appears to me that the principle continues to have a legitimate area of operation.
- But compare recent judicial commentary, in context of TA Act (Topic 5): Byrne v TAC [2008]
 VSC 92,[46] (Cavanough J obiter [46])

The TAC drew to my attention the following passage from the judgment of Winneke P (with whom Batt JA and Warren AJA agreed) in *Transport Accident Commission v Lincoln* [23]: "It is true that, over the years, courts which have been called upon to interpret compensation statutes have repeatedly said that the underlying scheme of those Acts is 'beneficial' and ought to be interpreted broadly in favour of the applicant. In this State those words are achieving a 'hollow ring' because one of the primary objects of the present legislation is to 'reduce the cost to the Victorian community of compensation for transport

accidents."[24] I note the following comment by Judge Bowman in *Robb v Transport Accident Commission*[25]: "The observations of Winneke P in *Lincoln* have been brought to my attention and it may be that words such as 'beneficial' in relation to legislation such as this now have a 'hollow ring' about them. However, with respect, I do not interpret the words of His Honour as meaning that such a general approach to the interpretation of compensation statutes no longer exists or has forever departed. I understand him to be saying that, in this state, such an approach is no longer in the robust condition that it once was. It may also be that an approach based upon the beneficial interpretation of compensation statutes is becoming an argument of last resort ..."

Interpretation of Legislation Act 1984 (Vic) s 35

Principles of and aids to interpretation

In the interpretation of a provision of an Act or subordinate instrument—

(a) a construction that would promote the purpose or object underlying the Act or subordinate instrument (whether or not that purpose or object is expressly stated in the Act or subordinate instrument) <u>shall</u> be preferred to a construction that would not <u>promote that purpose or object;</u>

Aims of the WIRC Act - s 10

The objectives of this Act are to-

- (a) reduce the incidence of accidents and diseases in the workplace; and
- (b) make provision for the effective occupational rehabilitation of injured workers and their early return to work; and
- (c) increase the provision of suitable employment to workers who are injured to enable their early return to work; and
- (d) ensure appropriate compensation under this Act or the **Accident Compensation Act 1985** is paid to injured workers in the most socially and economically appropriate manner, as expeditiously as possible; and
- (e) ensure workers compensation costs are contained so as to minimise the burden on Victorian businesses; and
- (f) establish incentives that are conducive to efficiency and discourage abuse; and
- (g) enhance flexibility in the system and allow adaptation to the particular needs of disparate work situations; and
- (h) maintain a fully-funded scheme; and
- (i) in this context, to improve the health and safety of persons at work and reduce the social and economic costs to the Victorian community of accident compensation.

Aims of the VWA - s 492

The objectives of the Authority are to—

- a) manage the accident compensation scheme as effectively, efficiently and economically as is possible; and
- b) manage the accident compensation scheme in a financially viable manner; and
- c) ensure that appropriate compensation is paid to injured workers in the most socially and economically appropriate manner and as expeditiously as possible; and
- d) develop such internal management structures and procedures as will enable the Authority to perform its functions and exercise its powers effectively, efficiently and economically; and
- e) administer this Act, the Accident Compensation Act 1985, the Workers Compensation Act 1958, the Occupational Health and Safety Act 2004, the Equipment (Public Safety) Act 1994 and the Dangerous Goods Act 1985.
- VWA is regulator and claims manager.
- Every employer over threshold pays WorkCover premium which is then in turn used to pay claims.

Victorian WorkCover Authority

- What is it?
- What does it do?
 - Manages scheme, administers act, litigates.

- How does it do it?
 - Party will sue VWA where dispute arises in management of claim or if party decides to bring serious injury claim, appeal of termination of compensation etc – all judicial review of administration decisions.

WIRC Act - s 491 & 492

The Authority

(1) The Victorian WorkCover Authority established under section 18 of the Accident Compensation Act 1985 as in force immediately before 1 July 2014 continues in existence.

Objectives of the Authority

The objectives of the Authority are to—

- a) manage the accident compensation scheme as effectively, efficiently and economically as is possible; and
- b) manage the accident compensation scheme in a financially viable manner; and
- c) ensure that appropriate compensation is paid to injured workers in the most socially and economically appropriate manner and as expeditiously as possible; and
- d) develop such internal management structures and procedures as will enable the Authority to perform its functions and exercise its powers effectively, efficiently and economically; and
- e) administer this Act, the Accident Compensation Act 1985, the Workers Compensation Act 1958, the Occupational Health and Safety Act 2004, the Equipment (Public Safety) Act 1994 and the Dangerous Goods Act 1985.

Functions of the Authority - s 493

- (1) The functions of the Authority are to-
- a) receive and assess and accept or reject claims for compensation; and
- b) pay compensation to persons entitled to compensation under this Act or the Accident Compensation Act 1985; and
- d) promote the effective occupational rehabilitation of injured workers and their early return to work; and
- f) provide insurance in accordance with this Act and to determine, collect and recover premiums in accordance with this Act; and
- g) ensure that the accident compensation scheme is competitive and fully-funded; and
- h) regulate and make recommendations to the Minister in relation to self-insurers; and

j) administer the WorkCover Authority Fund; and

- k) implement measures to deter and detect fraudulent workers compensation claims; and
- l) conduct or defend proceedings before a court or tribunal; and
- m) defend actions against employers under this Act or the Accident Compensation Act 1985 and at common law; and
- zc) carry out other functions specified under this Act, the Accident Compensation Act 1985 or the Workers Compensation Act 1958, or the regulations or under any other Act or regulations under any other Act.

