Offer	<ul> <li>Standard offer</li> <li>Invitation to treat / Tender</li> <li>Conditions</li> <li>Termination / Revocation / Lapse / Rejection</li> </ul>
Acceptance	<ul> <li>Acceptance</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Silence / Conduct</li> <li>Method of Communication</li> <li>Correspondence (Offer/acceptance)</li> </ul>
Consideration	<ul> <li>Benefit / Detriment</li> <li>Bargain requirement</li> <li>Sufficiency</li> <li>Past consideration &amp; Existing legal duty</li> </ul>
Intention	<ul> <li>Intention objective</li> <li>Presumption</li> <li>Preliminary agreements</li> </ul>
Certainty	<ul> <li>Completeness</li> <li>Certainty</li> <li>Illusory terms</li> </ul>
Formalities	Instruments Act (Land / Guarantees)     Detail     Existence     Joinder     Signature  Part Performance
Capacity	Mental Incapacity / Intoxication / Minors
Estoppel (Sword / Shield)	<ul> <li>Assumption</li> <li>Inducement</li> <li>Detrimental Reliance</li> <li>Reasonableness</li> <li>Departure</li> <li>Unconscionability</li> <li>Independent cause of action</li> </ul>
Privity	<ul> <li>Agency</li> <li>Assignment and novation</li> <li>Remedies</li> <li>Insurance exception</li> <li>Unjust enrichment</li> <li>Trust and torts</li> </ul>
Express terms	<ul> <li>Signature</li> <li>Notice - onerous terms</li> <li>Dealings</li> <li>Parole evidence rule</li> <li>Negotiations</li> <li>Constructioni</li> <li>Exclusion clauses</li> </ul>
Implied terms	Custom / Fact / Law     Good faith
Consumer Contracts	<ul> <li>Unfair contract terms</li> <li>Consumer guarantees</li> </ul>

#### **Agreement - Offer**

'Indication by one person to another of his or her willingness to enter into a contract with that other person on certain terms.'

- Carter's definition

#### Determining an offer

- Objective test reasonable person in position of offeree (Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Company)
  - Would it appear to RP that offer was intended? / that binding agreement would be made upon acceptance?
- Ideally 'offer' and 'acceptance' Without offer and acceptance there is no contract
- Invitation to treat or offer (may be prepared not on certain terms)
- Wording of an offer must be on certain terms
  - o (Gibson v Manchester)
- Bringing about different results
  - Barwick CJ & Stephen J (MacRobertson)
    - Plaintiff's quotes fare & availability of seats then issues ticket after payment
    - Ticket contained condition where flights can be cancelled without liability

**Invitation to Treat (ITT)**: - communication inviting another to make offer / enter negotiations (not an offer)

- (Boots) Display of goods is not an offer placing goods in basket and taking to the till is offer which can be accepted/rejected by pharmacists
- (McWhirter) Auction merely ITT, bids not binding unless assented (acceptance)

Tenders: Call for tenders > ITT > Tender submission > Offer

- Harvela Fixed price tender constituting offer b/c certain terms (highest bid = acceptance) referential bid did not conform
- Hughes Tender process contract stipulating governing criteria

#### **Conditions** - failure and changed circumstances

Offer may be made subject to express / implied conditions - if condition is not satisfied, offer cannot be accepted

 Dysart Timbers - Implied condition that: "offer will lapse upon a fundamental change of circumstance' 'Fundamental' indicates high threshold / rare

**Termination**: offer will cease if: **revoked**; lapses; rejected Bilateral

- Offer may be withdrawn any time before acceptance, even if promised to be open for a specified period. (*Goldsborough*).
- **NOT** for 'option' agreements where consideration is given for the offeror 'option' to accept (purchase) within the period. (*Goldsborough*).
- Withdrawal/revocation must be communicated

#### Unilateral

- Offer can be revoked before performance is complete, even if performance has commenced (Mobil Oil)
- BUT: revocation prevented when implied contract not to revoke or estoppel (Mobil Oil)

