# \*\*Week 2: Teams & Leadership

#### 4 benefits of teamwork:

- 1. Work in a more "natural" form of organisation 人是群居的
- 2. Improve productivity 在自己自然环境工作 (on yourself)
- 3. Improve your quality of work life (on yourself)
- 4. Improve the problem solving ability & efficiency of an organisation (on organisation)

#### \*\*Definitions in Group dynamics

- Group: When 2 or more people work interdependently to achieve a common objective
  - > Strength of bond in a group depends on:
    - 1. Nature of the common objective 性质 (important?)
    - 2. **Context** of the group 环境 (group in army? Or just on fb?)
    - 3. Social skills of the group members 人

# 2 types of groups:

- 1. **Teams**: Formal groups created <u>intentionally</u> to achieve an objective <u>set by the organisation</u>
- 2. Informal groups: Groups created <u>spontaneously</u> AND reflect the <u>common interests</u> of the members → WE all love floor hockey & place friends in first place
- Sometimes, informal social structures do not align with formal organisational structures → can lead to serious problems

#### The mutual influence of groups:

- 1. People who we interact with can affect our sense of identity: 近朱者赤
  - > Through social interactions, we acquire values, attitudes, behaviors, belief
- 2. Groups also create a social setting where we can influence the others 你也影响别人
- ◆ **Discipline**纪律 = Conduct行为 + Values价值观 + Identity + Influence 团队的影响太大,必须层层递进去局限你

#### 2 types of activity focuses in group:

- 1. Task activity干活: Where the team focuses on the task at hand
- 2. Maintenance activity内部调整: Where the team focuses on its <u>internal processes</u> → Assign leadership roles + Setting group norms

## \*\*Dynamics多变性 of team development

- ❖ Teams are <u>dynamic</u> social settings (Change quickly)
  - > People form and disband, and members come from diverse backgrounds
  - ➤ Therefore, important to <u>establish</u> team rules & norms as soon as the team is formed to **hold the team together AND regulate our behavior**

## 5 stages in Tuckman's group development model:

- **1. Forming** → Members get to know each other
  - a. Discover what is acceptable & Decide how we should to behave
  - b. Discover resources & Develop common objectives
- 2. Storming → Reveal true self
  - a. Compete for team roles
  - b. Experience interpersonal conflicts
- **3. Norming** → Establish team rules & norms, and shift from maintenance activity to task activity
  - a. Members work together
  - b. Maintain team cohesion AND discipline
- **4. Performing** → Members focus on working interdependently to achieve the common objective
  - a. Build high level of cooperation & trust
  - b. Resolve conflicts within a short duration
- **5. Adjourning** (Disband)

#### 

- Punctuated equilibrium model: Group development model for short-term groups
- ❖ 3 stages in punctuated equilibrium model:
  - 1. Inertia不活动: Get to know each other + discover resources + develop objectives
  - 2. Transition point: Identify potential solutions + Assess their workability
  - 3. Redirection: Implement the solutions

# 4 elements in a team:

- 1. Team size
- 2. Team diversity
- 3. Team roles & norms
- 4. Team cohesion

# Week 7: Change

Analyse change → Ask 4 questions + Silent killers + Solutions

## Why study change? 改变

- ❖ Organisations operate in a <u>dynamic</u> environment 环境不断改变
  - > MUST interpret AND respond to forces that trigger AND resist change 坐言起行(pos & neg)

## Organisational change model - Change plan 改变过程

- 4 dimensions in organisational change model that plans a change:
  - 1. WHY do we change? Forces that trigger change 还没改变之前,我们为什么要改变
    - > 4 External forces STEP (step into industry)
      - i. Socio-cultural factors: Shift in market preferences, change in basic needs
      - **ii. Technological factors:** Rise of new technology that improves efficiency and productivity, and may achieve economies of scale and competitive adv
      - iii. **Economic factors**: Inflation, Income levels, change in entry barriers, entry of new competitors 经济: 卖之前, 卖之后
      - iv. Political AND legal factors: Deregulation, change in market policy, change in law
    - > 4 Internal forces PINN (Pinned within organisation) 2 bad, 2 good
      - i. **Poor performance outcomes**: Low profits, High absenteeism
      - ii. Inefficient internal processes
      - iii. New management philosophy: New culture & vision 新哲学 不会改变工作方式
      - iv. New strategies & structures

新策略 - 会改变工作方式

2. WHO leads the change? 改变世界的人

Change agent must have:

