

## Table of Contents

<b>BASIC TERMS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>COMMONWEALTH PARLIAMENT = LEGISLATIVE .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>GOVERNMENT = EXECUTIVE.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>COURTS = JUDICIARY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Topic 1 - History - Colonial Period - 1788 to 1900.....</b>	<b>7</b>
Constitutional history begins in 1788.....	7
Terra nullius ( <i>contra Mabo (No.2)[1992]</i> ).....	7
Towards Federation - 1880s & 1890s.....	8
Federation (1901).....	8
Post Federation - 1901 to 1986.....	9
1986 to today .....	10
The Future.....	10
<b>Topic 1 - Themes - Parliamentary Sovereignty of Parliamentary Supremacy &amp; Judicial Review.....</b>	<b>11</b>
Rule of Law .....	11
Broad Conception of Constitutional 'Law'.....	11
Responsible Government & Parliamentary Control of Supply .....	11
Separation of Powers.....	12
Legislature cf Executive cf Judiciary .....	12
Federalism (US) .....	12
Federalism (AUS) .....	13
<b>Topic 5 - The Judiciary - Cth - Chapter III .....</b>	<b>13</b>
Section 71 - Guarantees existence of High Court.....	13
Section 72 - Judicial appointment and removal .....	13
Section 73 - Appellate Jurisdiction of High Court.....	14
Section 74 - Deals with Privy Council .....	14
Section 75 - Assumption of Rule of Law .....	14
Section 77 - Definition of jurisdiction of federal courts.....	14
<b>Topic 5 - The Judiciary - Victoria .....</b>	<b>15</b>
Fundamental principle that not entrenched provisions .....	15
Section 75 – Existence of Supreme Court.....	15
Section 75B – Admission to Practice .....	15
Section 77 – (Security of tenure – removed via partIIIAAA).....	15
Other Issues .....	15
<b>Topic 3 - The Legislature - Colonial History.....</b>	<b>15</b>
Commonwealth legislature.....	15
Structure of Cth Legislature.....	15
Structure of Cth Legislature – Senate .....	16
Structure of Cth Legislature – House of Representatives .....	16
Structure of Cth Legislature – <i>Territory Senators' Case (No.1)</i> (1975).....	16
The legislature .....	17
Structure of Victorian Legislature.....	17
Structure of Territorial Legislatures.....	17
Duration – Introduction & Terminology .....	18
Duration – Victorian Parliament.....	18
<b>Duration - Commonwealth Parliament.....</b>	<b>18</b>
Qualifications for Membership - Cth Parliament .....	19

Case - Sykes v Cleary (1992) .....	19
s 44(i) .....	20
s 44(v) .....	20
<b>Vic Parliament.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Topic 3 ('The Legislature') &amp; Topic 4 ('Legislature Procedures')</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Topic 3 .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Topic 4 .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Topic 3 ('The Legislature')</b> .....	<b>21</b>
Duration - Victorian Parliament.....	21
Duration - Commonwealth Parliament.....	21
Qualifications for Membership - Cth Parliament .....	22
<i>Case - Sykes v Cleary (1992)</i> .....	22
s 44(i) .....	23
s 44(v) .....	23
Vic Parliament .....	23
Electoral & Voting Systems - Cth Parliament .....	24
House of Representatives .....	24
Senate .....	25
Electoral & Voting Systems - Victorian Parliament.....	25
<b>Topic 4 – Legislative Procedures.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Standard Legislative Procedures.....</b>	<b>25</b>
State & Cth Parliaments.....	25
<b>Royal Assent - not a reserve power - (Three elements - bicameral houses + The Crown)</b> .....	<b>26</b>
Crown is element of parliament .....	26
Reservation for assent by Queen (Abolished –s9 Australia Act).....	26
Disallowance (abolished s8 – Australia Act):.....	27
<b>Alternative Legislative Procedures - Cth Parliament.....</b>	<b>27</b>
s57 Alternative Procedure .....	27
Dominance of Lower House e.g. (Dominated by Victoria/NSW due to population – seats by population).....	27
1974 Joint Sitting.....	27
<i>Territory Senators' (No 1) (1975)</i> – can call double dissolution at any time .....	28
Cth Restrictive Legislative Procedures – s128 .....	29
<b>Cth Special Legislative Procedures .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Commonwealth Restrictive Procedures - s128/s34/s51 .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Cth Special Legislative Procedures - s83/s53 (senate has the power to reject any bills)/s55 .....</b>	<b>30</b>
s 55 – Air Caledonie.....	31
<b>Victorian Alternative Legislative Procedures .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Victorian Restrictive Legislative Procedures - Introduction (Most likely on exam, so if you practice one question, do this one!) – parliament can't restrict itself.....</b>	<b>34</b>
Intro – commonwealth restriction .....	34
Australia Acts s 6 (remember the difference between dog/cat act example) .....	34
Complications: .....	35
<i>Constitution Act 1975 (Vic)</i> .....	37
<b>Australia Acts s 6 – Answering a constitutional restrictive procedure question (made this a big heading because it's real important) .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<i>Constitution Act 1975 (Vic) – Supreme Court.....</i>	<i>37</i>
Victorian Special Procedures .....	38

<b>Topic 2 – The Executive – .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Introduction &amp; Terminology .....</b>	<b>39</b>
The Executive Itself .....	39
<b>Constitutional Monarchy .....</b>	<b>39</b>
‘The Crown’.....	40
<b>Generic Features of Australian Executives.....</b>	<b>40</b>
IMPORTANT - Two most important conventions:.....	41
Other conventions.....	41
Importance of conventions & their legitimacy.....	41
<b>Reserve Powers (Governor General) – three rights .....</b>	<b>42</b>
Re appointment.....	42
Re dismissal .....	42
Re refusal of dissolution.....	42
Re forced dissolution.....	42
Government majority lower house – restrictive powers.....	42
<b>Geelong Lectures ANSWERING STATE RESTRICTIVE PROCEDURE</b>	
<b>QUESTIONS .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>IDENTIFY RESTRICTIVE PROCEDURE: .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Power to conduct the business of Government .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Week 5 Overheads .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>COMMONWEALTH EXECUTIVE POWER .....</b>	<b>43</b>
POWER TO CONDUCT THE BUSINESS OF GOVERNMENT.....	43
<b>COMMONWEALTH EXECUTIVE POWER .....</b>	<b>45</b>
PREROGATIVE POWERS – must (technically) be at Federation.....	45
Ruddock v Vardarlis (2001) – “The Tampa Litigation” .....	45
<b>IMPLIED NATIONHOOD POWER.....</b>	<b>46</b>
AAP Case (1975) (modern expression of the nationhood power).....	46
Davis v Commonwealth (1988) .....	47
Pape v Commissioner of Taxation (2009) – same combination of constitutional powers as <i>Davis</i> .....	47
THE EXECUTIVE – CHAPTER II .....	49
<b>THE COMMONWEALTH EXECUTIVE, RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT AND THE WHITLAM DISMISSAL .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Topic 6 – Characterisation – Introduction .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>CHARACTERISATION: THE PROCESS OF DETERMINING WHETHER A COMMONWEALTH LAW IS WITH RESPECT TO ONE OF THE LEGISLATIVE HEADS OF POWER OUTLINED IN SECTION 51 OR 52 OF THE CONSTITUTION.....</b>	<b>51</b>
In terms of Characterisation, Commonwealth legislation will be valid if there is a ‘sufficient connection’ between it and a (non-purposive) legislative head of power OR there is a purposive head of power and the Commonwealth legislation is assessed to have an underlying/true purpose relating to it .....	51
This topic: - Section 51 .....	51
B.E. - Before <i>Engineers</i> case (1903-1920) – DON’T USE UNLESS THERE’S A QUESTION ON THE HISTORY .....	51
Doctrine of implied immunities: (Isn’t that relevant until topic 8) .....	51
Doctrine of implied prohibitions/“reserve powers” doctrine:.....	52
<b>Engineers’ Case (1920) – very important case.....</b>	<b>52</b>
Facts:.....	52
Critique of reserve powers/implied immunities jurisprudence:.....	53
Replaced with literalism/textualism:.....	53
Why Engineers in 1920? .....	54

<b>A.E. (1920 to present) – General Principles (STEPS – IMPORTANT) .....</b>	<b>55</b>
Dual Characterisation (One of the most important) – commonly, a law will be referable to more than one head of power: .....	57
Specific Principles (Don't put too much emphasis on them, be aware though) .....	57
Purposive & Non Purposive Heads of Power & Relevance of Purpose.....	57
“Core” cf “Incidental Range” of Heads of Power & Relevance of Purpose .....	58
Purposive & Non Purposive Heads of Power & Relevance of Proportionality .....	59
<i>Fairfax</i> (1965) (relevant to s51(ii)) .....	60
Defence Power – <i>Thomas v Mowbray</i> (2007).....	60
<b>Topic 7 – Financial &amp; Economic Powers .....</b>	<b>63</b>
Introduction.....	63
Cth powers to tax generally – s51(ii).....	63
<b>Topic 7 – Financial &amp; Economic Powers – Cth powers to tax generally .....</b>	<b>63</b>
Limitations: (discrimination between states – <i>Elliot v Commonwealth</i> ).....	63
<b>Financial &amp; Economic Powers – Cth Direct Spending .....</b>	<b>64</b>
Possible constitutional sources of Cth spending power are:.....	64
S 81 .....	65
S 83 .....	65
Financial & Economic Powers – Cth Direct Spending – <i>AAP Case</i> (1975) .....	65
Financial & Economic Powers – Cth Direct Spending – <i>Pape</i> (2009) .....	66
<b>Financial &amp; Economic Powers – Corporations Power – Section 51(xx) –</b>	
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>68</b>
Two Questions:.....	68
<b>Financial &amp; Economic Powers – Corporations Power – Section 51(xx) – What Kinds of Corporations Can the Cth Regulate? .....</b>	<b>68</b>
Financial & Economic Powers – Corporations Power – Section 51(xx) – What Is the Scope of the Corporations Power?.....	68
– ≠ making a profit .....	69
Financial & Economic Powers – Corporations Power – Section 51(xx) – <i>WorkChoices Case</i> (2006).....	69
<b>Topic 7 – Financial &amp; Economic Powers – Cth Legislative Powers – s 51(xx) - Incorporation Case (1990) .....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Topic 8 - External Affairs &amp; Extraterritoriality – Introduction – Major Heading.....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>71</b>
Separation of powers: .....	71
Federalism: .....	72
<b>Re 51(xxix) – 4 aspects .....</b>	<b>72</b>
External Affairs & Extraterritoriality S 51(xxix) – Physical Externality .....	72
External Affairs & Extraterritoriality Section 51(xxix) – International Relations....	72
Section 51(xxix) – Implementation of International Treaties and Conventions – <i>Tasmanian Dam</i> (1983) .....	73
Implementation of International Treaties and Conventions – <i>Industrial Relations Act Case</i> (1996) .....	75
External Affairs & Extraterritoriality S 51(xxix) – International Concern .....	77
External Affairs & Extraterritoriality – The States .....	77
<b>Topic 9 – Inconsistency &amp; Intergovernmental Immunities – Introduction .</b>	<b>78</b>
3 extant tests (see <i>Dickson v the Queen</i> (2010) 241 CLR 491) .....	79
‘Law’ – clashes of legislation.....	79

<b>'Invalidity'</b> .....	<b>79</b>
<b>1st Test (s109)</b> .....	<b>79</b>
'Impossibility of Simultaneous Obedience' (a.k.a. 'Direct Inconsistency') .....	79
<b>2nd Test (s109)</b> .....	<b>80</b>
<b>3rd Test (s109)</b> .....	<b>80</b>
Some Relevant Factors: .....	81
<b>Intergovernmental Immunities – people often miss it, so look for this!!!</b> .....	<b>82</b>
Engineers 'explosion' of both doctrines .....	82
<b>Cth over States – Melbourne Corporation Principle</b> .....	<b>83</b>
Wikipedia summary .....	83
Melbourne Corporation v Cth (1947) ('State Banking Case') – 'Melbourne Corporation Principle' .....	84
Melbourne Corporation Principle - Discrimination .....	84
Melbourne Corporation Principle – Curtailment Limitation.....	84
Reformulation of <i>Melbourne Corporation Principle</i> .....	85
Reformulation of <i>Melbourne Corporation Principle (Further)</i> .....	86
<b>Topic 9 – Intergovernmental Immunities –States over Commonwealth – Background</b> .....	<b>86</b>
<b>DHA Case (1997) – Defence Housing Authority</b> .....	<b>87</b>
FIRST STEP.....	87
WHAT'S THE HEAD OF POWER IN RELATION TO THIS CASE?.....	87
Is the law valid?.....	87
Commonwealth act vs. State act – is there a s109 conflict? .....	87
Crown Immunity & Statutory Construction .....	88
<b>Topic 10 – Constitutional Rights and Freedoms – Implied Rights – Introduction</b> .....	<b>88</b>
<b>Implied Rights – Implied Freedom of Political Communication – Emergence (1992)</b> .....	<b>89</b>
Nationwide News v Wills (1992): .....	89
Australian Capital Television Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1992):.....	89
<b>Implied Freedom of Political Communication – 1992 to 1997</b> .....	<b>90</b>
Theophanous v Herald & Weekly Times (1994) .....	90
Implied Freedom of Political Communication – Lange (1997) ONE CASE SETS OUT THE IMPLIED FREEDOMS AND HOW THEY'RE APPLIED .....	91
1. What is "protected political communication"? .....	91
2. On what basis can it be legitimately limited by legislation? .....	91
' <i>Lange Test</i> ' – STEPS VERY IMPORTANT.....	92
<i>Levy (1997)</i> .....	93
<i>Langer (1996)</i> .....	93
<b>Right to Vote –</b> .....	<b>94</b>
Introduction.....	94
<i>Roach (2007)</i> .....	94
<i>Rowe (2010)</i> .....	95
<b>Topic 10 – Constitutional Rights and Freedoms – Introduction</b> .....	<b>95</b>
<b>Constitutional Rights and Freedoms – Express Rights – Acquisition of Property on 'Just Terms'</b> .....	<b>96</b>
Section 51(xxi) .....	96
Acquisition of Property on 'Just Terms' - <i>Dalziel (1944)</i> .....	98
Acquisition of Property on 'Just Terms' - <i>Newcrest Mining (1997)</i> .....	98
Acquisition of Property on 'Just Terms' - s 122 .....	99
<b>Express Rights - Trial by Jury - Introduction</b> .....	<b>99</b>
Trial by Jury - When does s 80 apply?.....	99

