

## Lecture 3 -Valuing Projects -Investment Decision Rules

### 1. Capital Budgeting

- Capital Investment Process (*E.g. purchase of new/ replacing manufacturing plant/ equipment*)
  - (1) Generation of investment proposals
  - (2) Estimation of cash flows for the proposals
  - (3) Evaluation of the cash flows
  - (4) Selection of projects based upon an acceptance criterion
  - (5) Continual/ ongoing re-evaluation of investment projects after their acceptance
- Maximize the value of the firm

### 2. Independent vs Mutually Exclusive Projects

Independent	Mutually Exclusive
<p>(1) It must be capable of being implemented <b>regardless of</b> whether any other project(S) is/are also accepted and implemented; and</p> <p>(2) Its cash flows must be <b>unaffected</b> by the acceptance or rejection of any other proposal</p>	<p><b>Competing investment proposals</b></p> <p>The acceptance of one project <b>precludes</b> the acceptance of any other project</p> <p><i>E.g. alternative uses of piece of land; different types of equipment in manufacturing</i></p>

### 3. Conventional vs Unconventional project

Conventional	Unconventional
Only one sign change in cash flow	Many changes in sign

### 4. Capital Rationing

- Limits placed on the total funds available for investment (internal/ external):
  - Ignores practical constraints (E.g. limited borrowing capacity)
- In this case, strict application of the NPV rules may be sub-optimal when selecting independent projects
  - Decision: select the optimal combination of projects, given the restriction on available capital
  - Use Profitability Index technique: the higher the PI → the more profitable the project

Payback method	Accounting rate of return (%)	Net Present Value		Internal rate of return (%)
The number of years it takes to recover the initial cash outlay	Average after tax profit/ initial cash outlay	- Value additivity principle - Discounted at the required rate of return/ company’s cost of capital - Accept projects that have an NPV>0		Accept when an IRR > the opportunity cost of capital
		☺️ Considering of the time value and magnitude of cash flows ☺️ The risk associated with particular projects		
	☹️ It uses accounting profits instead of cash flows  - Cash flows differ from accounting earnings  - Earnings figures are historical not prospective  - Arbitrary measures used (e.g. amortization)	☹️ Cannot deal with <b>projects with unequal lives</b> <b>Assumptions:</b> sales, costs, technology and operating efficiency <b>DO NOT</b> change with successive project replacements  - Such changes may impact both cash flows and k  - Too difficult to predict future technology and its impact on future cash flows  - The discount factor decreases the further into time we go – the magnitude of any error deceases		☹️ Cannot apply the value additivity principle (for firm value)  ☹️ <b>Conflicts:</b> - Problem of scale  - Problems with “non-normal” (unconventional) cash flows → Multiple IRRs/ -ve. Or zero IRR: - If have “un-conventional” cash flows projects only NPV will provide the correct decision → relay on NPV decision rule  - Implicit re-investment assumption: interim year cash flows are re-invested at ITT% for the remainder of the project →not realistic assumption
		<b>Lowest Common Multiple (LCM)</b>	<b>Constant Chain of Replacement (CCR)</b>	<b>Equivalent Annual Value (EAV)</b>
		Assumption: when a project is finished →the company will implement a similar projects		Direct comparison of projects
		Projects are repeated until the LCM of years is reached	Projects continues indefinitely	same result (ranking) as the CCR method → EAV is implicitly embedded within CCR
		The “adjusted” project with <b>greatest total</b>	An <b>annuity</b> of \$NPV paid at the beginning	