FAT NOTES - TOC

Week 1	Page 1
Week 2	Page 3
Week 3	Page 4
Week 4	Page 6
Week 5	Page 8
Week 6	Page 14
Week 7	Page 19
Week 8	Page 25
Week 9	Page 29
Week 10	Page 34
Week 11	Page 38
Week 12	Page 41

Week 1

Objective of financial accounting – information reporting system designed to relieve information asymmetry in economies.

◆ 1.Valuation – Adverse Selection problem : Ensure capital market efficient(PV of FCF)

Adverse selection (PRE-TRANSACTION)

-information asymmetry where a party to a transaction has an informational advantage over other parties; adverse selection between SH & Mgmt between SH themselves.

eg : second hand car manager/stocks/

? – Provide decision useful info

Financial reporting- decision usefulness view - info D - FCF

♦ 2.Stewardship/Contracting – Contracting & Moral Hazard problems Improve managerial labour markets and efficiency of contracts

Moral hazard/Incentive (POST-TRANSACTION)

- -information asymmetry whereby a party to a transaction can observe their actions in fulfilment of the transaction but the other cannot
- -mgmt potentially suffers from moral hazard; undertake actions in their own self-interest at detriment of SH.
- ?- Net income as managerial performance measure

Eg: manager everyday, mechanic – gear box

End of year – past performance; full of contracts with different agents.

Contract: SH with manager & DH

Manager&SH contracts- no bear cost of full dysfunctional behaviour Dividend retention – empire building(compensation & reputation) and excess consumption of perks(private corporate jet); Risk aversion, Horizon Problem

Manager & DH- DH Is the principal and the manager acting on behalf of SH.

Moral Hazard Costs of Debt

- Excess Dividend Payment (borrow \$\$ declare dividend- wealth lender to SH)
- Asset Substitution (fixed income stream, face downside risk) Equity sh- benefit; lender low risk to high risk assets)
- Claim dilution (more loans, give newer loaners first claim)
- Under-investment (+NPV sufficient for DH)

Reduction of Moral Hazard Costs

- -SH contract with managers to link pay to performance(↓ moral hazard cost)
- -DH incorporate covenants(↓ IR)

EG of IA: Job application: grads info asymmetry; once employed – moral hazard. Business–manager knows more about current condition than outside investors

Investors – current value; Managers – historical Standard setting – means of mediating conflicting interests.

Current Value (relevant not reliable) / Historical Costs (reliable not relevant)

Efficient Contracting Theory-moderating IA between parties

- Debt contracts & managerial compensation contracts
- Lender & manager interests- conflict with interest of SH
- Trust, lower cost of firm

Conservative Accounting – higher standard of verification required for gains vs losses -Timely recognition of losses as opposed to gains

Conditional Conservatism: Internally generated intangible assets
Impairment tests (RA<CA; written down)
LCNRV/Market value inventory

Sources of Contracting Demand

- -Lenders payoff symmetry, lose heavily if firm does poorly, demand for early warning of financial distress.
- -SH- managers assumed rational, responsible manager effort and limit opportunistic actions

Efficient Contracting

- a) Reliability estimates; opportunity for managers upwards: hide losses & record unrealized gains
- b) Conservatism: i) Lenders D help predict financial distress, limit dividend: conditional, reporting unrealized losses help protect financial distress
 - ii) SH- stewardship; constraint on managerial opportunism, timely recognition of losses (timely recognition of –NPV)

Efficient Contracting: reliable, conservative, flexibility; conflict with current value Conflicts with conceptual framework:

Framework- future-orientated for investors (relevant but not reliable)

Flexibility: contracting costs- moral hazards; contracts rigid-costly for firm; lowest contracting cost. DH – covenant on acct no. Mgmt- pay to income (LT contract) Acct standards – Δ – cost: rigid contracts

-Efficient solution – manager flexibility in acct policy choice ~ opportunistic behaviour Contract theory : opportunism(manager max. utility) or efficient(contracting)?

Opportunistic	Contracting
-Hope & Thomas – multinational firms no	-Mian & Smith – consolidated f/s
disclose earnings by geo. – foreign sales	-Dechow- net income highly assoc. with CF than
increase, earinings did not	share returns
-Dechow & Shakespeare – aggressive fair value	-Dichev &Skinner – debt covenants
for securitisation	-Wittenberg-Moerman – conservatism & IA:
	negatively associated; no assoc. unrealised
	gains.

Week 2 – Recognition

Recognized : <u>probable(more likely than not>50%)</u> that future eco. benefit will flow to/from entity, cost measured <u>reliably.</u>

- i) Contracts with Customers probable collect consideration. Recognise revenue performance obligation entity transfer promised good, accrual. Revenue-control passes.
- ii) PPE- probable FEB & cost reliably.
- iii) Provision- probable, reliable, no recognise contingent liability

Issues:

- a) Portfolio Theory & CAPM β info unreliable(not value in firm), info reliability liquidity ~adverse selection : disagreement FCF numerator lack of trade; no market.
- b) Objective(certainty:coin) vs subjective(s.e- estimate-R&D) pr. pr ≠ reliability
- c) Recognition vs disclosure: market efficient -may not matter:
 Not efficient not read notes. Investors react differently info diff form (recognised more highly than disclosure)
- d) Reliable- recognition more info than disclosure- auditor verify b/s, reveal precision (user- items more precise- disclosed)

Report level of reliability rather than not recognise – can measure precision and report it.

$$SE = \frac{st \ dev}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$CI = X \pm 1.96 \ SE$$

Skewness of asset payoffs-+ve skewed, Low Pr. High Payoff(Not recognised)

iv) Intangibles – diff financial position(book value) vs market capitalisation (stock market) e.g: HR,brand names, customer ☺

$$Price \ to \ book = \frac{Price \ per \ share}{Book \ per \ share(Net \ assets)} \ (Ideal : 1)$$

Intangible asset – identifiable, non-monetary without physical substance , probable & measured reliably. X - goodwill, brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists, research. \forall : Business combination

Intangibles acquired acquisition, bs. Combination, gov grant, exchange, internally generated.

Intangible- cost. Business combination: FV

Subsequent to initial recognition-Cost/FV

Amortisation i) Finite life – same for PPE, SL, residual = 0, over life

ii) Indefinite life- no amortisation, sub to impairment.

Transactions with third party

Not reporting intangibles

i) Mismatching of P& L – valuation of firm. Early years- expense (loss); low valuemisvalued, later years- overvalued

Capitalised in b/s - manager -account

ii) Earnings management – change expenditure ; r&d expenditure – capitalise

Costs – increase adverse selection, underpriced securities, underinvestment

- misallocation of resources- misprice

Benefits- conservative f/s opportunistic behaviour

Conservatism – cannot be consistently applied over the firm's life; in later years no more profit- shift of earnings from one period to the next.

Week 3- Measurement

Measurement – attribute no. to f/s

- a) Historic Cost
- b) Current value
 - ❖ Fair value (Exit) /Current (Entry) / Value In Use

Depends on : objective of f/s, profit and thus capital maintenance, reliability of measurement info. required. PPE- cost/fv / Valuation of debt & equity + Stewardship Measure A & L – influenced by income(change of wealth between two points of time), wealth – influence what report as income.

Capital Maintenance

a) Financial (Historical Cost)

HC- \$ Profit = End- Beginning

b) Purchasing power (Historical Cost- adjusted for inflation)

Adjusted for purchasing power (inflation) - maintain basket

c) Physical operating (Entry prices)

Produce End period – Beginning period

d) Current Cash Equivalent (OC) (Exit prices)

Cash to sell the firm for