WIRC Act - s 494, 495 and 502

Powers of the Authority

(1) Subject to this Act, the Authority has the power to do all things necessary or convenient to be done for or in connection with the performance of its functions and to enable it to achieve its objectives.

Accountability of the Authority

- (1) The Authority is subject to—
 - (a) the general direction and control of the Minister; and
 - (b) any specific directions given by the Minister.

Establishment of Board

- (1) The Board of Management of the Authority established under section 24 of the Accident Compensation Act 1985 as in force immediately before 1 July 2014 continues in existence.
- (2) The Board—
 - (a) may exercise all the powers of the Authority; and

- (b) must give general directions as to the carrying out of the objectives and functions of the Authority; and
- (c) must ensure that the Authority is managed and operated in an efficient and economic manner.

WIRC Act - s 6

Claims for compensation

- On and after 1 July 2014, a claim for compensation under this Act or under the Accident Compensation Act 1985 (other than a claim for compensation for maims or pain and suffering under section 98 or 98A of that Act) is to be given, served or lodged in accordance with Divisions 1 and 2 of Part 2 of this Act, unless specific provision to the contrary is made in this Act or the Accident Compensation Act 1985.
- 2) If the Authority receives a claim from a person for compensation under the Workers Compensation Act 1958 in respect of an injury occurring before 4 p.m. on 31 August 1985, the Authority may, in its discretion, provide guidance to the person as to how to make such a claim.
- Claims actually managed by agents (panel insurers) such as:
 - o CGU
 - Allianz
 - Gallagher Bassett
 - o QBE
 - o Xchanging
- Some companies also self-insure:
 - o BHPBilliton
 - RACV
 - Toyota
 - Woolworths
 - o Ingham
 - Qantas
 - o Unilever

WIRC Act - s 20

Claim for compensation

- (1) A claim for compensation under this Act or the Accident Compensation Act 1985 must be in a form approved by the Authority in respect of that type or class of claim.
- (2) A claim for compensation in the form of weekly payments must
 - a) state the date on which the worker ceased work because of the injury; or
 - b) be accompanied by a medical certificate in accordance with section 25; or
 - c) be supplemented at a later date by a medical certificate in accordance with section 25.
- (3) A claim for compensation (other than a claim arising from the death of a worker) must include an authority, signed by the worker, authorising a provider of a <u>medical service or hospital service</u> to the worker in connection with the injury to which the claim relates to give to the Authority, self-insurer or employer information regarding the service relevant to the claim.

WIRC Act - s 71 and 72

71 Authority to indemnify employer

(1) The Authority is liable to indemnify an employer in respect of the employer's liability as an employer of a worker to pay compensation and damages in accordance with this Act or the Accident Compensation Act 1985, and liability to make contribution under section 23B of the Wrongs Act 1958 or a corresponding provision of an Act of the Commonwealth, another State or a Territory, for injuries suffered by the worker arising out of, or in the course of, or due to the nature of employment by the employer.

72 Employer's excess

- (1) The Authority's indemnity to an employer under this Act is <u>subject to an employer's excess</u> in respect of each claim equal to
 - a) in the case of weekly payments in respect of a full-time worker who
 - i. has no current work capacity; or
 - ii. has a current work capacity—

the first 10 days of the period of incapacity resulting from the relevant injury; and

b) the proportion of the weekly payments in respect of a worker who is not a full-time worker of that employer and who—

- i. has no current work capacity; or
- has a current work capacity—

for the first 10 days of the period of incapacity resulting from the relevant injury; and

payment of the first \$642 (indexed figure) of the reasonable costs referred to in section 224(1)(a) in relation to the relevant injury (medical or hospital services).

Outline of the WIRC Act

- Eligibility for no-fault compensation
 - Injury: physical or mental
 - Employment nexus (connection)
 - Worker
 - injury arises 'out of' or 'in the course of' employment
- Types of compensation
 - Lump sum
 - Periodic payments of PIAWE (pre-injury average weekly earnings)
 - MLE (medical and like expenses lump sum)
 - benefits following death (claims available to dependents)
- Deals with common law damages in 2 ways:
 - (1) it provides for a threshold requirement, i.e. that the worker suffered a 'serious injury' via 1 of the 'gateways': see Topic 4
 - (2) if threshold satisfied, separate proceedings can be brought seeking CL damages, dealing with
 - Issues of negligence
- Quantum of damages: restrictions on quantum and type of common law damages (We do not deal with this 2nd matter in this unit.)

Types of compensation

- Medical and like benefits
 - o Part 5, Div 7, s 224
 - Reasonable cost of listed services received 'because of' the injury
- Weekly payments
 - o Part 5, Div 2, ss 161-3
 - Payment based on 'pre-injury average weekly earnings'
 - Three entitlement periods with different rates
- Impairment/non-economic loss benefits
 - o Part 5, Div 5, ss 211-14
 - Lump sum based on a percentage impairment rating
- Common law
 - o Part 7, s 326
 - Must have a 'serious injury', s 327
 - o Damages reduced for compensation paid, ss 342-3