## CLAW1003 - Company Law

# Week 7: Directors' Duties Part 1 – Best Interests and Proper Purpose

Categories of Duties

**Duties of loyalty and good faith:** 

## Duty to act in good faith in best interests of company

- General Law Principles: Directors must act "bona fide" in best interests of company:
  GREENHALGH v ARDERNE CINEMAS
- Statute: s 181(1)(a): <u>Directors and officers</u> must exercise powers / discharge duties in good faith in best interests of company

NOTE: Both forms of duty have same requirements

**'Best interests of the company'** refer to the best interests of the company as whole - Primary focus is the interests of shareholders as collective group **GREENHALGH v ARDERNE CINEMAS** 

Consider if the interests of other people within the company should be taken into account:

**Employees: PARKE v DAILY NEWS** 

 Interests have previously <u>not</u> been considered but there is a great shift these days to take into account their interests but no authority on this

## Interests of Creditors and the effect of insolvency: RING v SUTTON

• Interests of creditors are not considered <u>unless</u> the company is insolvent or about to be insolvent where the interests of creditors must be considered

#### Nominee Directors: SCOTTISH CO-OPERATIVE WHOLESALE v MEYER

 Although a nominee director represents the interests of a particular shareholder, nominee directors must act in the best interests of the <u>company as a whole.</u> Corporate Groups: WALKER v WINBOURNE / s 187A

A director of subsidiary not in breach of the duty to act in the best interests of a company if:

- A constitution authorises action in best interests of parent
- Director acts in good faith in best interests of parent AND
- Subsidiary not insolvent or made insolvent by action

## **Examples - transactions with little/no benefit for company:**

- Overpayment for goods/services: ASIC v ADLER
- Loan unlikely to be repaid: WALKER v WIMBORNE
- Guarantee of debt unrelated to business activities: ANZ v QINTEX
- Sale at undervalue: COLEMAN v MYERS

## Duty to exercise powers for proper purpose

General Law Principles

**PERMANENT BUILDING SOCIETY v WHEELER** → Directors must exercise powers for a proper purpose

**Statute:** s 181(1)(b) → Directors + officers must exercise powers / discharge duties for a proper purpose.

Both general law and statutory duties have the same requirements

## Establishing if it was for a purpose purpose we must consider:

- Objective element: Consider why the director / officer was granted that power
- Subjective element: Why did the director / officer use that power

If conflict exists, we use the 'but for' test as per WHITEHOUSE v CARLTON HOTEL

• But for a subjective desire to exercise of power for an improper purpose, would the duty still be breached if exercised?