Family Law Template

Nullity:

Jurisdiction-

- 1. S51 constitution (xxii) divorce and matrimonial causes
- 2. S4(b) FLA matrimonial cause- annulment
- 3. S44(1A)(b) proceedings issued by 1/both
- 4. S39(4)(b) on date of application, 1 party Aus citizen/ resident/ present in Aus?

Grounds s23B(1) Marriages Act-

- 5. Bigamy- at time of marriage 1 party lawfully married to someone else?
- Prohibited r/ship- descendant/ sibling?
 S23B(2) Marriages Act
- Lack of essential formalities- not complying with s48 MA, void under s23B(1c). Rare ground, s88C(1a) overseas marriages valid if valid under local law when solemnised
- Lack of consent- duress/ fraud s23B(1d) MA. Party mistaken as to person's identity, nature of ceremony, mentally incapable of understanding ceremony.

Examples of Duress:

- suicide threat: *Cooper V Crane* [1891]
- influence of bride's mother: Ford v Stier
- threats by bride's father: Parojcic v Parojcic
- threat of prosecution for carnal knowledge: Williams v Williams (1965) 7 FLR 309
- threat of prosecution and imprisonment: Buckland v Buckland [1967] 2 WLR 1506
- parental coercion: *In Marriage of S* (1980)
- proximity to ceremony: *Teves and* Campomayor (1995) FLC 92-578

Examples of Fraud:

- fraudulent impersonation: C v C [1942]
- marriage to remain in Australia: Deniz and Deniz (1977); Hosking and Hosking (1995)

- No fraud or duress found: Suria and Suria (1977); Otway and Otway (1987)
- Intention not to cohabit: Osman and Mourrali (1990) FLC 92-111
- Subjective intent of parties irrelevant: Al Soukmani and Al Soukmani (1980) Example of mistake as to identity:
- husband a hermaphrodite: <u>C and D</u> Example of mistake as to the Ceremony
 - Mistake as to effect: Bell v Graham (1859)
 - Mistake as to nature of ceremony: Mehta v Mehta [1945]; Najjari v Houlayce (1991)
 - No mistake as to nature of ceremony: Official Trustee in Bankruptcy v Edwards

Mental Incapacity

- Requirement to understand nature of ceremony: In the Estate of Park, Park v Park
- No requirement to understand consequences of marriage: AK and NC

Divorce:

Jurisdiction-

- 1. S51 constitution (xxii) divorce and matrimonial causes
- 2. <mark>S4</mark>(a) FLA matrimonial cause- divorce order re marriage
- 3. S44(1A)(a) proceedings issued by 1/both
- 4. S39(3) on date of application, 1 party Aus citizen/ resident/ present in Aus?

Grounds-

- 5. S48(2) parties separated (communicated) 12m before filing application for divorce?
- 6. S49(1) cause irrelevant
- Pavey- effective breakdown of marriage. Must examine each r/ship separately. Reasonable satisfaction= BOP. Parties must explain why they've stayed in same residence

- Clarke- physical separation not necessary
- Franks- 1 party in prison= no by itself
- Todd- compare r/ship before separation to after
- Falk- substantial breakdown, doesn't have to be complete breakdown
- Spanos- residual elements of previous r/ship may exist
- 7. S49(2) parties separated if living in same residence?
- Watkins- look at sleeping arrangements
- Wiggins- 2 household test
- Hodges- divisible task test
- Potter- residing together for sake of kids
- Pavey- corroborative evidence may be required
- 8. S48(2) intention to separate?
- 9. Action upon that intention?
- 10. Communication of this to other party?
- 11. S48(3) reasonable likelihood of resuming cohabitation?
- Todd- bilateral intention
- Painter- intent to marry another person= no
- Bailey- long period of separation= no
- Koufaladis- insanity of 1st wife, proposed 2nd wife= no
- 12. S50 single resumption of cohabitation less than 3 months?
- Todd- substantial resumption
- Clarke- mere settling of differences with intention to resume= no
- Perry- question of fact and degree
- Mummery
 bilateral intention to resume
- Todd- bilateral intent must be acted on