

## Completely randomised design (CRD)

Model	$y_{ij} = \mu_i + e_{ij}$ $i=1,2,\dots,t, j=1,2,\dots,r$
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data are independent (study design)</li> <li>The residuals are normally distributed (check histogram, normal probability plot).</li> <li>The residuals have equal variances (boxplots, test of equal variances)</li> </ul>
Estimated parameters	$SST = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=1}^r (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$ $SSE_f = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=1}^r (y_{ij} - \hat{\mu}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=1}^r (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2$
Standard error	$s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \quad \hat{S.E.}(\bar{y}_{i.}) = \sqrt{s^2/r_i}$ $s = \sqrt{MSE}$
95% CI	$\bar{y}_{i.} \pm t_{N-t}^{a/2} \cdot \hat{S.E.}(\bar{y}_{i.}) = \bar{y}_{i.} \pm t_{N-t}^{a/2} \cdot \sqrt{MSE/r_i}$

### Contrasts

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^t k_i \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^t k_i = 0$$

- $k_i$  indicates the coefficient of the contrast.

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^t k_i \bar{y}_{i.} \quad \text{Var}(c) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{k_i^2}{r_i}$$

$$t_{crit} = \frac{c - C}{\sqrt{MSE \times \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{k_i^2}{r_i}}}, \quad v = N - t \text{ (df of SSE)}$$

$$\text{Sum of squares: } SSC = \frac{c^2}{\sum_{i=1}^t \frac{k_i^2}{r_i}}$$

$$\sum SSC = \text{Treatment SS}$$

### Orthogonal contrast

Contrasts that convey independent information

$$\text{If } C_1 = k_1 \mu_1 + k_2 \mu_2 + \dots + k_t \mu_t$$

$$C_2 = l_1 \mu_1 + l_2 \mu_2 + \dots + l_t \mu_t$$

C & D are orthogonal if

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \frac{k_i l_i}{r_i} = 0$$

You can drop the  $i$  for equal groups.

### Complete set of orthogonal contrasts

- $t - 1$  mutually orthogonal contrast
- Each pair of contrasts is orthogonal

### Multiple comparisons

#### 1. Bonferroni

- suitable for ad hoc comparisons
- small number of tests

$\alpha_c$  = overall significance level

$\alpha_e$  = individual =  $\frac{\alpha_c}{k}$ ,  $k = t(t-1)$  = no. of comparisons

95% CI:

$$\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{j.} \pm t_{N-t}^{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{MSE \cdot \left( \frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{1}{r_j} \right)}$$

$v = N - t$

$$s_{pooled}^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

All copyrights retained by author

#### 2. Tukey

- suitable for balanced study
- less conservative

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$$

we reject  $H_0$  if

$$\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{MSE/r}} = \frac{\max_{i=1,\dots,k}(\bar{Y}_{i.}) - \min_{i=1,\dots,k}(\bar{Y}_{i.})}{\sqrt{MSE/r}} > q_{\alpha,k,v}$$

$k=t$  treatment,  $v$  = df of SSE

To find individual differences between means

- Find threshold value  $q_{\alpha,k,v} \times \sqrt{MSE/r}$
- If  $|\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{j.}|$  exceeds threshold value, then reject  $H_0$

#### 3. Scheffe

- suitable for post hoc comparisons
- many tests
- can only conduct two-tailed tests as we are using F-values.

$$S = \sqrt{(t-1)F_{\alpha,t-1,N-t}}$$

$$s_c = \sqrt{MSE \times \sum_i \frac{k_i^2}{r_i}}$$

CI:  $c \pm S \times s_c$

If data is non-normal

- Transformation: log or sqrt
- Non-parametric test (Kruskal-Wallis)
- Test the different between treatment medians
- Assumption: all groups have similar shape

If variances are not equal

- Use Levene's test (F distribution) or Barlett's test (chi-square distribution) to see if variances are equal

## Randomised complete block design (RBD)

- Suitable when we have homogenous groups

Model	$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \rho_j + e_{ij}$ $i=1,2,\dots,t, j=1,2,\dots,r$
Assumption Parameter constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>e_{ij} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)</math> independently</li> <li><math>\sum_{i=1}^t \tau_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_j = 0</math>.</li> </ul>
Variance	$s_2^2 = \frac{SS_{Block} + r(t-1) \cdot MS_{Error}}{rt - 1}$
Relative efficiency	$RE = \frac{(f_1+1)(f_2+3)s_2^2}{(f_1+3)(f_2+1)s_1^2} \cdot \frac{CRD}{RBD}$ <p>If <math>RE=2 \Rightarrow</math> RBD is twice efficient. CRD need a sample size 2 times greater to achieve the same precision.</p>

