CONTINGENCY	SCIENTIFIC MANAGEMENT  1 Frederick, W.T. (19)	THEORY
Adaptability, no one-best way  Size and task as contingencies, variable factor	<ul> <li>1 Scientific techniques for standardisation</li> <li>1 Increase kills knowledge and competency</li> <li>1 Harmonious relationship between workers and management</li> <li>1 Science, not rule of thumb; harmony, not discord; cooperation, not individualism; maximum output, in place of restricted output; the development of each man to his greatest efficiency and prosperity.</li> <li>1 Frederick, W.T. (1911), Principles of Scientific Management, Harper and Brother</li> </ul>	KEY PRINCIPLES
Organisations should uncover what variables affect them and work to create the appropriate fitting structure.	<ul> <li>1 Workplace efficiency / Better workforce -decreased fatigue, better working conditions – reduced production cost</li> <li>1 Better utilisation of resources- tools, materials, equipment.</li> <li>Amazon – wrist trackers.</li> <li>Workers are generally paid and monitored by their output not necessarily for their time.</li> </ul>	EXAMPLES/CASE STUDY/APPLICATION
• Such limitations have been acknowledged by others in an attempt to	<ul> <li>Dehuminisation – does not take into account humanistic element of organisations and the impact of organisational approach on members.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	LIMITATIONS +

- 1 Miles, J.A. (2012) Management & Organisation Theory, London: Wiley Chapter 38 'Structural Contingency theory'
- 2 Leavitt, H. J. (1951). Some effects of certain communication patterns on group performance. The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 46(1),
- New York 3 Perrow, C. (1980). Organization theory in a society of organizations. Unpublished manuscript, Red Feather Institute for Advance Studies in Sociology
- 4 Woodward, Joan. 1958, Management and technology / by Joan Woodward H.M.S.O London
- 5 Donaldson, L. 1987, 'Strategy And Structural Adjustment To Regain Fit And Performance: In Defence Of Contingency Theory', Journal of
- Management Studies, vol. 24, no. 1.
- 6 Drazin, R., & Van de Ven, A. H. (1985). Alternative forms of t in contingency theory. Administrative Science Quarterly, 30, 514–539
- 7 Umanath, N. (2003). The concept of contingency beyond "It depends": illustrations from IS research stream. Information & Management, 40(6),
- 8 Donaldson, L. (2001). The contingency theory of organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Burns, T., & Stalker, G. (1961). The management of innovation. London: Tavistock.
- 3 A low level of bureaucracy fits a smaller organization, whereas a high level of bureaucracy fits a larger organization (Child, 1975)

## Features

- Principled by hierarchy in organisations
- Stressed strict rules and a firm distribution of power
- Organisations and its members are governed by clearly defied rational-legal decision-making rules to have formalised order in the way things run in an organisation

(Max Weber)

THEORY

BUREAUCRACY

- Contradicts today's management who are open to flexibility and new ideas
- knowledge of files, continuity, discretion, unity, strict subordination, reduction of friction and of material, and personal costs these are raised to the optimum point in the strictly bureaucratic administration" Weber

