TRUSTS FLOW CHART TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Express Trusts
 - a. Formalities
 - b. The three certainties
 - i. Intention
 - ii. Subject matter
 - iii. Object
 - 1. Fixed
 - 2. Discretionary
- 2. Trust, Contract and Debt
- 3. Charitable and Non-Charitable Purpose Trusts
 - a. Is it a charitable purpose trust?
 - i. Spirit test
 - ii. Public benefit test
 - b. Is it a non-charitable purpose trust?
 - c. Are there both charitable and non-charitable purposes?
- 4. Quistclose Trusts
- 5. Fiduciary Duties
 - a. Fiduciary obligations
 - b. Is there a fiduciary duty?
 - c. Content of fiduciary duties
 - i. No conflict
 - ii. No profit
- 6. Resulting Trusts
 - a. What type of relationship?
 - b. Calverly test
 - c. Cummins test
 - d. Presumption of advancement
 - e. Evidence to rebut the presumption
 - f. Mischief
- 7. Remedies for Breach of Trust
 - a. Personal remedies
 - b. Third party liability: Barnes v Addy
 - c. Tracing

EXPRESS TRUSTS

Step 1: Satisfy formalities from PLA: in writing and signed

- (a) New interest PLA s 53(1)(b)
 - Applies to *real property only*
 - Two requirements:
 - In writing and signed
- (b) Equitable interest that existed before PLA s 53(1)(c)
 - Applies equally to real and personal property
 - Applies to the disposition of an interest that existed before
 - Two requirements:
 - o In writing and signed

Step 2: Satisfy all three Certainties

(a) Certainty of intention

- Is it certain that the settlor wanted the trust to come into existence?
 - o Paul v Constance (substance over form inquiry)
 - Look at the substance of the transaction.
 - o *Byrnes v Kendel* (objective approach)
 - Explicit declaration of a trust cannot be overridden by external evidence to the contrary.

(b) Certainty of subject matter

- Is the subject matter of a trust has to be legally-recognised property?
- Expectancy is not property therefore cannot have a trust over an expectancy
 - o Palmer v Simmonds (bulk = not certain)
 - o Re Golay's Will Trusts (reasonable = certain)
 - o *Hunter v Moss* (fungible subject matter need not separate)

(c) Certainty of object

- Is it a fixed or a discretionary trust?
- Fixed: each share that a beneficiary is entitled to is specified in the trust deed
- Discretionary: trustee has discretion over how much/how to distributed trust property.
 - o Trust power: mandatory. Trustee must distribute TP.
 - o Mere power: permissive. Trustee may distribute TP.

(i) Fixed: List certainty rule

- Trustee has to be able to draw up a complete list of all beneficiaries at any given time.
- Authority: HCA endorses test in *Kinsella v Caldwell*
- *Important: Is there conceptual certainty?* If the criterion is not described with enough certainty or detail and is so vague as to make it impossible to determine whom the beneficiaries are.

- o I.e., there are 3 John Smiths. Which one?!?
- Evidentiary uncertainty: practical problems with constructing a list of beneficiaries. Difficult, but not impossible. As long as there is conceptual certainty, this requirement will not be fatal if not met.
 - o I.e., the trust students living in Carlton.

(ii) Discretionary: criterion certainty rule

- Mere powers: criterion certainty
 - o If one can say with certainty if any given individual 'is or is not' a member of the class
 - The trust will not fail simply because it is impossible to ascertain every member of the class
 - o Authority: Re Gulbenkian's Settlement Trusts
 - Problems: capriciousness (no rational criteria for choosing beneficiaries). Administrative unworkability not a problem.
- Trust powers: criterion certainty
 - o Same as above.
 - o Authority: McPhail v Doulton
 - o Problems: unclear.