If assumptions fail:

- Transformation
- Non-parametric (Friedman's test)
- Assumptions:
  - Each block contains  $t$  random variables or ranking
  - The blocks are independent
  - Within each block, observations can be arranged in increasing order (not too many ties)
- $H_0$ : Each ranking of the random variables within a block is equally likely
- $H_1$ : At least one treatment has larger observed values.

$R(y_{ij})$ : rank from 1 to t assigned to  $y_{ij}$  within block j

$$R_i = \sum_{j=1}^t R(y_{ij}) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t$$

Friedman test statistics S (chi-square distribution)

$$= \frac{12}{rt(t+1)} \sum_{i=1}^t R_i^2 - 3r(t+1)$$

### Factorial experiment

- Examine multiple factors at the same time
- Examine interaction first
  - (1) Significant: Need to carry out multiple comparisons on the levels of one factor at each level of the other factor
  - (2) Insignificant: Remove interaction term.

Model	$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$ $i=1,2,\dots,a, j= 1, 2,\dots,b, k= 1, 2,\dots,r$																														
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><math>e_{ij} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)</math> independently</li><li><math>\sum_i \alpha_i = 0, \sum_j \beta_j = 0.</math></li><li><math>\sum_{i=1}^a (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j, \sum_{j=1}^b (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i.</math></li></ul>																														
Main effect	Main effect of A $= \frac{1}{2} \{ \underbrace{[\overline{a_2 b_2} + \overline{a_2 b_1}]}_{\text{treat.'s with A at level 2}} - \underbrace{[\overline{a_1 b_2} + \overline{a_1 b_1}]}_{\text{treat.'s with A at level 1}} \}$																														
Two-factor interaction effect	$(a_2 b_2 - a_1 b_2) - (a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_1)$																														
Estimated parameters	<table><tr><th>Source</th><th>SS</th><th>df</th><th>MS</th><th>F</th></tr><tr><td>Factor A</td><td><math>SS_A</math></td><td><math>a-1</math></td><td><math>SS_A/(a-1)</math></td><td><math>MS_A/EMS</math></td></tr><tr><td>Factor B</td><td><math>SS_B</math></td><td><math>b-1</math></td><td><math>SS_B/(b-1)</math></td><td><math>MS_B/EMS</math></td></tr><tr><td>Inter<sup>n</sup> AB</td><td><math>SS_{AB}</math></td><td><math>(a-1)(b-1)</math></td><td><math>SS_{AB}/(a-1)(b-1)</math></td><td><math>MS_{AB}/EMS</math></td></tr><tr><td>Error</td><td><math>ESS</math></td><td><math>ab(r-1)</math></td><td><math>ESS/ab(r-1)</math></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>Total SS</td><td><math>abr-1</math></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	Source	SS	df	MS	F	Factor A	$SS_A$	$a-1$	$SS_A/(a-1)$	$MS_A/EMS$	Factor B	$SS_B$	$b-1$	$SS_B/(b-1)$	$MS_B/EMS$	Inter <sup>n</sup> AB	$SS_{AB}$	$(a-1)(b-1)$	$SS_{AB}/(a-1)(b-1)$	$MS_{AB}/EMS$	Error	$ESS$	$ab(r-1)$	$ESS/ab(r-1)$		Total	Total SS	$abr-1$		
Source	SS	df	MS	F																											
Factor A	$SS_A$	$a-1$	$SS_A/(a-1)$	$MS_A/EMS$																											
Factor B	$SS_B$	$b-1$	$SS_B/(b-1)$	$MS_B/EMS$																											
Inter <sup>n</sup> AB	$SS_{AB}$	$(a-1)(b-1)$	$SS_{AB}/(a-1)(b-1)$	$MS_{AB}/EMS$																											
Error	$ESS$	$ab(r-1)$	$ESS/ab(r-1)$																												
Total	Total SS	$abr-1$																													

