

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTERGROUP RELATIONS IN THE WORKPLACE I: OLD AND NEW THEORIES OF INTERGROUP CONFLICT.....	5
(I) OLD THEORIES	5
<i>Realistic Conflict Theory (Sherif, 1966).....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979)</i>	<i>7</i>
Intergroup Conflict in a Factory Workforce (Brown, 1978)	7
Intergroup Differences	8
Self-Esteem Hypothesis.....	10
(II) NEW THEORIES OF INTERGROUP CONFLICT.....	13
<i>Self-Categorization Theory.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Optimal Distinctiveness Theory (Brewer, 1991).....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Uncertainty Identity Theory.....</i>	<i>16</i>
Minimal Group Paradigm	16
<i>Terror Management Theory.....</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Social Dominance Theory (Sidanius, 1993).....</i>	<i>18</i>
REQUIRED READING.....	19
INTERGROUP RELATIONS IN THE WORKPLACE II: REDUCING INTERGROUP CONFLICT	20
THE CONTACT HYPOTHESIS (ALLPORT, 1954).....	20
THE DECATEGORYZATION APPROACH (BREWER & MILLER, 1984).....	22
THE RECATEGORYZATION APPROACH:	23
THE CROSSED CATEGORYZATION APPROACH	23
REQUIRED READING.....	29
INTERGROUP RELATIONS IN THE WORKPLACE III: INTERGROUP PERCEPTION.....	30
(I) STEREOTYPING	30
Stereotypes in the Factory (Brown, 1978, p. 406).....	30
A Psychological Explanation for Stereotyping.....	30
(II) THE OUT-GROUP HOMOGENEITY EFFECT.....	31

Subjective and Objective Differences in Intragroup Variability	31
Evidence for the Out-Group Homogeneity Effect	31
(III) MEASURES OF STEREOTYPING (PARK & JUDD, 1990; RUBIN ET AL., 2004).....	32
(IV) MODELS OF OUT-GROUP HOMOGENEITY	32
<i>Prototype Abstraction Models</i>	32
<i>Multiple Exemplar Models: Differential Familiarity Hypothesis</i>	32
Testing the Differential Familiarity Hypothesis (Rubin et al. 2004).....	33
<i>Social Identity Theory</i>	33
<i>Self-Categorization Theory</i>	33
<i>Optimal Distinctiveness Theory (Brewer 1991, 1993)</i>	34
<i>Salience of Self</i>	35
(V) MODERATORS OF THE OUT-GROUP HOMOGENEITY EFFECT:.....	35
<i>Group Status (Lorenzi-Cioldi, 1998)</i>	35
<i>Group Size (Simon & Brown, 1987)</i>	35
<i>Out-Group Homogeneity in the Workplace (Brown & Smith, 1989)</i>	37
SOCIAL COGNITION.....	38
THE COGNITIVE DIMENSION OF SOCIAL JUDGMENT AND STEREOTYPING	38
<i>Towards a Cognitive Definition of ‘Stereotypes’</i>	39
Three Main Features.....	39
<i>Cognitive Approach’s Main Contributions</i>	43
Stereotype Antecedents - Cognitive biases that result in stereotypes.....	43
<i>Cognitive and behavioural consequences</i>	46
COGNITIVE Consequences.....	46
BEHAVIOURAL consequences	47
SUMMARY: Stereotype Consequences.....	48
META-THEORY OF COGNITIVE APPROACH CONT.....	49
<i>The Assumptions: The Cognitive Approach’s Meta-Theory</i>	49
1. Individual-Cognitive Functions of Stereotypes.....	49
... <i>but Stereotypes reflect also Emotions and Motivations</i>	49
... <i>but Stereotypes have also Societal-Collective Functions</i>	50
2. Stereotypes reflect fundamental, ordinary and adaptive thus inevitable cognitive processes.	50

3. All stereotypes have the same fundamental qualities	50
<i>...but Stereotypes Differ in Content and Structure.....</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>...and Vary from Context to Context</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Drawing a Balance: Cognitive Approach's Merits and Demerits.....</i>	<i>51</i>
AUTOMATIC/CONTROLLED PROCESSES IN STEREOTYPE ACTIVATION AND APPLICATION.....	51
<i>Social Cognition: Automatic and Controlled Processes in Stereotype Activation and Application</i>	<i>52</i>
Bargh et al.'s (1996) Experiment: Even or Odd Circles?	52
<i>Today's Questions: Automatic Processes and Stereotypes</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Key Contents on Automatic/Controlled Processes.....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Automatic Processes</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Examples of Automatic Processes.....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Automatic Attributions.....</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Automatic vs. Control Processes.....</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Summary: Intro to Automatic/Controlled Processes.....</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>Devine's (1989) Work: Differences in Controlled Stereotyping</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Fazio's (1995) Work: Differences in Automatic Stereotyping</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>What We Learnt so Far...: Controlled and Automatic Stereotyping</i>	<i>60</i>
<i>What We Still Do Not Know...: Controlled and Automatic Stereotyping.....</i>	<i>60</i>
Stereotype Suppression	61
<i>A Final Summary</i>	<i>63</i>
THE MOTIVATIONAL AND AFFECTIVE DIMENSIONS OF SOCIAL JUDGMENT AND STEREOTYPING.....	64
MOTIVATIONS.....	64
<i>Self-Enhancement Motives.....</i>	<i>64</i>
Striving for a Positive Social Identity	64
Other (More) Ordinary Manifestations of Self-Enhancement	65
<i>Accuracy Motives.....</i>	<i>65</i>
Consequences for Processing.....	65
<i>Heuristic Systematic Model (Eagly & Chaiken, 1993).....</i>	<i>66</i>
Consequences for Judgement.....	67
(Opposite) Consequences for Judgement.....	67
<i>Defence Motives.....</i>	<i>67</i>

Striving to Protect Stereotypes	67
MOTIVES AND SOCIAL JUDGMENT: A SUMMARY OF THE BOTTOM LINE	69
EMOTIONS	69
<i>Good or Bad for Us?</i>	70
<i>Research on Mood</i>	70
The Impact of Mood on Judgments	70
Alternative Explanations	71
Mood Affects the Judgement Process	74
UP TO THIS POINT	75
BEYOND MOOD	76
<i>Limits of Early Affect Research</i>	76
Incidental vs. Integral Affect	76
<i>Contemporary Research</i>	76
<i>Integrated threat theory (W. G. Stephan & C. W. Stephan, 1985, 2000)</i>	76
<i>Drawing a Balance</i>	81
Good Points	81