## **Exam Notes for CCP**

# Exam Notes for CCP -Content

◆ Offensive Conduct/Offensive Language
♦ <mark>Riot</mark>
◆ <mark>Affray</mark>
◆ Unlawful Assembly
◆ Consorting
● <mark>Bail</mark>
<ul> <li>Guilty plea</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Police Powers</li> </ul>
♦ Move-on power
♦ Search
♦ Sniffier Dog Search
◆ Arrest

• PRILIMINARY ISSUE: Age

Public Offences

Drugs

- ♦ Reasonable Force
- Assisting police with their inquires (voluntary attendance and consent
- ♦ Detention
- Evidence Act

## PRILIMINARY ISSUE: Age

<u>doli incapax</u> Prosecution must prove beyond reasonable doubt (BRD) that D knew act was wrong as opposed to naughty and the presumption cannot be found in the act itself. This approach recently affirmed in *RP*: P must focus on level of child's development, eg education and environment in which child is raised.

#### **OFFENCES:**

### **Drugs**

S 4DMTA **a prohibited drug** refers to any preparation, admixture or other substance containing the drug

Charge: X could be criminally liable for Supply of a prohibited drug to a person under the age of 16 s25(1A)DMTA or exposure to a child

X could be criminally liable for procures a child to supply drugs, or cultivated or manufactures drugs in the presence of children s 24 (1A)(b)DMTA.

**Actus Reus**: The prosecution needs to prove BRD that X had supply a prohibited drug to a person under the age of 16...This can be shown by...

Mens Rea: The prosecution must prove BRD at the time the offence is alleged to have been committed, X has reasonable cause to believe, and did in fact believe, that the person to whom the prohibited drug was supplied was of or under the age of 16 years s 25 (1A)/(2A) DMTA

**Defence**: the D establishes that the exposure of the child to the prohibited drug manufacturing or production process, or to substances being stored for use in that manufacturing or production process, did not endanger the health or safety of the child.( S24 (3A) DMTA)

**Penalty:** If convicted, increased maximum penalties will apply. (Generally increased by 1/5;2.5yrs/25yrs/4200 penalty unites) (s33AADMTA)

Possession of prohibited drugs: joint possession with X (Dib1991)

**Charge**: X could be criminally liable for the possession of the prohibited drugs (s10 DMTA).

Actus Reus: The prosecution needs to prove beyond reasonable doubt that X had exclusive physical custody or control of the pills. This can be shown by the location of the drugs is in...