### Lapse:

- Offer express to be open for set period will lapse at end of period
- If unspecified: offer lapses after reasonable time (eg. car sale week)
- After death of offeror (if known by offeree) and before acceptance (Fong)
- If 'options (Goldsborough), option/contractual obligation may accrue to deceased's estate (Laybutt)

## Rejection

- If offer is rejected no longer option to acceptance
- Counter-offer amounts to rejection of original offer (Butler)
- 'Mere inquiry' about offer does not amount to rejection (Stevenson)

# Agreement - Acceptance - Unqualified assent to the offer (Patterson et al.)

## Determining acceptance

- Objective test the reasonable person in position of offeror..(Smith v Hughes Oats)
- Outward manifestations (*Taylor v Johnson*)
- What each party would have 'led a reasonable person in the position of the other party to believe.' (*Alphapharm*)
- Signing document outward manifestation of acceptance (Fitness First v Chong)
- Subjective state of mind to terms is irrelevant (Smith v Hughes; Fitness First)

## **Exceptions:**

- Equity may intervene if party 'subjectively...mistaken belief about what is being agreed if: (*Taylor v Johnson*)
  - o Other party aware of mistake belief
  - And deliberately ensures party does not become aware
- Acceptance must be made in (subjective) response to offer in reliance of reward (Crown v Clarke) - unilateral contracts - external manifestations no conclusive

## **Communication of acceptance**

- Acceptance only effective when communicated (<u>Latec</u>; <u>Brinkibon</u>)
- Contract is formed when and where acceptance received (Brinkibon)
- Must be communicated to the offeror (Latec Finance)
- However, if permitted in contract (*Latec Finance*)
  - Offeror may agree to treat act as acceptance
  - o Offeror may treat particular method effective regardless of receipt

#### Silence / conduct

- Silence generally does not constitute acceptance (Felthouse)
- Unilateral contract performance sufficient acceptance (Carlill)
- Postal Rule acceptance effective at time of posting (Adams)
- Parties expressly/impliedly contemplate effective communication not necessary needs to be supported with clear language (*Latec Finance*)
- Acceptance inferred from conduct (Empirnall)
  - Silence in conjunction with other circumstances
  - o Where offeree:
    - Has reasonable opportunity to reject offer, and
    - Takes benefit of them under (*Brambles*)
    - Circumstances which indicate there would be paid for

- Open for tribunal of fact to find offer was accepted
- Whether reasonable bystander would regard conduct, including silence as signal to offeror of acceptance
- Conduct may indicate acceptance despite initial reject (Brambles)

#### **Communication methods**

- Postal rule acceptance effected as soon as posted (Adams)
- Parties must contemplate post as possible or permitted mode
- Not extended to telex / fax / email (Brinkibon)

## Electronic Communications Act (2000) Vic

- Email / fax / SMS / all online communications (s3 def)
- Time of receipt:
  - If address specified: when capable of being retrieved s 13A(1)(a)
  - Not specified: When capable of being retrieved and addressee becomes aware communication sent to that address s 13A(1)(b)
  - Parties may agree otherwise
  - Place dispatch/receipt addressor/ee place of business s 13 B(1)
  - Domain / address in country does not = business location s 13B(4)

## Correspondence between offer and acceptance

- When parties have different standard terms (Butler)
  - Counter-offer = rejection (*Butler*)
- Attempts by offeree to change or add term may amount to counter offer (Butler)

## Determining accepted terms

- Synthesis approach: terms of all documents construed together to achieve acceptance on all material points (*Lord Denning, Butler*)
- Classical approach: final signed terms accepted (Majority, Butler)

## Agreements without offer/acceptance

• Classical approach (offer/acceptance) should be applied where possible

## Note: Heydon JA Brambles:

- Classic approach: neither sufficient nor necessary to explain all cases
- Possible to find contract exist even though offer/acceptance is not obvious
- Relevant questions to ask
  - In all circumstance can an agreement be inferred?
  - o Has mutual assent been manifested?
  - What would a reasonable person in the position of the parties think as to whether there was a concluded bargain?