<b>Freedom of religion - Section 116 – Introduction (All the following relates to s116).....</b>	<b>101</b>
Four parts:.....	101
‘For Establishing a Religion’ – <i>DOGS Case</i> 1981.....	101
‘For Prohibiting the Free Exercise of Religion’ – <i>Krygger v Williams</i> (1912).....	102
‘For Prohibiting the Free Exercise of Religion’ - <i>Adelaide Company of Jehovah’s Witnesses v Commonwealth</i> (1943) .....	102
‘For Prohibiting the Free Exercise of Religion’ – <i>Kruger (“The Stolen Generations Case”)</i> (1997) .....	102
Acquisition of Property on ‘Just Terms’ - Section 116 – Religious test as qualification for any office under the Commonwealth.....	103
<b>Express Rights - Section 117 – Discrimination Based on State Residence – Introduction.....</b>	<b>103</b>
History pre 1989 .....	103
Street (1989) .....	103
<b>Topic 11 – Separation of Powers – Introduction – What is Judicial Power?.....</b>	<b>104</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> Principle .....	104
2 <sup>nd</sup> Principle .....	105
<b>Exceptions &amp; Qualifications.....</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Preventative Detention.....</b>	<b>107</b>
Introduction.....	107
Two bodies of opinion: .....	107
Al Kateb (2004).....	108
<i>Fardon</i> (2004) – didn’t concern separation of powers – commonwealth can exercise detention if protective in nature .....	109
<i>Thomas v Mowbray</i> (2007) – terrorist capabilities .....	109
<b>Separation of Powers – Cth Separation of Powers – Relationship between Legislative &amp; Executive Power.....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Separation of Powers – Effect of Separation of Powers on States – Introduction.....</b>	<b>111</b>
City of Collingwood (No.2) (1994) .....	112
Separation of Powers – Effect of Separation of Powers on States – <i>Kable</i> (1996) – Important Case .....	112
Effect of Separation of Powers on States – <i>Kable</i> Principle - <i>Bradley</i> (2000) .....	113
Separation of Powers – Effect of Separation of Powers on States – <i>Kable Principle</i> - <i>Fardon</i> (2004) – different to <i>Kable</i> principle .....	113
Effect of Separation of Powers on States – <i>Kable Principle</i> - <i>Forge</i> (2006) .....	114
Separation of Powers – Effect of Separation of Powers on States – <i>Kable Principle</i> - <i>International Finance Trust Company v New South Wales Crime Commission</i> (2009) – supposed criminals owning property case.....	114
Separation of Powers – Effect of Separation of Powers on States – <i>Kable Principle</i> - <i>South Australia v Totani</i> (2010) – organised crime (act invalid because Magistrate doing executive’s job for them).....	115
Effect of Separation of Powers on States – Kable - <i>Wainohu v New South Wales</i> (2011) – police (executive) applying to court (judicial) without separation .....	115
<b>Separation of Powers – Effect of Separation of Powers on States – <i>Kable</i> Principle – Summary.....</b>	<b>116</b>
Separation of Powers – State Legislative & Executive Powers.....	116

## BASIC TERMS

COMMONWEALTH PARLIAMENT = LEGISLATIVE

GOVERNMENT = EXECUTIVE

COURTS = JUDICIARY

## Topic 1 – History – Colonial Period – 1788 to 1900

### Constitutional history begins in 1788

- No indigenous constitutional history
- No recognition of aboriginal government or prior sovereignty (cf NZ)
- Current discussion about amendment to Preamble

### Terra nullius (*contra Mabo (No.2)[1992]*)

- reception of English law (both statute and common law and English constitutional law) to extent applicable in the Australian colonies.
- Until 1828 date of reception of English law determined by common law.
- *Australian Courts Act 1828* (Imp)
  - Deemed date of reception of English law
  - Confirmation of power of Imperial Parliament to enact legislation for Australia
- 1788 → 1850s evolution from penal settlement and military autocracy to responsible government and parliamentary democracy based on British system e.g.
  - *NSW Act 1823* (Imp) re Legislative Council
  - 1850s ‘responsible government’
    - modelled on Westminster system (U.K)
    - ‘bicameral’ legislature;
  - *Australian Constitutions Act (No. 2) 1850* (Imp)
  - Victoria separated in 1851:
    - “bicameral” Victorian Parliament in 1855 (also NSW & Tas);
    - passage of *Constitution Act 1855* (Imp) (formed basis of Victorian Constitution until 1975).
- Peaceful change facilitated by British Government → experience of US Revolution in 1770’s.
- Plenary ‘sovereign’ Parliaments → *R v Burah* (1878) 3 App Case 889
  - ‘plenary powers of legislation, as large, and of the same nature, as those of the Imperial Parliament itself’.
- Enact legislation on any topic.
  - Not constrained by entrenched rights.
  - Override common law
  - Executive government beholden to parliament (responsible government)