# **CONTENTS**

Chapter 5: Bail	5
Bail application: which court	
Presumption of bail	
Exceptional circumstances	
Unacceptable risk	
Show cause	
Conditions of bail	
Application to vary conditions	
Breach of conditions	
If bail refused	
Granting bail	
Bail hearing	
Sureties	
Chapter 6: Fingerprinting	
Power to take fingerprint	
Before fingerprinting police must inform of rights	
Record information given	
Procedural issues	
Reasonable force	
Destruction of fingerprints	
Destruction of child fingerprints	
Children 15-17 years	
Children between 10-15	
Admissibility of fingerprint in evidence when breach	
Chapter 6: Forensic procedures	
Definitions	
Requesting forensic procedure	
Caution before forensic procedure	
Senior police officer authorisation	
Order from Magistrates' Court	
Interim order for evidence likely to be lost	
Court order after conviction for forensic procedure offence	
Procedural issues	
Warrant to arrest for hearing of application for compulsory procedure	
Reasonable force	
Taking of sample	
Taking of intimate sample	
Destruction of forensic samples	
Volunteers	
Children 10-17	
Children under 10	
Admissibility of sample in evidence where breach	
Chapter 7: Police questioning	
Right to question	
Cautioning suspect in custody (Vic)	
Cautioning suspect in custody (Vic)	
Failure to caution	
Suspect's rights when in custody	
Vulnerable suspects	
Privilege against self-incrimination	
Recording of police questioning	
More than one interview	
1-1010 CHAIL OHO HICCH VIC VI	

Confessional evidence	36
When accused disputes confession or its voluntariness	
Non-compliance/breach of procedural requirements (judicial discretion Vic)	
Non-compliance/breach of procedural requirements (judicial discretion Cth)	
Types of admissions admissible in absence of procedural compliance)	42
Chapter 8: Identification evidence	
ID evidence often unreliable	
Types of ID evidence	
Police power to photograph	
ID parades	
In-court ID	
Dangers of ID evidence	
Statutory limits (excluding ID evidence)	
Visual ID evidence	
Picture ID evidence	
Voice ID	
Judge warnings	
Judicial discretions to exclude ID evidence	
Chapters 4 & 9: Trial of indictable offences	
Parties involved in a trial of an indictable offence	
Accused	
Complainant	
Counsel	
Judge	
Witness	
Jury	
Committal proceedings	
Summary	
Purpose	
Benefits	
Disclosure pre-committal	
Hand-up briefs	
When charged with indictable offence and summary offence	
Time limits	
Where committal proceedings not held	
Hearings that may be held in committal proceeding	
Victims	
Cross-examination by defence at committal	
Confession/admission during committal	
Evidence ruled inadmissible during committal	
Results from committal	
After committal	
Indictment	
Summary	
Direct indictment	
Time limits	
Indictments	
Trials	
Pre-trial disclosure of documents	
Arraignment	
Trial procedure	
Jury empanelled	
Formal commencement	
Pre-trial rulings binding on trial judges	

Prosecution does not lead evidence	72
Discontinuance of prosecution	
Discontinuance vs not leading evidence	
Summary of trial process	
No case to answer	
Pleas by the accused	
Change of plea	
Double jeopardy	
Common law rule against double jeopardy	76
Commonwealth	
Statutory exceptions	
Chapter 9: Machinery of prosecution	81
Differences between trials and summary hearings	
Decision to prosecute	
Deciding which court	
Trial location	83
Joinders	83
Plea negotiation	85
Sentence negotiation and indications	
Discontinuing a prosecution	90
Private prosecutions	91
Chapter 11: The jury system	93
Introduction	93
Jury selection	93
Pre-trial	93
At trial	94
Obtaining more information about potential jurors	97
Juror decision-making	97
Secrecy of jury deliberations	97
Decision based only on evidence	98
Sequestration	99
Jury bias	99
Time for decision	99
Unanimous / majority verdict	99
Number of jurors	100

## Chapter 5: Bail

→ There is an assumption to receive bail because of the resumption of innocence and right to liberty.

## 1 Bail application: which court

- Further applications for bail are made to the court to which the accused is remanded to appear s 18(3)
- When an accused is charged with treason, bail application is to be made to the Supreme Court: **s 13(2)(a)**
- When an accused is charged with murder, bail application is to be made to the Supreme Court OR the magistrate who commits the person for trial for murder: **s 13(2)(b)**

# 2 Presumption of bail

## **Bail Act 1977 (Vic)**

**S4** -

(1) Any person **accused of an offence** and being held in custody in relation to that offence **shall be granted bail** 

# **Exceptional circumstances:**

Shall be refused bail unless exceptional circumstances exist -

- Murder: s 4(2)(a)
- Treason: s 4(2)(a)
- A drug offence listed below: s 4(2)(b)(i), (ia), (ii) and (iii)

## Legislation:

- (2) A court shall refuse bail -
  - (a) In the case of a person being charged with treason or murder
  - (b) In the case of a person being charged with -
    - (i) ss 71, 71AA, 72 or 72A of the Drugs, Poisons and Controlled Substances 1981 (or conspiring to commit any offences under 79(1))
    - (ia) trafficking in relation to a commercial quantity of a drug of dependence (s 71 of the above act)
    - (ii) ss 231(1), 233A or 233B(1) of Customs Act 1903 (Cth) where it is committed in relation to narcotic goods in commercial quantity
    - (iii) ss 307.1, 307.2, 307.5, 307.6, 307.8 or 307.9 of the Cth Criminal Code in commercial quantity

UNLESS the court is satisfied that **exceptional circumstances** exist which justify the grant of bail.