Model	$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + e_{ij}$ $i=1,2,\dots,t, j=1,2,\dots,r$
Assumption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>e_{ij} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)</math> independently</li> <li><math>\alpha_i \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)</math> independently</li> </ul>
Hypothesis	$H_0: \sigma_a^2 = 0$ (no treatment effects) $H_1: \sigma_a^2 > 0$ (there is treatment difference)
Estimated parameters	$MSA = \hat{\sigma}_e^2 + r\hat{\sigma}_a^2$ $MSW = \hat{\sigma}_e^2$ The variance among X accounts for x% of the variation and the variance within X accounts for the other (1-x)%.
CI	A $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CI for $\sigma_e^2$ is given by $\Pr\left\{\frac{SSW}{A} < \sigma_e^2 < \frac{SSW}{B}\right\} = 1 - \alpha$ where $A = \chi_{N-t, \alpha/2}^2$ (upper $\alpha/2$ point of $\chi_{N-t}^2$ ) and $B = \chi_{N-t, 1-\alpha/2}^2$ (lower $\alpha/2$ point of $\chi_{N-t}^2$ ; $B < A$ ) are values that separate the upper tail area (higher side) of $\alpha/2$ and $(1-\alpha/2)$ , respectively. An approximate $100(1-2\alpha)\%$ CI for $\sigma_a^2$ is given by $\Pr\left\{\frac{SSA(1-F_u/F_0)}{rC} < \sigma_a^2 < \frac{SSA(1-F_l/F_0)}{rD}\right\} \approx 1 - 2\alpha$ where $C = \chi_{t-1, \alpha/2}^2$ (upper tail) $D = \chi_{t-1, 1-\alpha/2}^2$ (lower tail; $D < C$ ) $F_0 = MSA / MSW$ $F_u = F_{t-1, N-t}^{\alpha/2}$ (upper) $F_l = F_{t-1, N-t}^{1-\alpha/2}$ (lower; $F_l < F_u$ )
Intraclass correlation coefficient	$\rho_I = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2}$ <p><math>\rho_I</math> is a measure of how similar (or dissimilar) units <i>between</i> groups are, compared with similarity <i>within</i> groups.</p> <p>It is the proportion of variability attributable to groups. In the extreme case of no difference between groups, <math>\rho_I</math> would be zero.</p>

$$SS_{Total} = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (y_{ijk} - \bar{y}_{...})^2$$

$$SS_A = br \sum_i (\bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{...})^2$$

$$SS_B = ar \sum_j (\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2$$

$$SS_{AB} = r \sum_i \sum_j (\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{.j.} + \bar{y}_{...})^2$$

$$SS_{Error} = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (y_{ijk} - \bar{y}_{ij.})^2$$

NOTE:  $\text{Var}(nX) = n^2 \text{Var}(X)$

$$\text{Var}(X+Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)$$

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Factorial Design

$$\text{Var}(\hat{A}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{r2^{n-2}} \quad SST = \frac{Y(\cdot)^2}{2^n r}$$

Yates' Algorithm

	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3 = n
$\sum(1)$	$a+1$	$ab+b+a+1$	$T$
$\sum a$	$ab+b$	$ac+c+abc+bc$	$Y(A)$
$\sum b$	$ac+c$	$a-1+ab-b$	$Y(B)$
$\sum ab$	$abc+bc$	$ac-c+abc-bc$	$Y(AB)$
$\sum c$	$a-1$	$ab+b-a-1$	$Y(C)$
$\sum ac$	$ab-b$	$abc+bc-ac-c$	$Y(AC)$
$\sum bc$	$ac-c$	$ab-b-a+1$	$Y(BC)$
$\sum abc$	$abc-bc$	$abc-bc-ac+c$	$Y(ABC)$

**Partial confounding:** Confounding different effects in each rep

**Fractional replication:** (1) find identity relation, (2) find the effect subgroup, (3) Decide fractional replicate and its aliases

### Random effects model

- Treatments which are drawn at random from a population of treatments

### The analysis of covariance

- Examine one factor, but also take into account extraneous (continuous) variables
- We can only measure covariate during the experiment
- The influence of covariate on the response is unknown

