WEEK	TOPIC	CASE	<u>THEMES</u>
<u>2</u>	Ethical	Ford Pinto case:	Consequentialist ethics and
	theory	o Defective fuel systems, decision	adherence to laws. (Note <i>no</i>
		made not to upgrade them	laws/regulations in existence
		<ul> <li>Should risk/benefit analyses be</li> </ul>	when Ford Pinto case occurred).
		used in manufacturing which has	Deontology
		the potential to lead to death?	
		o For: Economical method used by	
		the courts/accepted for years	
		o Against: cannot commodify	
		human life	
		o Ford had the access to the	
		technology which would mitigate	
		the risks chose not to implement	
		it (\$11/car) though their analysis	
		found it would lead to death	
		<ul> <li>Legal examination made their</li> </ul>	
		choice justifiable though that does	
		not mean it is an ethical one	
3	Ethical	Toyota brake failure:	Consequentialist ethics; take any
_	theory	o Reports between 2009-2011 of	actions where positive
		unprovoked acceleration in	consequences will exceed
		makes of Toyota cars, as well	negative ones, greatest good for
		as pedal entrapment of floor	the greatest amount of people.
		mats with further reports of	
		leaking brake fluid (9 million	Adherence to laws; Act only so
		cars recalled)	much as it meets legal
		<ul> <li>Toyota knew of this 'sticky</li> </ul>	obligations.
		pedal' issue however took four	
		months before taking any	Deontology; Treat others as you
		corrective action.	yourself wish to be treated
		<ul> <li>NHTSA (administrative body</li> </ul>	(underpins multi-fiduciary
		for traffic safety found 37	stakeholder theory, acknowledge
		fatalities relating to these	individual rights and people as
		issues are many more	ends in themselves)
		potentially related	
		<ul> <li>Failure in disclosure amounted</li> </ul>	There were laws in place at the
		to Toyota's ethical egoism,	time of the incident which meant
		breach deontological and	Toyota had breached Friedman's
		stakeholder ethics, choose	shareholder assumption.
		profit over endangering life.	
-	•		

<u>4</u>	Corporate
	responsibilit
	y and
	Shareholde
	theory

## Rana Plaza factory fire:

Bangladesh ready-made garment sector- 80% of countries exports (employs 4 million people, 75% are women)

Supports further 25 million people

In April, 2013, 8-story commercial building collapsed (Rana Plaza)- 17 day search and rescue found 1100 people had died and a further 2500 injured.

Owner, Sohel Rana found guilty of illegal earnings, as well as charges for murder and the deaths of workers He had forced workers to go into the building on the day of the collapse even though there had been emerging cracks appearing days prior

Rana used the illegal earnings to build Rana plaza in excess of building regulations

Low wages in South Asian countries had led global brands to use Bangladesh over China, where it is not the second biggest garment industry.

Narrow (shareholder) perspective

- o Business ought to act in ways which benefit the shareholder, acting social responsible poses taxes on firm owners, where taxes are the role of elected representatives. Role of management then is to make as much money as possible, where they lack expertise in taxes.
- Fiduciary duty is to advance the interests of shareholders over all else
- Profit is the corporates contribution to the social good, CSR is only ethically justifiable when marketing to further profits
- Make as much money as possible so long as it is within the rules of the game, that is to say engages in open/free competition without fraud or deception

## Arrows criticisms:

- Friedman relies on Pareto efficiency and the assumption of competitive markets (many buyers and sellers, no externalities, perfect information)
- Two instances when the pursuit of self-interest does not lead to efficient resource allocation; harms imposed on environment not included into the cost (externalities) price and information asymmetry

o Issue solved through external regulation (Arrow) Problem of Globalization: o For Friedman's model to be applicable to be applicable, would require an internationally recognized framework for laws which are ethical in order for one to pursue self-interest legally-Far from practical. Maitland: o Path toward prosperity is through employment, which will lead to growth, which leads to future employment and thus lowers the unemployment rate and results in higher real wages Would be immoral to interfere with the only known process in which developing nations transition into developed ones o Allows companies to operate under a corporate conscience What responsibilities do these MNC's bear in relation to the sweatshop workers but also what responsibility to they owe to their shareholders? Maitland says MNC's help these poor countries by offering them jobs and a better quality of life.