

HELP repayment income (HRI*)	Repayment rate
Below \$53,345	Nil
\$53,345 - \$59,421	4.0%
\$59,422 - \$65,497	4.5%
\$65,498 - \$68,939	5.0%
\$68,940 - \$74,105	5.5%
\$74,106 - \$80,257	6.0%
\$80,258 - \$84,481	6.5%
\$84,482 - \$92,970	7.0%
\$92,971 - \$99,069	7.5%
\$99,070 and above	8.0%

	Unchanged	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Singles	\$88,000 or less	\$88,001 - \$102,000	\$102,001 - \$136,000	\$136,001 or more
Families	\$176,000 or less	\$176,001 - \$204,000	\$204,001 - \$272,000	\$272,001 or more
Medicare levy surcharge rate	0%	1%	1.25%	1.5%

## TOPIC 2 – INCOME

Main section is s6-5.

Statutory provisions prevail over ordinary income

### Competing concepts of income:

- i) Accounting: Revenue less expenses = profit
- ii) Economic: Income = consumption + change in wealth
- iii) Judicial: income 'flow' and gain (profit)

**Taxable income** = assessable income (ordinary income+ statutory income) -deductions (general/special)

Income is either **ordinary** or **statutory**

- *Ordinary income*
  - i) No statutory definition
  - ii) Negative proposition (not ordinary) positive proposition (is ordinary)
  - iii) unnecessary to meet all characteristics
- *Statutory income*
  - i) Specifically defined in legislation (s6-10). Examples at s15-2. Includes capital income

## HOW DO WE DETERMINE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ORDINARY INCOME AND STATUTORY (CAPITAL INCOME)?

1. Transferability of money
2. Process v Structure (Dixon, sun newspapers)
3. Mere realisation (Cali copper)
4. Fixed v circulating

### 1. TRANSFERABILITY OF MONEY

- Amounts not convertible into money is not ordinary income
- FCT v Cooke & Sherden (1980): Manufacturer soft drink awarded free holiday to retailers. Not convertible to cash. If the receipt of benefit saves taxpayer from incurring expenditure, the saving is not income.
- Tennant v Smith (1892) AC 150: issue of whether rent free accommodation was income according to ordinary concepts. Could not convert to cash, as could not sublet

### 2. DISTINCTION BETWEEN STRUCTURE AND PROCESS/ CAPITAL AND INCOME

## 2. CGT ASSET

DISCOUNT: NEED TO HOLD ASSET FOR MORE THAN 12 MONTHS

- i) any kind of property
  - ii) legal or equitable right that is not property.
- Exemption: pre-1985.

### I. Personal use assets

- Defined s108-20: a CGT asset used or kept mainly for your or associate's personal use or enjoyment.
- Disregard cap gains where cost less than \$10,000 (s118-10)
- Capital losses disregarded (s108-20)
- Cost of ownership not included in cost base (s108-30)

### II. Collectables

- Defined in s108-10 as i) artwork, jewellery, antique, coin, or ii) manuscript or book iii) Stamp
- Capital gains disregarded where cost less than \$500 (s118-10)
- Quarantining of capital losses s108 and net capital loss carry forwards
- Cost of ownership not included in cost base s108-1

### III. Standard CGT assets Subdivision 108-D

- s108-55 (2) buildings/structures on pre CGT land
- s105-55 (1) Buildings where Div 40 valancing adjustments would apply
- s108-60 Depreciation assets part of a building/structure
- s108-65 Post-CGT land adjacent to pre-CGT land when titles amalgamated
- s108-70 (2) Unrelated improvements

1. Disregard capital gains and losses where acquisition cost is less than \$500 S118-10(1)

2. Costs of ownership not included in cost base S108-17

----capital proceeds > cost base = capital gain

----capital proceeds < reduced cost base = capital loss

----cost base < capital proceed < reduced cost base = nothing

## 3. CAPITAL PROCEEDS

### s116-20 General Rules

- (1) K proceeds from CGT event are total of:
  - (a) Money received/entitled to in respect of event happening; and
  - (b) Market value of any other property received/entitled to in respect of event

### s103-10 Special Rules

- s103-10 (1)/ s103-10 (2)(a) Constructive receipt
- s103-10 (2)(b) Subsequent/instalment payments

### s116-10 Modifications

Process for question:

### Positive limb s8-1

(a)... Incurring in gaining or producing assessable income

(b) loss/outgoings... necessarily incurred in carrying on a business... purpose of gaining or producing assessable income (nexus to income)

### Negative limb s8-1(2) ... can't deduct...

- (a) loss or outgoing capital or capital in nature
- (b) private or domestic
- (c) exempt income
- (d) provision prevents me from deducting

## POSITIVE LIMBS (FIRST LIMB S8-1)

### I) LOSS/OUTGOING (RENT, WAGES, FUEL)

*Charles Moore & Co (WA) Pty Ltd v FCT*

- Shop's daily earnings taken to bank; robbed → accidental loss deductible

*AGC (Advances) Ltd v FCT* (1975) 132 CLR 175; TR 92/4

- Assess taxpayer on net profit figure → net commercial loss on transaction
- Writing-off bad debts → implies voluntary payment

### II) 'TO THE EXTENT', EXPENSES WILL NEED TO BE APPORTIONED.

- *Ronpibon Tin NL v FCT*
  - Expenses incurred in deriving assessable and exempt income. Portion of expenses relating to assessable income is deductible ONLY.

- i. **1st limb ... In gaining or producing assessable income**  
(concerned with expenditure incurred in the actual course of producing assessable income)
- ii. **2nd limb ... In carrying on a business for the purpose of gaining or producing assessable income**  
(concerned with expenditure made for the purpose of business generally)

### III) INCURRED (1<sup>ST</sup> LIMB)

- *FCT v Aust. Guarantee Co.* - Payment of expense is not a requirement of deductibility; you must be able to show you are committed to making the payment

- ✗ s102AC Excepted minors (full-time employment, double orphan, disabled)
- ✗ s102AD Excepted income ( $Y_{\text{Business}}$ ,  $Y_{\text{Investment of income}}$ ,  $Y_{\text{Deceased Estate}}$ )

## TRUST INCOME AND TAX INCOME DIFFER (TAX ON NET INCOME)

---

- The proportionate view: (this is approach that should be used)
  - Calculate proportions in which beneficiaries presently entitled to trust income. Use those proportions to ascertain entitlement to “net” income
  - FCT v Bamford (2010)
- The quantum view: (Approach included for reference only)
  - Beneficiaries only entitled to amounts that are distributed to them. Trustee taxed on remaining income under s99 or 99A.

### Example 1: Tax income exceeds trust income

- Tax income of \$100,000
- Trust income of \$80,000
- 4 beneficiaries: each receives a distribution of \$20,000

#### Analysis:

##### Quantum view:

- Tax each beneficiary on \$20,000
- $4 * \$20,000 = \$80,000$
- \$20,000 of tax income remaining that hasn't been taxed
- Trustee taxed on remaining \$20,000

##### Proportionate view:

- Each beneficiary entitled to 25% of trust income
- Apply this percentage (25%) to tax income
- Each beneficiary assessed on  $\$100,000 * 25\% = \$25,000$

### Example 2: Tax income less than trust income

- Tax income is \$100,000
- Trust income is \$120,000
- 4 beneficiaries: all receiving equal share

#### Analysis: