## 1 Specific Performance

- *McMahon v Ambrose*: has been described as two-tiered process
  - o 1. compel the creation of a legally binding agreement; and
  - o 2. require the *performance* of that agreement.
- <u>Zhu v Treasurer of NSW</u>: it is not true to say that if you do not perform you must pay damages and nothing else.

## • ELEMENTS

- 1. an agreement;
  - o *Tanwar v Cauchi* not available in that case as contract had been terminated e.g. contract must be on foot.
  - Trident v McNiece generally only parties to contract may be proper parties in suit for SP (not an absolute rule – legislation etc may empower 3<sup>rd</sup> parties)
  - Part Performance "to enlarge part perf into complete perf" JC Williamson v Lukey & Mulholland
    - <u>McBride v Sandilands</u>: acts should be unequivocally and of their own nature referable to <u>some such</u> contract as the general nature of the one alleged.
    - *Khoury v Khouri*: acts of PP have almost always been closely related to possession or tenure on land or being put into possession by owner.
    - Damages always inadequate because axiomatically they are not available where transaction is unenforceable at law (nature of PP!)
    - 7.7 text usually unavailable where damages adequate, requires constant supervision of court or for personal services.
    - Regent v Millett: act in question must be one permitted but not necessarily required by terms of oral agreement – e.g. not a term to move items into a property but anticipated by alleged agreement – possession key.
      - but, e.g. buying furniture and arranging moving would likely not be PP.
    - <u>Cooney v Burns</u>: act merely preparatory for performance not amount to PP.
    - Act of reliance upon contract needs to be distinguished from act of PP – e.g. *Dellaca*: relinquishing lease in reliance on promise not PP
- 2. breach or threatened breach by D:
  - P must show D did not perform contract according to terms 7.12 text
  - o Turner v Bladin: breach of threatened breach
  - <u>Hasham v Zenab</u>: if anticipatory breach and P does not accept repudiation then SP may not be ordered until time for performance arrives.
  - o <u>Ferguson v Wilson</u>: if performance is impossible court refuse remedy special jurisidiction
    - Kennedy v Vercoe: even if D caused impossibility
    - *Norton v Angus*: or illegality
    - consent of third party see 7.13-7.14 text.

- futility and impossibility based upon maxim 'equity does nothing in vain'
- 3. common law damages inadequate remedy; and
  - o <u>Adderley v Dixon</u>: 'because damages in a particular case may not afford a *complete* remedy'
  - o SP always available for the contracts for sale of land.
    - Adderley: peculiar value thus damages not complete remedy
    - *Turner v Bladin*: available to vendor and purchaser
  - SP generally **not** awarded for payments of **money**; damages adequate remedy.
    - exceptions see 7.19 text
    - <u>Trident</u>: real issue is whether damages adequate or not (caution apparent approval!)
  - o **Goods** = generally no, market value can be compensated by damages.
    - Antiquities, rarities = SP may be granted, also e.g. IP rights SP ordered due to uniqueness.
    - Shortage of supplies = no guarantee of SP
    - Chattels relating to business, see *Doulton Potteries v Bronotte* 7.26 text