Content

Topic 7 - Factors affecting the contract 2		
Factors affecting the contract - non est factum	3	
Factors affecting the contract – mistake	3	
Types of mistakes	3	
Mistake – common mistake - void	3	
Mistake – mutual mistake	5	
Mistake – unilateral mistake	5	
Factors affecting the contract – Misrepresentation	·····7	
Factors affecting the contract –Undue influence	8	
Factors affecting the contract – Unconscionable conduct	9	
Factors affecting the contract –Duress	10	
Duress of the person	10	
Economic duress	11	
Statute duress	11	

Topic 7 - Factors affecting the contract

factors	effects	
	Contract is void	Contract is voidable
 Non est factum Mistake Misrepresentation Undue influence Unconscionable conduct Duress 	 contract does not exist and has no legal effect contract ineffective with no need for parties to take any further action No rights and obligations; neither party can recover damages for breach; neither party can enforce the promises Alternative remedies may arise independently of the contract 	 contract does exist but one of the parties has the legal right to 'avoid' or not perform contract is effective until one party takes action to terminate/rescind the contract Timing of rescission may be important – right to rescind may be lost if innocent third parties affected Other limits on right to rescind
About the innocent third party	 Title to property <u>cannot</u> pass from one party to another 'A' sells a car to 'B' but contract is void. 'B' gets possession of car but does not acquire any rights (title to car remains with 'A') 'B' sells car to 'C'. 'C' does not acquire any rights of ownership because 'B' had no legal rights to transfer to 'C' 'A' can sue 'C' in tort action and 'C' would have to return car to 'A'. 'C' is innocent 3rd party but loses out 'C' may have rights against 'B' (of little value if 'B' has no assets) 	 Title to property can pass from one party to another 'A' sells a car to 'B' but contract is voidable. 'B' gets possession of car and a 'voidable' title 'B' sells car to 'C'. If this sale is BEFORE 'A' rescinds the contract with 'B', 'B' can transfer title to 'C' If 'A' sues 'C' in tort action, 'C' would NOT have to return car to 'A' because 'C' has acquired title 'A' may have rights against 'B' (of little value if 'B' has no assets)

Factors affecting the contract - non est factum

Non est factum: Party signing a document is mistaken as to the nature of the document

Effect: void

Must prove:

- s/he belonged to class of persons who **have to rely on others for advice** as to what they are signing (e.g. because illiterate or blind) so unable to read or understand the document
- Document actually signed was **radically different** from document s/he thought s/he was signing
- Failure to read and understand the document was not due to carelessness on part of claimant

Case: Petelin v Cullen (1975) 132 CLR 355

Fact: Petelin, who could not read English, signed a document believing it to be a receipt for \$50. In fact, the document gave Cullen an option to purchase Petelin's land which Cullen then exercised. Petelin refused to sign a contract of sale and Cullen sought specific performance.

Held: Petelin succeeded in his non est factum claim on appeal. The Court held that

- (1) Petelin believed that what he had signed was merely a receipt;
- (2) that Petelin was not careless in this respect (he could not read English and understanding the document was beyond his capacity) and
- (3) even if he had been careless, Cullen was not an 'innocent person without knowledge or reason to doubt the validity of the appellant's signature'.

Factors affecting the contract - mistake

Types of mistakes

- Common mistake: Both parties make the same mistake void
- Mutual mistake: Both parties are mistaken but about different things; misunderstand each other and deal at cross-purposes
- Unilateral mistake: Only one party is mistaken and the other party is aware of the mistake and tries to take advantage of that mistake

Mistake - common mistake - void

No actual agreement. Not possible to bring legal action for alleged breach of contract.

Case: Couturier v Hastie (1856) HL Cas 673