Model	$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{..}) + e_{ij}$ <p><math>y_{ij}(X)</math> for jth subject in ith treatment  <math>\mu</math>: overall mean (X)  <math>\tau_i</math>: effect of the ith treatment on (X)  <math>\beta</math>: coefficient for the linear regression of <math>y_{ij}</math> on <math>x_{ij}</math>  <math>x_{ij}</math>: covariate for jth subject in ith treatment  <math>\bar{x}_{..}</math>: overall covariate mean</p>
Assumption Parameter constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>e_{ij} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)</math> independently</li> <li><math>\sum_{i=1}^t \tau_i = 0</math></li> <li>Common slope <math>\beta</math> (not significant)</li> </ul>

Adjusted  
mean of Y

$$\overline{y_{l_{adj}}} = \overline{y_{l\cdot}} + \hat{\beta}(\overline{x_{\cdot\cdot}} - \overline{x_{l\cdot}})$$

### Survey design

Sampling frame: a list of sampling units

Sampling unit: non-overlapping units for sampling

Unit: A group of elements

Element: an object on which measures are taken

NOTE: unit can be element.

### Probability concepts

$$E(Y) = \mu$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^k y_j p_j$$

$$Var(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k (y_j - \mu)^2 p_j = E(Y^2) - [E(Y)]^2$$

### Simple random sampling

- Sampling is done without replacement.
- Simplest, appropriate with no prior information.

$$SE(\bar{y}) = \sqrt{Var(\bar{y})} = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{(1-f)}{n}}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^L (N_i - 1) \sigma_i^2 + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^L N_i (\mu_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$Var(\bar{y}) = (1-f) \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$Y_T = N\bar{y}; \quad Var(Y_T) = Var(N\bar{y}) = N^2(1-f) \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Use sample proportion  $\hat{p}$  to estimate population proportion  $p$  with

$$\left( \begin{aligned} Var(\hat{p}) &= \frac{N}{N-1} \cdot pq \cdot \frac{(1-f)}{n} \\ &\cong (1-f) \cdot \frac{pq}{n} \quad \text{since } \frac{N}{N-1} \cong 1 \text{ for large } N \end{aligned} \right)$$

Where  $q = 1 - p$ .

### Sampling size

$$n \geq N \left\{ 1 + N \left( \frac{B}{z_{\alpha/2} \sigma} \right)^2 \right\}^{-1}$$

To estimate pop. total within D of true value

$$n \geq N \left\{ 1 + N^{-1} \left( \frac{D}{z_{\alpha/2} \sigma} \right)^2 \right\}^{-1}$$

To estimate pop. proportion with a specified margin of error B at significance level  $\alpha$

Ignoring the fpc and taking  $(N/(N-1)) = 1$ , we get

$$n \geq \frac{p(1-p) z_{\alpha/2}^2}{B^2}$$

Not ignoring the fpc: After some manipulation

$$n \geq N \left[ 1 + \frac{N-1}{p(1-p)} \left( \frac{B}{z_{\alpha/2}} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}$$

If no  $p$  is given, use  $p=0.5$  (conservative + large sample size)

### Stratified random sampling

- Use to reduce variance
- Obtain best results when within-stratum differences is small, and large differences between stratum means.

$$\bar{y}_{ST} = \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{N_i}{N} \bar{y}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with } Var(\bar{y}_{ST}) &= Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^L \frac{N_i}{N} \bar{y}_i\right) = Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^L W_i \bar{y}_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^L W_i^2 Var(\bar{y}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^L W_i^2 (1-f_i) \frac{\sigma_i^2}{n_i}; \end{aligned}$$

$$y_{T,ST} = N \cdot \bar{y}_{ST} = \sum_{i=1}^L N_i \bar{y}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with } Var(y_{T,ST}) &= Var(N \cdot \bar{y}_{ST}) = N^2 \cdot Var(\bar{y}_{ST}) \\ &= N^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^L W_i^2 (1-f_i) \frac{\sigma_i^2}{n_i} = \sum_{i=1}^L N_i^2 (1-f_i) \frac{\sigma_i^2}{n_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{Var}(y_{T,ST}) = N^2 \hat{Var}(\bar{y}_{ST}) = \sum_{i=1}^L N_i^2 (1-f_i) \cdot \frac{s_i^2}{n_i};$$

$$\hat{p}_{ST} = \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \frac{N_i}{N} \right) \cdot \hat{p}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Var}(\hat{p}_{ST}) &= \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \frac{N_i}{N} \right)^2 \cdot \hat{Var}(\hat{p}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \frac{N_i}{N} \right)^2 \cdot (1-f_i) \cdot \left( \frac{\hat{p}_i \hat{q}_i}{n_i - 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

