# **Exam Notes**

- S7 CC Offender may be prosecuted under the Code or other statute. Not both.
- Actus Reus ['guilty acts'] = physical acts or omissions constituting an offence.
- Mens Rea ['guilty mind'] = the mental elements/state of mind necessary to constitute an offence.
- S22 CC Ignorance of the law is not an excuse (unless knowledge is an element).

#### **PARTIES TO OFFENCES**

\$7 (1) Following may be charged with committing an offence:

- a) Executor of offence = does act
- **b)** Enabler = not physically present at time of offence
- c) Aider = physically present and does something to help commission
- d) Counsellor/procurer

R v Beck: (raped 12 year old) aider cannot = an act which unwittingly provides assistance.

voluntary and deliberate presence during commission of offence without opposition or real dissent can = wilful encouragement or aiding.

S8 – one or more people can = principle offenders. OBJECTIVE TEST BRD.

### **DOUBLE JEOPARDY**

#### S16 CC:

- Cannot be twice punished for the same act/omission
- can be convicted for two offences arising out of the same punishment but cannot be punished for both (except homicide offences).

#### **S17 CC**

- defence of autrefois convict = accused previously tried and convicted for that offence
- defence of autrefois acquit = accused previously tried and acquitted

#### **ONUS**

Crown has evidential burden to prove BRD *Thomas*. Unless statute places burden on accused = DE FACTO
BURDEN (s26 CC presumption of sanity until the contrary is proven).

## CAPACITY

- S29 Immature age
  - (1) under 10 not criminally responsible (=CR)
  - (2) under 14 not CR unless it is proven that they had capacity to know the act/omission was wrong.
- S27 (1) mental disease/natural infirmity deprived accused of capacity not CR
- S23 (1)(a) Independence of will not CR

### STATE OF MIND

R v Reid: intention = purpose or design - actions are designed to bring about the result

### **COMMON ASSAULT (245 – definition, 335 – makes an offence)**

- (1) Unlawfully: no lawful justification/excuse/authorisation
- (2) **assaults** = misdemeanor = 3 years. Assault could be limb 1 or two:

### Assault: limb 1 = battery at common law ACTUAL FORCE

#### 1. Application of force

- S245 (2) <u>force = anything that if applied to a degree to cause injury or discomfort</u>. Therefore, heat, light, electrical force, gas and odour are also included.
- McIver -Intention is not an element.

### 2. Directly or indirectly

Croft v Blair indirect = dog

### 3. Without consent (QOA as no definition in code)

- Everyday contact (non-violent)= implied consent Kimmorley v Atherton
- Lergesner v Carroll (police fighting in a pub) level on force that can be consented to <u>is force that does not exceed what was impliedly agreed upon</u>. E.g. consent to fist fight, but not glassing.
- McNamara v Duncan anything beyond the force that cannot be reasonably accepted in the sport = assault

#### Assault: limb 2 = assault at common law THREAT

#### 1. Attempts/threatens to apply force

- Intention is required. S4; Hall v Fonceca. Must intend to threaten, not intent to carry out threat.

#### 2. By bodily act or gesture

- Words without bodily gesture is insufficient Fogden v Wade
- Word + bodily act = threat Dale
- Bodily act = must be related to threatened act Agius (accused goes into shop, gives note saying 'give me X and no one gets hurt' not a gesture)
- Threats may be conditional if threat is not empty so it <u>can</u> be carried out (do this or I'll hit you)= Samuels

### 3. With actual or apparent present ability to effect purpose

- Actual = Victim's knowledge not relevant but must have capacity to carry out the threat
- Apparent = knowledge is relevant. Must <u>appear</u> that they have the capacity <u>on reasonable grounds</u> (can't be a joke) Everingham
- Victim must be **aware** of the threat Dale but need not be **afraid** Brady v Schatzel
- **Present ability** = determine time of threat and when they said the threat would be carried out. Present ability determined when threat was going to be carried out. Secretary (woman shot abusive husband)

#### 4. Without consent - SAME AS LIMB ONE.

#### **ASSAULTS OCCASIONING BODILY HARM (339)**

(1) Unlawfully: no lawful justification/excuse/authorisation

#### (2) assaults - limb 1

- 1. Application of force
- 2. Indirect / direct
- 3. Without consent

 Can consent to AOBH – QOA as to degree of violence agreed to Carroll – what parties agreed to vs what happened

### (3) resulting in bodily harm to another = crime = 7 years.

- Bodily harm = interferes with health or comfort s1 CC
- Must be identifiable bodily injury (BI) Carroll
- Mere sensation of pain does not = bodily injury Scratchard, continuing injury = BI Campbell

Common assault is an alternative verdict 575

### SERIOUS ASSAULTS (340) – aggravating circumstances

Including, the assault of:

- a police officer: s 340(1)(b) 14 years if they bite/spit/cause bodily harm/is or pretends to be armed
- a person  $\ge$  60 years:  $\le$  340(1)(g)
- a person who relies on a guide, hearing or assistance dog, wheelchair etc: s 340(1)(h)

Crime = 7 years.

## NON-FATAL OFFENCES - NO ASSAULT ELEMENT CH 29 CC

Provocation does not apply Kaporonovski. Victim cannot consent to these offences.

#### **GRIEVOUS BODILY HARM (320)**

(1) Unlawfully: no lawful justification/excuse/authorisation

#### (2) does

- Intention to cause particular harm not an element
- Direct action or omission can apply Clark therefore can 'do' GBH by direct act OR failure to perform duty in \$285-290
  - (3) GBH = crime = 14 years.
- S1 GBH:
  - (a) the loss of a distinct part of an organ of the body; or
  - (b) serious disfigurement; or
  - (c) <u>Any BI that, if left untreated,</u> would endanger/<u>likely</u> endanger life, or cause/<u>likely</u> cause permanent injury regardless of possible treatment available."
- medical treatment not relevant look at the time the injury occurred and what treatment was needed, rather than what treatment was given Lobston; Tranby (cosmetic surgery for ear bitten off)
- GBH does not need to be permanent
- GBH includes disease Clarence; Reid,
- Likely = Crossman: substantial/real chance as distinct from a mere 'possibility'