Table of Contents

Personal Injury Scaffold	2
Damages for Personal Injury	5
Compensatory Damages	5
Compensatory Damages – Personal Injury	5
Heads of Damage	6
Loss of Earning Capacity	6
Medical and Related Needs	11
Loss of Domestic/Parenting Capacity	13
Non-Economic Loss	14
Other Losses	15
Property Torts: Damages and Injunction Scaffold	16
Damages for Property Torts	18
Diminution in Value	
Compensation	19
Causation	19
Remoteness	19
Compensation for Property Torts	19
Mitigation	20
What is Mitigation?	20
Avoided Loss	21
Costs of Mitigation	21
Reasonableness	22
Betterment	23
Consequential Losses	24
Non-economic Loss: Inconvenience and Injured Feelings	24
Injunctions for Property Torts	25
Elements:	
Equitable Discretion	25
Trespass to Land Cases	26
Breach of Covenant Cases	
Nuisance Cases	27

Personal Injury Scaffold

Introductory Paragraph

- Compensation principle places the plaintiff in the same position he or she would have been in but for the tort/injury
- Damages should be adjusted/reduced for remoteness, failure to mitigate, contributory negligence
- Future economic loss is assessed at date of trial and pre-trial losses are assessed in historic amounts

Loss of Earning Capacity

Introduction:

Compensation lost "capacity" to earn not lost earnings (Medlin v SGIC)

Elements (*Medlin v SGIC*) → Entitlement to Damages

- 1. Capacity to earn has been totally or partially diminished or lost because of the injury
- 2. Loss of capacity has been and/or will be, productive of financial loss

Assessment of Damages

- Pre-injury earning capacity → capacity to earn if injury had not occurred (Sharman v Evans)
 - o Compensated for loss of earning capacity until retirement age (65)
 - Pre-injury earning capacity capped at 3 times average weekly earnings (s12 CLA)
 - Minimum employer superannuation contributions added to loss of earnings (s15C)
 - Interest would also be awarded (s18(2))
- Lost years → compensation for loss of earning capacity for the lost years (Sharman v Evans)
 - "Years by which her life expectancy has been shortened, at least to the extent that they are years when she would otherwise have been earning income"
 - E.g. Injured at 50, total loss of earning capacity, without the injury could have worked to 65 but b/c of injury stopped work at 50, expected to die at 60 so lost years is loss of earning capacity b/w 60 and 65

Deductions

- Residual earning capacity (Medlin v SGIC) → deduction if the pl has partial earning capacity (only if relevant)
 - Can claim costs of retraining for alternate employment
- Costs necessarily incurred in realising earning capacity e.g. travelling to and from work (*Sharman v Evans*)

Not deducted

- Living expenses not deducted unless pl has been institutionalized and there is double recovery (Sharman v Evans)
 - Living expenses deducted in lost years

Contingencies

- In the absence of evidence, damages reduced by 15% in NSW (Wynn) as the law
 assumes that pl would have retired early rather than worked past retirement age
 - However, where P is close to retirement age and in good health, then less likely reduce damages for contingencies
 - Negative contingencies= prior injuries (Malec-unrelated, Wynn- related)
 - Positive contingencies= intention to work after retirement, promotions (Wynn)
- Alternatively, court may increase damages for positive contingencies (Norris v Blake)
- If assessing future loss, s 13 requires any award to accord to her most likely future circumstances but for the injury
 - Possible need for more evidence may arise
- Courts will assess the significance of contingencies to determine the appropriate percentage reduction

Gratuitous Care

- Recovery for medical care is based on reasonable needs rather than actual expenditure (*Griffiths v Kerkemeyer*)
 - There must be a reasonable need for assistance (s 15(2)(a); Griffiths v Kerkemeyer)
 - The need for assistance must have arisen 'solely' from the injury (s15(2)(b))

- No damages if assistance would have been provided anyway (s15(2)(c))
- Therefore, courts may award damages for gratuitous care so P has the right to dispense with gratuitous care and replace it with paid care at any time (*Griffiths* v Kerkemeyer)
- No damages unless assistance required for (a) at least 6 hours a week AND (b) for at least 6 consecutive months (s15 (3); Hill v Forrester)
 - Must be for 6 consecutive months
- Damages only paid for up to 40 hours a week (s15(4)), max hourly rate= 1/40 of a.w.e. (s15(5))

Loss of Domestic Capacity (s15B)