NOTE: Even if D shows exceptional circumstances it is still possible for bail to be denied because D is an unacceptable risk under s4(2)(d)(i).

#### Cases:

• Onus on D to prove exceptional circumstances 'in the nature of, or forming an exception, unusual, out of the ordinary, special' – *DPP (Cth) v Tang & Others* (1995)

#### Granted -

- **R v Cox** [2003] C showed that there were exceptional circumstances, there was also no unacceptable risk; factors considered *in combination* to amount to this decision:
  - Crown case not particularly strong
  - Applicant previously of good character
  - A co-accused had been granted bail (principle of parity)
  - Protective custody a more onerous form of incarceration
  - Delay to trial uncertain duration but possibly > 18 months
  - D married, 3 children (all < 10), had prospect of employment</li>
  - D had psychiatric condition (depression) which would be exacerbated by prison
- *Mockbel* [2002] agreed that there were unacceptable circumstances due to:
  - Police involved being investigated for corruption and trial delay up to 3 years.
    However, bail was opposed on the grounds of him being an unacceptable risk due to:
  - His wealth
  - Extensive overseas connections (including family)

Bail *was granted* by balancing these factors against exceptional circumstances.

#### Refused -

- *R v Benbrika & Ors* [2008] charged with 11 terrorism offences. Failed to demonstrate exceptional circumstances on the basis of:
  - Physical illness
  - Mental distress
  - Lack of sunlight
  - In custody for 2 and a half years

Because of the seriousness of the charges, the strength of the crown case and the stage of the trial.

• **DPP (Cth) v Abbott** [1997] – having a large sum of money in the form of a surety is not enough to grant exceptional circumstances.

# **Unacceptable risk:**

**S 4(2)(d)(i)** – if the court is satisfied that there is an **unacceptable risk** that the accused if released on bail would:

- fail to surrender himself into custody in answer to his bail
- commit an offence whilst on bail
- endanger the safety or welfare of members of the public
- interfere with witnesses or otherwise obstruct the course of justice whether in relation to himself or any other person

 ${\bf S}$  **4(3)** – Whether the circumstances constitute an *unacceptable risk*, the court shall have regard to relevant matters including -

- (a) the nature and seriousness of the offence;
- (b) the character, antecedents, associations, home environment and background of the accused;
- (c) the history of any previous grants of bail to the accused;
- (d) the strength of the evidence against the accused;
- (e) the attitude, if expressed to the court, of the alleged victim of the offence to the grant of bail:
- (f) any conditions that might be imposed to address the circumstances which may constitute an unacceptable risk.

Strategies for minimising 'unacceptable risk'

- 1. Imposing **conditions** of bail
- 2. Requiring the accused to obtain a surety

#### **Show cause:**

(4) Where the accused is charged with one of the following offences-

the Court shall **refuse bail** unless the accused **shows cause** why his detention in custody is not justified and in any such case where the court grants bail the court –

NOTE: Even where D can 'show cause', may still be an unacceptable risk under s S 4(2)(d)(i).

Indictable offence while awaiting trial for another indictable offence

(a) With an indictable offence that is alleged to have been committed while he was at large awaiting for trial for another indictable offence;

## Stalking

- (b) With an offence against s 21A(1) of Crimes Act 1958 (stalking) and -
  - (i) If the accused has within the preceding 10 years been convicted or found guilty of an offence against the section in relation to any person or an offence in the course of committing which he or she used or threatened to use violence against any person; or
  - (ii) The court is satisfied that the accused on a separate occasion used or threatened to use violence against the person whom he or she is alleged to have stalked, whether or not the accused has been convicted or found guilty of, or charged with, an offence in connection with that use or threatened use of violence; or

#### Domestic violence

(ba) with an offence against s 37, 37A, 123, 123A or 125A of the Family Violence Protection Act 2008 of contravening a family violence intervention order or family violence safety notice (as the case requires) in the course of committing which the accused is alleged to have used or threatened to use violence, and –

(i) the accused has within the preceding 10 years been convicted or found guilty of an offence in the course of committing which he or she used or threatened to use violence against any person; or

the court is satisfied that the accused on a separate occasion used or threatened to use violence against the person who is the subject of the