### Sample size

The sample size for stratum  $i$  according to **proportional allocation**

$$n_i = \frac{n \cdot N_i}{N} = f \cdot N_i.$$

The optimal sample size in stratum  $i$  is,

$$n_i = n \cdot \left( \frac{\frac{N_i \sigma_i}{\sqrt{c_i}}}{\sum_{j=1}^L \frac{N_j \sigma_j}{\sqrt{c_j}}} \right)$$

When sampling costs over the strata are equal,

i.e.  $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_L$ , this reduces to

$$n_i = n \cdot \frac{N_i \sigma_i}{\sum_{j=1}^L N_j \sigma_j}$$

This is known as *Neyman allocation*.

### Design effect

$$deff = \frac{Var(\text{estimate under current sampling plan})}{Var(\text{estimate under SRS with same sample size})}$$

If  $deff \ll 1$ , then stratified random sampling is better than SRS.

$\bar{y}_{sys}$  = mean of a systematic sample, with

$$Var(\bar{y}_{sys}) = \frac{N-1}{N} \sigma^2 - \frac{k(m-1)}{N} S_W^2$$

where  $\sigma$  = population SD,

$$S_W^2 = \frac{1}{k(m-1)} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m (u_{ij} - \bar{u}_{i.})^2 \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{u}_{i.} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m u_{ij}$$

### Cluster sampling

- Easy to implement
- Clusters are generally geographical entities
- Useful when there is a large within-cluster variation but small between-cluster variation.

a) When all cluster sizes are equal to L:

$$\bar{y}_{CL} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^L \bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^L y_{ij} \right)$$

$$\text{with } \hat{Var}(\bar{y}_{CL}) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_i^2}{nM^2}$$

$$\text{where } s_i^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \sum_{j=1}^L y_{ij} - \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^L y_{ij} \right)$$

b) When cluster sizes are not all equal, we estimate the mean through ratio:

$$\bar{y}_{CL} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i}, \text{ with } \hat{Var}(\bar{y}_{CL}) = \left( \frac{N-n}{NnM^2} \right) s_r^2$$

$$\text{where } s_r^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}_{CL} m_i)^2}{n-1}$$

### Systematic sampling

- Simple, save time and effort
- Useful when we do not have a list of population
- If the population is period, DO NOT USE.

Method A: When  $N/k$  is an integer, choose a unit at random from the first  $k^{\text{th}}$  unit. Take every  $k^{\text{th}}$  unit from the starting unit.

Method B: Choose a unit at random from the population. Starting point depends on remainder.

When  $N/k$  is not an integer, use Method B to ensure an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$

### Ratio estimator

$$r = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}}, \text{ and}$$

$$E(r) \cong \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x} \text{ (approximation is good for large samples)}$$

$$Var(r) \cong \frac{1-f}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(Y_i - RX_i)^2}{N-1}$$

We estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(Y_i - RX_i)^2}{N-1} \text{ by } \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - rx_i)^2}{n-1}$$

and  $\mu_x^2$  by  $\bar{x}^2$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Var}(r) &= \frac{1-f}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{x}^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - rx_i)^2}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1-f}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{x}^2} \cdot s_e^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\tau}_y = Y_{T,r} = \tau_x \cdot \left( \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with } Var(\hat{\tau}_y) &= Var\left(\tau_x \cdot \left( \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} \right)\right) \\ &= \tau_x^2 Var(r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V\hat{a}r(Y_{Tr}) &= \tau_x^2 V\hat{a}r(r) \\
&= (N\mu_x)^2 \frac{1-f}{n} \frac{1}{\bar{x}^2} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_1^n (y_i - rx_i)^2 \\
&\cong \frac{N(N-n)}{n(n-1)} \sum_1^n (y_i^2 - 2rx_i y_i + r^2 x_i^2) \\
&= \frac{N(N-n)}{n} S_e^2.
\end{aligned}$$

#### Regression estimator

$$\bar{y}_{LR} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}(\bar{x} - \mu_x)$$

$$Var(\bar{y}_{LR}) \approx \frac{1-f}{n} \left[ \frac{n-1}{n-2} \right] [s_y^2 - \hat{\beta}^2 s_x^2]$$

where

$$s_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_1^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$MSE = \left[ \frac{n-1}{n-2} \right] [s_y^2 - \hat{\beta}^2 s_x^2]$$