- Damages are recoverable for loss of domestic capacity to care for dependants who are physically or mentally incapable of caring for themselves (s15B(2)(b)
 - Recipient of domestic care must be a dependant as per the definition (s15B(1))
 - Claimant must have been providing the services before the injury (s15B(2)(a))
 - 6 hour/6 month threshold must be satisfied (s15B(c)(i)(ii); Hill v Forrester for statutory interpretation)
 - The need for the services for those time periods must be reasonable (s15B(2)(d))
- Limits in s15(5) apply → Damages only paid for up to 40 hours a week (s15(4)), max hourly rate= 1/40 of a.w.e. (s15B(4))
- No interest is awarded for loss of domestic capacity (s18(1)(c))
- An award for damages for future economic loss i.e. loss of domestic capacity is discounted to the present value of that future economic loss (s 14).
- There does not appear to be any double recovery of damages under ss 15 & 15B
 (s 15B(10))

Damages for Personal Injury

There are five broad types of damages:

- 1. Nominal (PI proves the wrong but fails to establish a claim for any other type of damages)
 - Only for common law wrongs actionable per se (e.g. trespass and breach of contract);
- 2. Compensatory (reflects the plaintiff's proven injury, incl. aggravated damages)
- 3. Restitutionary or gain-based
- 4. Lord Cairns' Act damages → separate from injunction or specific performance;
- 5. Exemplary or punitive (as punishment/deterrence).

Compensatory Damages

Summary → 2-step process

- Compensation → Is P worse off than he/she would have been if the wrong had not been committed? ("but for" causation)
 - Cattanach v Melchior (2003) HCA, Harriton v Stephens (2006) HCA
 - Principles for measuring loss depend mainly on nature of injury
- Limiting devices → Should damages be adjusted for intervening causes, remoteness, failure to mitigate & contributory negligence?
 - Contributory negligence important in personal injury, often the difference between a reasonable and an unreasonable offer
 - Reasonable settlement offer is at least what the court gives you in damage -> rejecting reasonable settlement offer may result in loss of interest or an order to pay costs

Compensatory Damages - Personal Injury

- Compensation principle applies to personal injury even if it is impossible to restore pl to pre- injury position
- Does not distinguish between causes of action (equally applicable to personal injury arising in tort, contract, statute or otherwise)
- But may distinguish between where/how injury occurred (eg work accident, motor accident, defective product)

Heads of Damage

- Law says best way of assessing loss is to measure effect on P's
 - 1. Capacity to earn (economic)
 - 2. Capacity to enjoy life (non-economic)
 - 3. Costs of managing injury (economic)
- General Principles on Damages
 - Assessed at date of trial
 - Costs of medical examinations/finding/procedures have already been paid and are not hypothetical (assessed in historical values)
 - No longer rule of assessment at date of injury
 - Provides greater certainty
 - Non-economic loss assessed in trial-date values
 - Pre-trial economic losses assessed in historic amounts (what you paid at the time), and interest may be added if P out of pocket
 - For each 6 month period → interest rate is 4% above last published cash rate
 - For personal injury damages → interest rate is lower 10 year benchmark bond rate CLA s 18
 - Future economic losses assessed in trial-date values and present value of this is awarded, CLA s 14
 - Court considers inflation, that the money can be invested before needing to pay future economic losses → damages received discounted so the amount that they will eventually need does not include interest

Loss of Earning Capacity

- Compensated for lost "capacity" to earn, not lost earnings Arthur Robinson (Grafton) P/L v Carter
 - o Applies even to students who have not entered the workforce yet
- Remoteness is never an issue
- Must prove (Medlin v SGIC)
 - 1. Capacity to earn has been diminished or lost **because of** the injury

- 2. Loss of capacity has been and/or will be, productive of financial loss
 - E.g. 80yo person injured by a bus will not likely get compensation for loss of earning capacity

Pre-Injury Earning Capacity

- If CLA applies, pre-injury gross earnings in excess of 3 times average weekly earnings disregarded, and tax payable is deducted (s12 CLA)
 - If post-injury earnings exceed this statutory maximum, no damages will be recoverable (*Tuohey v Freemasons Hospital*)
 - Court deducts the tax payable on earnings but interest may be added (s18 CLA)

Sharman v Evans

Facts: Woman rendered quadriplegic and epileptic

 Prior to injury she was earning \$70 a week but would have stopped working soon after to marry

Held:

- 'Expedient solution' equated financial security of marriage with earning capacity
- Then assumed that she would have kept working until retiring age and awarded damages accordingly
- Costs necessarily incurred in realising earning capacity deducted (eg travel expenses to and from work, Sharman v Evans (1976) HCA), which are "saved" by the injury
 - But not child care (i.e. not necessary) Wynn v NSW Insurance (1995)
 HCA)
- Living expenses not deducted (except in "lost years" period by which injury reduced P's life expectancy)
 - Unless double recovery, Sharman→ pl needed to be cared for in an institution for the rest of her life, payment for the institution would cover food and accommodation so no extra amount for food/accommodation
- Damages are awarded for loss of earning capacity for the "lost years" Sharman
 - Assessed on the basis of total incapacity for lost years (even for residual capacity cases)