## **Federal Constitutional Law Scaffolds**

## **Table of Contents**

Trade and Commerce s51(i)				2
Characterisation				
Is it under s51(i) Head of Power?				2
Incidental Power				2
External Affairs Power s51(xxix)	Errorl	Bookmark	not	defined
Characterisation				
Purposive				
Is it under s51(xxix) head of power?				
Limits				
Corporations Power s51(xx)				
Characterisation				
Purposive				
Is the law under s51(xx) Head of Power?				
Limits	Error	! Bookmark	not	aetinea.
Taxation s51(ii)	. Error!	Bookmark	not	defined.
51 (ii) Taxation; but so as not to discriminate between	n States	or parts of St	ates.	Error!
Bookmark not defined.				
Characterisation	Error	! Bookmark	not	defined.
Purposive				
Is the law under s51(ii) Head of Power?				
If a tax, is there a s55 issue?				
Exceptions	Error	! Bookmark	not	defined.
Grants Power s96	. Error!	Bookmark	not	defined
Characterisation				
Purposive				
Defence Power s51(vi)				
Characterisation				
Purposive				
Modern Cases	Error	! Bookmark	not	defined.
Doctrine in the Communist Party Case	. Error!	Bookmark	not	defined.
Freedom of Interstate Trade, Commerce and Intercondefined.	ourse s	<b>92.</b> Error! B	ookr	mark not
Implied Freedom of Political Communication	Error!	Bookmark	not	defined.
Intergovernmental Immunities	. Error!		not	defined.

# Trade and Commerce s51(i)

#### Characterisation

what rights, duties, powers privileges which it changes, regulates or abolishes
 – (Fairfax v The Commissioner for Taxation)

### Is it under s51(i) Head of Power?

- "Trade and Commerce" = popular meaning (W & A McArthur Ltd v Queensland) Includes:
  - o Transport of goods and people for profit (W & A McArthur Ltd v Queensland)
  - Intangibles: Banking services (Bank of NSW v Cth), supply of gas and electricity, broadcasting, the sale of ideas, Cth can itself engage in T&C (Australian National Airways v Commonwealth) (but note if monopoly in breach of s92)
  - Making contracts if they are in relation to trade and commerce with other cuntries or among the states (Redfern Dunlop)
- "Interstate and overseas"
  - Does not apply to intrastate trade and commerce (Wragg; Australian National Airways v Commonwealth)

#### **Incidental Power**

- In every grant of power under the constitution there is an implied inclusion of "every power and...control the denial of which would render the grant ineffective" (D'emden v Pedder)
  - o Intrastate trade: Prima facie no
    - If it can be shown to be necessary or appropriate (Nationwife News) to protect or foster interstate or foreign trade from "physical interference" (Airlines 1965)
      - Safety, regularity and efficiency of air navigation rules (Airlines 1965) ground maintenance, timetabling..
        - "efficiency" in the sense of being expedient or ensuring "economic success of the activity" is not enough to bring a law within the incidental power if purely economic (WA Airlines per Barwick CJ)
      - "acting on the actual conduct of an activity" (Airlines 1965 per Kitto J)
        - Must further be shown that it would be "impossible" to regulate interstate/overseas trade without also regulating intrastate trade (WA Airlines)
      - Economic factors? (would require a development in the law)
        - Mason J (in dissent) and Stephen JJ in closely decided WA
           Airlines argued practical economic factors cannot be excluded from the notion of what is reasonably necessary to fulfill s51(i) power
        - Criticism of the distinction between physical and economic as
           Cth Law should be characterised by reference to the practical consequences (Grainpool)
        - US position: Commerce Clause parallels s51(i) and has been interpreted broadly to encompass all activities that "exert a substantial economic effect on interstate commerce" (Wickard v Filburn)
          - Limit: requirement that the subject itself be commercial in nature (US v Lopez)
          - However, US cases have been decided on slim majorities with persuasive dissents so this position may in time be overuled
  - o Production: Prima facie no
    - The power under s51(i) includes a power to make provision for the condition and quality of ...any...commodity to be exported (Noarlunga but note statutory majority). Two limbs:
      - Anything that either beneficially or adversely impacts interstate or overseas export trade of Aus
      - The process is identified by the industry as a requirement for export

- Mixed contracts: can't avoid Cth regulation by inserting an intrastate element into a contract (Redfern v Dunlop Rubber)
- Mixed-production scenarios?
  - Dicta in Swift a Cth regulation that purported to regulate the production of goods otherwise than for export would not find justification in Noarlunga (per Taylor J) – excluding mixed-production scenarios
  - Owen J's dissent: in certain scenarios it is impossible to predict what stock will be exported making it necessary for Cth to regulate the whole operations "lest the condition or quality of [the export product] be prejudicially affected"
  - US position: power to regulate those activities having a substantial relation to interstate commerce (US v Morrison)
    - Means chosen to regulate the activity are a reasonable and appropriate" (Heart of Atlanta Motel)
    - Quotas valid under doctrine of aggregation (Wickard v Filburn)
    - Limit: subject matter be commercial in nature (US v Lopez)