CASES

Furs Ltd

- Mr T was director of Furs ltd & member in co B
- Furs selling products to co B
 - Co B wanted Mr T to work for them & would pay to give secret formula
 - o Mr. T breached for giving formula

Taking COPORATE PROPERTY

Cooks v Deeks

- Cook was 25% s/h & a director
 - Not liked by the other 3 directors
- 3 directors set up new co & took new contract to cut out Cook
 - Turned it down on behalf of co & took contract as new co

Taking COPORATE OPP.

Peso Silver Mines

- Mr P on board board said no to taking new opp
- Mr P was approached personally, took it up
- Did NOT breach
 - Acted correctly in interest of the co, no intentions

Regal Hasting v Gallaver

- Co had to pay 5k
- Directors put in remaining 3k (co paid 2k)
- Directors made profit when shares sold
 - o BREACH
- · All of board decided co didn't have enough money
 - Should have gone to s/h & told them they were chipping in own money
 - TRANSPARENCY

Taking COPORATE OPP.

Canadian Aero Service Ltd

- 2 workers for CAS took up contract for aerial surveying whilst overseas on job
- Resigned from CAS
 - Set up new co & bidded for contract
- · Took corporate opp whilst with Aero

Taking COPORATE OPP.

CMS Dolphin Itd v Simonet

- Simonet was managing director of a co
- Resigned & smoothly transferred into a new co-funds, employees, clients
 - Proof he had been planning this
- · Breached fiduciary duties as director
 - Exploited a maturing business opp after resignation
 - o Business opp treated as belonging to the co

Step 2: Who can apply? s 234

- a) ASIC
- b) Someone whose interests have been affected
 - E.g. Creditor
 - → Allen v Atalay
- c) A member

If involves breach of s 180- 183 - civil penalty provisions

- breach of civil penalty provision can only be enforced by ASIC
- \rightarrow They can:

Airpeak Pty Ltd v Jetstream Aircraft Ltd

Emlen Pty Ltd v St Barbara Mines Ltd

VS.

s 1324(1A): Affected interests

- a) Insolvency affects interest of a creditor
- b) Affects interests of creditor or member:
- i) 257A(1)(a) share buy-back
- ii) 256B(1)(b) share capital reduction
- iii) 260A(1)(a) financial assistance for share acquisition
- → They CAN't, only **ASIC** can:

Mensenberg v Cord Industrial Recruiters Pty Ltd

NOTE: General law injunction - future VS. Members' injunction remedy - past/ present/ future

Members' personal action

Personal action: legal action to enforce a personal right

Have a personal right under

- Contract
- Statute
- General law

Personal rights under **CONTRACT**

From **express** contract

E.g. terms of issues of shares

From **statutory** contract

- s 140 co's constit & RR has effect b/w ...
- Can only be enforced in their capacity as a member
 - E.g. RR 254D(1): pre-emption rights of members of Pty Ltd co's

Personal rights under **STATUTE**

SOME EXAMPLES from CA:

- s 249 F- Right to call GM if holding ≥ 5 % s/holding
- s 249 N- Right to add resolution to agenda
- s 249 X- Appoint proxy
- s 251 B- Member's right to inspect minutes
- s 173- Member's right to inspect minutes

Personal rights under **GENERAL LAW**

- Right to info to be discussed @ GMs Kaye v Croydon
- Right to vote (unless constit prohibits) Pender v Lushington
- Right to be protected from improper dilution of s/holding -Residues Treatmet & Trading co v
 Southern resources
- Personal duty Brunninghausen

❖ Statutory derivative action - s 236

Derivative action: action to enforce a right of the co

NOTE:

#1 ≠ members' statutory remedies OR member's personal action

- Harm done to co
- Co should enforce breach BUT DOES NOT
- · Members can bring about action
 - o BUT remedy goes to the co

#2 Permission of court must be granted to bring statutory derivative action

Step 1: Who can apply? s 236(1)

- a) (i) current, former, or entitled to be registered as a <u>member</u> of co or a related co, or
 (ii) current or former <u>officer</u> of co
 AND
- b) Leave granted under s 237 (the criteria)

Step 2: Criteria for granting leave s 237

Court grants if ALL criteria met:

- a) Probable that co will NOT take legal action (if not for applicant)
- b) Applicant acting in good faith
- c) In best interest of co
- d) Serious question to be tried
- e) Notice of proceedings to co
- b) Good faith
 - → Swansson v RA Pratt Properties seeking derivative action, action NOT in good faith
 - If seeking to bring derivative action for collateral purpose
 - abuse of process
 - → Goozee v Graphic World group holdings
 - d) Serious question to tried

"Standing" of applicant NOT "merits" of case

Standing: right to bring the action

→ Charlton v Baber

Other sections

s 241: appointment of independent investigator

court can appoint someone to report on:

- Financial affairs of co
- Facts/ circumstances
- Costs incurred

s 240: permission to discontinue or settle proceedings

Derivate action proceedings cannot be discounted/ settle W/O permission of court

Ratification under s 239 does NOT prevent member's ability to bring about derivative action

s 242: power of courts to decide costs

Court decides appropriate costs to applicants, co, other parties