Right knowledge 有知识
 Right skills 有才华
 Right attitude 有正确态度

- ❖ Internal → High knowledge in operation BUT lack objectivity to detect the roots of problems
- ❖ External → Professional knowledge and skills BUT lack of knowledge in operation and internal network to disseminate change plan throughout organisation
- 3. WHAT change do we need? + WHAT type of intervention do we need? 2 types of change:
  - 1. Evolutionary change: Maintain old stability 小变化
    - > Change individual departments
    - Can be planned OR unplanned
      - Planned evolutionary change → <u>Adaptive</u> change 可慢慢adapt
         Unplanned evolutionary change → <u>Transitory</u> change 马上transit
    - > Aim: To improve the existing management & structure
      - Improve <u>existing</u> production technology
      - Improve <u>existing</u> products
  - 2. Revolutionary change: Seek new equilibrium 大改革
    - ➤ Change <u>entire</u> organisation
    - > Can be planned OR unplanned
      - Planned revolutionary change → <u>Systemic</u> change 跟着system跑
      - Unplanned revolutionary change → Chaotic change 混乱
    - > Aim: To adopt new management & structure
      - Develop new production technology
      - Develop new products
  - 4 types of intervention:
    - Communication, Culture, Power, Strategy & structure

# 4. HOW to implement change

Lewin's 3-step model

1. Unfreeze: Unfreeze the status quo AND Overcome resistance to change

a. Communication 先让他们知道

b. Involvement AND Participation 再让他们加入

c. Support AND Stress management 加入后,支持他们 d. Negotiation 不愿意加入,谈判

2. Implement change

3. Refreeze: Enforce permanent change by using system, policy & procedures RET

a. Reward system: Recognise change effort

b. Effective transmission of new information: Reduce fear & uncertainty

c. Transformational leadership: Leader works with employees to implement change

# Managing organisational change

- ❖ Silent killers: 6 Factors that hinder change implementation and lead to low employee readiness
- Organisational fitness profiling(OFP): Solutions to remove silent killers

6 Silent killers of change implementation: MUIPPI → From top to bottom

- 1. Management style is either too top-down OR laissez-faire
  - > **Top-down**: Decisions are made by top management
  - > Laissez-faire: Decisions are made by employees themselves
  - > OFP Solution → Create partnership between top management & lower level 合作=恰到好处
- 2. Unclear strategy AND Conflicting priorities
  - > **OFP Solution** → Redevelop a <u>common</u> business direction
- 3. Ineffective senior management team

请人去干活

- ➤ Due to Refusal to collaborate → Fear of losing power & 合作 = 没有了威严和权力
- ➤ OFP Solution → Involve top management in the change implementation process, to prompt them to realise the difficulty to improve the situation AND necessity to collaborate 才知道必须合作
- 4. Poor vertical communication

上梁不正, 下梁歪

- > Leads to fear of lower level to reveal true problems to top management
- ➤ **OFP Solution** → Listen to feedback from lower level 真心聆听,解除害怕
- 5. Poor horizontal coordination between departments

部门之间产生问题

- Leads to low productivity
- > **OFP Solution** → <u>Redefine</u> roles, responsibilities & accountabilities
- 6. Inadequate skills at the lower level

底部不够才能

- ➤ Leads to <u>incapability</u> at lower-level to <u>lead change</u> → Change cannot be merely implemented by top management, it requires an organisation-wide coordination
- > **OFP Solution** → <u>Develop</u> employee skills by providing training

# Change - Automakers (HIGH)

- **1. WHY** did Automakers change? → Forces that trigger change:
  - a. 3 External forces:
    - Socio-cultural factor = Increasing consumer concern about environment → increased demand for fuel-efficient cars
    - **Technological factor** = Rise of fuel-efficient cars
    - **Economic factors** = Appreciation of AUS dollars + More expensive exports & its lower demand + Cheaper imports & its higher demand

#### b. 3 Internal forces:

- Poor performance outcomes = Steadily losing market share + Decline in operating profits & sales
- Inefficient internal process = Poor production methods
- **New management philosophy** = To initiate change in management style to improve quality and productivity, by changing culture from power to participation, competition to cooperation, and action to contemplation
- 2. WHO should lead the change?
  - a. **External change agents** = 5 consultants who help to implement Quality of Working Life(QWL) program
  - **b.** Because the consultants are **experts** who have right knowledge, skills, and attitude to implement the necessary change:
    - Professional knowledge in management
    - Professional consultation skills AND approach
    - Professional attitude to help Automakers to solve problems AND Achieve goals
- 3. WHAT change do they need? + WHAT type of intervention?
  - a. Planned Revolutionary change = Systemic change
    - Seek new equilibrium under Culture change = Changes the entire organisation
  - b. Aim: To create a new <u>structure and management style</u> → To find innovative ways to cut costs and improve quality, by rewarding those who asked too many questions, communicated problems or accepted blame
    - **New structure** → Design new multi-level committee structure to communicate the change in management style
      - Consists of Level 1 top managers, Level 2 superintendents and supervisors, and Level 3 foremen and lower-level members
    - New management style → FROM a tough management style that embodies high expectations of performance + punishes failure + rewards competition TO participative management program that requires withdrawal of involvement in the operation + eliminates direct control + less screaming and yelling and more listening

#### 4. Recommendations - HOW to implement the culture change?

Lewin's 3 step model:

- 1. Unfreeze: Unfreeze the status quo + Overcome resistance to change
  - ➤ Communication → Penalise managers who use verbal abuse using a payment box
  - ➤ Involvement AND Participation → Involve all levels of management to participate in in the culture change by bringing them together in frequent meetings
  - > Support AND Stress management
    - Provide <u>training</u> to Level 2 and 3 managers on how to interpret data, how to find out data, how to prioritize things in terms of the data they have
    - Provide <u>resource</u> and <u>financial</u> support to help managers to learn the new system
    - Create <u>formal groups</u> under Tuckman's group therapy setting → help employees to Make individual adjustment AND Adapt new culture

## > Negotiation

- Adopt <u>integrative bargaining strategy</u> to negotiate with 2x4 managers who resist change, due to their desire of "excitement and subterfuge" in old culture
  - Integrative bargaining strategy = When parties collaborate with each other to enlarge benefits for everyone

#### 2. Implement the change:

- ➤ Level 1 managers delegate power down the line + Level 2 managers carry out socio-technical analysis to identify problems in production process + Level 3 participants resolve the functional problems
- 3. Refreeze: Enforce permanent change using systems, policies and procedures
  - > Reward system = Reward a family holiday trip to those who ask questions, communicate problems and accept blame
  - ➤ Efficient transmission of new information = Provide access to information system to Level 2 managers → Can collect system-wide info to identify source and location of quality problems AND eliminate information gap
  - ➤ **Transformational leadership** → Consultants AND plant managers should work together with Level 1, 2, and 3 participants to implement the culture change

Silent killers MUIPPI	Case evidence (pg 6-8)	OFPs
1 Management style is too top-down or too laissez faire	Before culture change, Level 1 managers are out there dictating everything with their radios, and suddenly they just turned it completely over	Create a <u>partnership</u> between Level 1 managers and Level 2 managers
2 Unclear strategy and conflicting priorities	After culture change, Level 1 managers cancel traditional morning meeting in which they outline the day's problems and priorities → Leads to unclear strategy	Redevelop a <u>common</u> AND widely promoted business direction
3 Ineffective senior management team	Sudden abdication of Level 1 leave Level 2 managers with information gap	Withdrawal of authority should be done gradually under supervision and guidance
4 Poor vertical communication	L3 participants have a lack of exposure to consultants → Low awareness of change plan + failure to obtain resources to support change effort	More face-to-face meetings between consultants & L3 participants + Ask for feedback
5 Poor horizontal coordination between each department	Communication barrier between each function prevents people to come together	Redefine roles, responsibility and accountability
6 Inadequate skills at lower levels	People in the Level 2 and 3 didn't know how to interpret the data, how to find out the data, or how to prioritize things in terms of the data they had	Training + Feedback

# Change - Apple

Stratige - Apple			
Silent killers MUIPPI	Case evidence	OFPs	
1 Management style is too top-down or too laissez faire	Before Sculley joins = Decentralised structure + unique culture with informal interaction BUT After Sculley joins = Centralised divisions + Strict financial control, formal reporting	Create a <u>partnership</u> between Sculley and Jobs	
2 Unclear strategy and conflicting priorities	Sculley & Jobs each advocates different strategies  → Sculley = 'How quickly to bring down inventories and control expenses' VS. Jobs = "Want the original orientation to continue	Redevelop a <u>common</u> business direction	
3 Ineffective senior management team	Sour relationship between Jobs Sculley + Sculley is sandwiched between Jobs' 2 positions as VP & Chair → Can no longer work effectively	Adopt integrative bargaining strategy to negotiate with Jobs	
4 Poor vertical communication	Sculley did not communicate reason of Job's resignation AND his new aims throughout organisation	Use effective emails AND whole-of-staff presentation	
5 Poor horizontal coordination	Rivalry between Apple II and Mac personnel that saps morale	Redefine roles, responsibilities & accountabilities	
6 Inadequate skills at lower levels	Limited communication capabilities	Training	